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#### Abstract

A survey of Wyoming cattle producers was undertaken in 2005 to identify the industry's current production practices, management response to adverse events such as drought and attitudes towards emerging industry trends and new production alternatives. This report presents descriptive statistics for all questions asked of producers and is a comprehensive overview of all information gathered during the survey effort.
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## Introduction

A survey of Wyoming cattle producers was undertaken in 2005 to identify the industry's current production practices, management response to adverse events such as drought, and attitudes towards emerging industry trends and new production alternatives.

The survey was designed to be implemented via a mailed questionnaire and supplemented by telephone follow-up of non-respondents. A total of 3,000 surveys were sent out and 700 were returned. Recipients that did not respond to the mail survey were proportionally sampled and interviewed by phone. In total, 1,190 surveys were collected, giving a response rate of approximately 40 percent. Data were collected from all types of ranching operations within Wyoming, from small hobby farms to large scale ranches.

This report presents descriptive statistics for all questions asked of producers and is a comprehensive overview of all information gathered during the survey effort. This information will be used in future analyses of Wyoming's cattle industry.

## Survey Design and Methods

The Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey was developed to gather information about several aspects of cattle production in Wyoming. Specific production practices, drought impacts and management, sagebrush management, and Extension needs were all topics of interest. This study was based on information gathered during a pilot study of Wyoming cattle producers completed in 2003.

## Survey Instrument

The survey instrument included four sections:
Part A: General Ranch Description. Twelve questions asked respondents about their operations and current production practices (e.g., land type and tenure, enterprise practices, herd management practices, typical expenses and labor needs, feed sources, and livestock markets).

Part B: Production and Marketing Practices. This section contained seven questions designed to elicit information about production and marketing practices, for example, ownership retention practices and preferences, niche marketing practices, and beliefs regarding the beef industry.

Part C: Drought and Sagebrush Management. In this section, producers were asked about the specific impacts of the 2000-2004 drought on their production practices as well as the strategies they adopted to mitigate drought. A second focus was on sagebrush abundance and control.

Part D: Demographic Information. Respondents were asked to identify their gender, age, education, and general information regarding their ranch.

The final survey instrument was eight pages in length. A copy of the instrument is attached in Appendix E.

## Population and Sample Design

The population of interest for the survey was all Wyoming beef cattle producers. The National Agricultural Statistics Service provided a sampling frame. The producer lists kept by NASS are comprehensive and routinely updated. As their lists are confidential, NASS was responsible for all administration of both mail and phone surveys.
A stratified random sample of 3,000 producers was drawn from the population frame of approximately 4,900 beef producers in the state. Four strata were created based on responses to the 2002 Census of Agriculture: producers with less than 20 head, 20 to 299 head, 300 to 999 head, and 1,000 or more head of bred cows. By stratifying the sample local estimates from individual strata are obtainable and comparisons between strata are possible.

## Administration

The survey was administered by the Wyoming office of the USDA's National Agricultural Statistics Service. A modified Dillman design was used. The initial mailing contained a cover letter, survey, and return postage-paid envelope. One week later, all potential respondents received a follow-up postcard reminder asking them to return the survey and thanking them if they had already done so. Two to three weeks after the initial mailing a second mailing was sent out containing a cover letter, another copy of the survey, and a postage-paid return envelope. Three weeks following the final mailing, non-respondents were re-sampled and the full instrument was delivered using telephone enumerators.

## Analysis

## Objectives

The purpose of this analysis is simply to provide a detailed and complete description of all survey results. Descriptive statistics for each question are presented for all ranches as well as for each of three operation sizes.

## Dataset and Coding

Raw survey data were entered into a SAS dataset by NASS employees. Missing variables were coded 0 in the original data set. Checked boxes and affirmative "Yes" answers were coded 1 , unchecked and "No" responses were coded 0 . Variables were labeled (VAR1XX... VAR991XX) in the order in which they appeared on the survey instrument. The completed SAS set of raw data was provided to researchers in the Department of Agricultural and Applied Economics at the University of Wyoming. These data were then checked for possible errors during data cleaning.

## Data Cleaning

In order to clean the data for analysis the following aspects were checked:
Discrete variables checked for valid responses. Discrete variables require a response within a specific range. For example, if possible responses are coded ' 1 ' or ' 0 ', the
variable was checked to ensure that only those responses were entered. Any anomalies were compared against the response in the original questionnaire and corrected.

Valid percentages and percentage summations.. Another type of question used in the survey required that responses be in the form a percent. Reported percentages over 100 percent were considered incorrect and were corrected or eliminated from analysis. Many of the questions asking for percentages required that the sum of the responses to equal 100 percent. Sums greater than or less than 100 percent were identified and checked against the original questionnaires.
Non-response coding corrections. Because non responses were coded as zero in the initial data some additional processing was undertaken to recode these responses. SAS will count a zero when performing various operations. This leads to zeros weighing down the averages. To correct for this specific SAS code for each question was written to eliminate non-respondents. This code is noted in Appendix C.
Limiting analysis by operation size. Some producers that responded had fewer than 20 bred cows that were the focus of this survey. These producers were removed from the dataset and will be analyses separately in subsequent analyses.

Specific code for further cleaning of each question is included in Appendix C.

## Descriptive Statistics

In most cases simple means and standard deviations for the population as well as for three operation sizes based on strata groups are reported. Where it clarifies categories, results are also reported as average percentage of a total. Questions with a low number of responses may have means that are affected by potential outlier responses. These are noted in the text where appropriate.
Information on sample size, measure of averages or central tendency, and measure of dispersion is reported for each variable where appropriate:
Measures of averages and central tendency. The mean is reported for most continuous variables. For ordinal responses where a mean is not appropriate the median and mode are reported.
Measures of dispersion or spread. The Standard deviation (s) is reported as a measure of dispersion. $\mathrm{s}=0$ when all observations have the same value (i.e. there is no variation). A large standard deviation indicates a high degree of variability. If a variable is approximately normally distributed we expect 68 percent of observations to fall within one standard deviation of the mean and 95 percent to fall within 2 standard deviations of the mean.

Other measures. Minimum and maximum are given for some variables where defining the extremes of a distribution is interesting (e.g. cattle sale weights). Frequencies are provided where relevant to a particular question or item.

## Results

The results portion of this report is a detailed descriptive overview of the responses to the 2005 Wyoming Beef Producers Survey. Any additional analysis is beyond the scope of this report-rather, the information presented here is intended as a springboard to future detailed analyses of the relationships reported.

Operations reporting fewer than 20 bred cows were not included in this report; however, data for these 376 respondents are available in the dataset for further analysis. This resulted in 814 responses (out of 1,190 ) overall used for this report.
Results for each question from the Wyoming Beef Producers Survey instrument are given for all ranches as well as for three operation sizes. Operation sizes were defined according to the number of bred cows reported as typically owned and are defined as: small operations, reporting 20 to 299 bred cows; medium operations, reporting 300 to 999 bred cows; and large operations, reporting 1,000 or more head of bred cows. Of 814 valid responses 610 were from small, 189 from medium, and 15 were from large operations.

Measures of central tendency and dispersion are reported for each variable where appropriate. These measures vary due to the specific nature of each question, however, in most cases number of responses, means, and standard deviations are reported.

## Part A: General Ranch Description

Survey respondents were asked to answer twelve general questions describing their cattle operations. Detailed information was collected on land type and tenure; herd management practices; feed sources; ranch income, expenses, and labor needs; and markets for sales and purchases.

## Land Type and Tenure

In the first question regarding general ranch descriptions, respondents were asked about their ranchland type and tenure. The total average holdings of private land used by a Wyoming cattle operation responding to this survey is 7,800 acres. Private land used by Wyoming beef cattle producers who responded is predominately pasture and rangeland, approximately two-thirds of which is owned by the producer. Five categories of cropland (for grain, silage, irrigated- and dry-land hay) together consist of less than 6 percent of total private lands. Respondents are more likely to own than to lease every category of cropland (Table 1).
Public lands play an important role in the Wyoming beef cattle operations represented with four acres of public land in use for every five acres of private land. The total average of public land used by cattle operations responding to this survey is 6,333 acres.
Approximately 90 percent of public land used by respondents is federal forest service and BLM (Bureau of Land Management). BLM lands are the most common ( 67 percent).
State lands account for only 11 percent of the total public land used (Table 1).

Large standard deviations for the mean acres of several land categories are due to the large range of answers for these questions (e.g., from 0 to 350,000 acres for pasture and rangelands owned and leased).

Table 1.
Average number of acres owned and leased across land categories for all ranches.

| Land Type | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Acres } \\ \mathrm{n}=814^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Percent of Total Private Land | Percent Owned vs. Leased |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private Land Owned or Leased |  |  |  |
| Pastureland, Rangeland | $\begin{gathered} 7,314^{2} \\ (19,929)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | 94\% | $\begin{gathered} 67 \% / 33 \%^{4} \\ \mathrm{n}=776^{1}(39)^{3} \end{gathered}$ |
| Harvested Cropland for Grain | $\begin{gathered} 52 \\ (227) \end{gathered}$ | < $1 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 80 \% / 20 \% \\ \mathrm{n}=136(36) \end{gathered}$ |
| Harvested Cropland for Silage | $\begin{gathered} 12 \\ (140) \end{gathered}$ | < $1 \%$ | $\begin{gathered} 78 \% / 22 \% \\ \mathrm{n}=50(40) \end{gathered}$ |
| Irrigated \& Sub-Irrigated Hay | $\underset{(787)}{248}$ | 3\% | $\begin{gathered} 83 \% / 17 \% \\ \mathrm{n}=434(33) \end{gathered}$ |
| Dry Land Hay | $\begin{gathered} 90 \\ (233) \end{gathered}$ | 1\% | $\begin{gathered} 79 \% / 21 \% \\ \mathrm{n}=222(39) \end{gathered}$ |
| Other (Specify) | $\begin{gathered} 84 \\ (1,196) \end{gathered}$ | 1\% | $\begin{array}{r} 80 \% / 20 \% \\ \mathrm{n}=50 \end{array}$ |
| Public Lands |  | Percent of Total Public Land |  |
| Forest Service | $\underset{(14,264)^{3}}{1,438^{2}}$ | 23\% |  |
| BLM | $\begin{aligned} & 4,226 \\ & (25,328) \end{aligned}$ | 67\% |  |
| State Lands | $\begin{gathered} 669 \\ (2,957) \end{gathered}$ | 11\% |  |

[^0]Seventy-one (71) respondents specified one or more "other" categories of private land. Fourteen (14) listed their homestead, house or farmyard, 12 specified CRP (Conservation Reserve Program) land, 9 listed fallow or other non-productive lands such as river and creek bottoms, badlands, and ditches, and 2 listed tribal lands. Fourteen (14) responses listed croplands for purposes other than grain or silage (e.g., beans, sugar beets, wheat). Many of the remaining lands listed duplicated categories listed in the survey. A complete list of individual responses for the "other" category are listed in Appendix D.
Survey results for land type and tenure by operation size show larger operations using more of every category of land. The only deviation from this is in forest service lands: medium size operators use more forest service lands than the largest operators (4,743
acres versus 2,067). A high standard deviation for this question suggests that this may be due to a few medium-sized producers with a high number of forest service acres skewing the average to the right (Table 2). High standard deviations for several other categories (private pasture and rangelands, BLM lands) also suggest outliers-reinforced by large median acres for each of these categories (reported in Appendix C).

There were insufficient responses to report percentages of land owned versus leased by operation size.

Table 2.
Average number of acres owned and leased across land categories by operation size.

${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
${ }^{2}$ Mean acres (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

There is no clear correlation between operation size and the ratio of private to public lands used by Wyoming beef cattle operations responding to this survey. The smallest and largest operations both use more private than public land ( 58 percent and 69 percent respectively) while mid-sized operators report a 1:1 ratio (Table 3).

Table 3.
Percent private versus public land by operation size.

| Operation Size | Percent Private Land | Percent Public Land |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Ranches | $55 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| 20 to 299 Bred Cows | $58 \%$ | $41 \%$ |
| 300 to 999 Bred Cows | $50 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| $\geq 1,000$ or more Bred Cows | $69 \%$ | $31 \%$ |

Summary: Land Type and Tenure. The average Wyoming cattle ranch represented incorporates 7,800 acres of private and 6,333 acres of public lands (an approximate ratio of five acres of private to four acres of public land). There is no direct relationship between this ratio and ranch size, however, larger operations tend to use a higher number of acres per bred cow ( 28 acres per bred cow for small, 35 acres for medium, and 37 acres per bred cow for large operations). Several of the mean acres reported are skewed by a few producers reporting very large amounts for particular land categories making them appear higher than actual average ranch sizes.

## Gross Annual Sales

Wyoming Beef Producer Survey respondents were asked to give detailed information regarding percentages of gross annual sales coming from twelve ranch enterprises or practices. The vast majority of sales for all ranches ( 82 percent) came from cow-calf enterprises. In fact, 60 percent of all respondents reported 100 percent of their gross sales came from cow-calf operations. Other beef cattle enterprises and practices (backgrounding, feedlot, cow-yearling, club-calf, and replacement heifers) accounted for another 13 percent of sales. Non-beef livestock enterprises (including sheep, horses, goats, dairy cattle, hogs, and buffalo) accounted for 3 percent of sales (Table 4).

Percentages of gross annual sales remained fairly consistent across operation sizes. Producers with 300 to 999 bred cows reported slightly lower sales percentages from cow-calf ( 76 percent) and higher for cow-yearling (14 percent). None of the largest producers reported sales from feedlot enterprises (Table 4).

Sheep and horses accounted for largest number of non-beef livestock enterprises. Smallsized operations reported the highest frequency of non-beef enterprise in every category. None of the largest producers reported sales from goat, dairy cattle, or hog enterprises (Table 5).

Table 4.
Percentage of gross annual sales from each ranch enterprise or practice.

| Ranch Enterprise |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=803^{1}$ | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=603$ | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=185$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Cow-Calf | $\begin{gathered} 82 \%^{2} \\ (31)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $84 \%$ <br> (30) | $\begin{gathered} 76 \% \\ (36) \end{gathered}$ | $82 \%$ <br> (27) |
| Backgrounding | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $2 \%$ <br> (8) |
| Feedlot | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| Cow-Yearling | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & \text { (23) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & \text { (20) } \end{aligned}$ | $14 \%$ <br> (31) | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ |
| Club-calves | $<1 \%$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ (4.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ (<1) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ (<1) \end{gathered}$ |
| Replacement Heifers | $1 \%$ <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (5.7) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (8.4) \end{aligned}$ | $1 \%$ <br> (4) |
| Non-Beef Livestock ${ }^{4}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5 \% \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ | $1 \%$ <br> (6) | $\underset{(1)}{<1 \%}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting valid responses to answers summing to $100 \%$ dropped 11 respondents (7 from small and 3 from medium operation sizes).
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages rounded to the nearest whole percent.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{4}$ Includes sheep, horses, goats, dairy cattle, hogs, and buffalo.

Table 5.
Frequency of gross annual sales from other livestock ranch enterprises.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ranch Enterprise | All Ranches <br> $\mathrm{n}=803^{1}$ | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=603$ | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=185$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bed Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Sheep | $49^{2}$ | 34 | 14 | 1 |
| Horses | 62 | 45 | 13 | 4 |
| Goats | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Dairy Cattle | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| Hogs | 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 |
| Buffalo | 0 | - | - | - |

[^1]One-hundred fifty-five (155) respondents specified one or more "other" sources of annual gross ranch sales. Twenty-eight (28) reported additional beef cattle enterprises or practices not listed such as selling rodeo stock, bulls, and seed stock. Forty-five (45) listings were for hay and another 25 reported pasture leases. Twenty-two (22) responses specified crops such as wheat, grain, and barley. Specialty enterprises listed included honey, horse boarding, chickens, and direct meat sales. Thirty-one (31) respondents listed outside farm or non-farm income or employment. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.
Summary: Gross Annual Sales. Wyoming beef cattle ranches represented in this survey rely predominately on cow-calf enterprises for gross annual sales, with 95 percent of all gross sales related to beef cattle enterprises. These percentages are fairly consistent for all operation sizes.

## Herd Management Practices

Three questions regarding asked respondents to specify their calving, weaning, and general herd management practices.

Respondents reported 72 percent of calving occurring between March and April and 94 percent occurring between February and May. Calves were predominately weaned in October ( 56 percent) with 92 percent of weaning taking place between September and November (Table 6). These results remain consistent for all operation sizes with between 93 and 95 percent of calving occurring in the early spring (February through March) and 91 to 98 percent of weaning in the fall months of September through November (Table 7).

Table 6.
Percentage of calving and weaning during each month: all ranches.

| Month | Percentage of Calving <br> $\mathrm{n}=785^{1}$ | Percentage of Weaning <br> $\mathrm{n}=785$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | $10^{2}$ | $<1 \%$ |
|  | $(7)^{3}$ | $(6)$ |
| February | $12 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |
|  | $(23)$ | $(6)$ |
| March | $34 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $(28)$ | $(7)$ |
| April | $38 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $(30)$ | $(10)$ |
| May | $10 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |
|  | $(18)$ | $(5)$ |
| June | $2 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |
|  | $(8)$ | $(5)$ |
| July | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ |
|  | $(4)$ | $(6)$ |
| August | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $(5)$ | $(11)$ |
| September | $1 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
|  | $(8)$ | $(31)$ |
| October | $<1 \%$ | $56 \%$ |
|  | $(3)$ | $(47)$ |
| November | $<1 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(41)$ |
| December | $<1 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
|  | $(1)$ | $(16)$ |

[^2]Table 7.
Percentage of calving and weaning during each month by operation size.

| Month | Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-299 <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=588^{1}$ |  | 300-999 <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=182$ |  | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=15$ |  |
|  | Calving | Weaning | Calving | Weaning | Calving | Weaning |
| January | $1 \%^{2}$ <br> (7) ${ }^{3}$ | $<1 \%$ <br> (6) | 1\% <br> (6) | 1\% <br> (8) | $3 \%$ <br> (13) | $0$ |
| February | $14 \%$ <br> (24) | $<1 \%$ <br> (6) | $8 \%$ <br> (18) | $<1 \%$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (13.5) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |
| March | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ (28) \end{gathered}$ | $1 \%$ <br> (6) | $\begin{gathered} 28 \% \\ (26) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (20.8) \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| April | $36 \%$ <br> (30) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $44 \%$ <br> (29) | $1 \%$ <br> (8) | $\begin{aligned} & 47 \% \\ & (21.0) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| May | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & (17) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{(5)}{<1 \%}$ | $13 \%$ <br> (19) | $1 \%$ <br> (5) | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (15.8) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| June | $2 \%$ <br> (8) | $1 \%$ <br> (6) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (3.0) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| July | $<1 \%$ <br> (4) | $<1 \%$ <br> (7) | $1 \%$ <br> (4) | 0 | $\begin{gathered} <1 \% \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| August | $<1 \%$ <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $1 \%$ <br> (8) | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \% \\ & (0.5) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ |
| September | $1 \%$ <br> (9) | $13 \%$ <br> (33) | $1 \%$ <br> (7) | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & \text { (27) } \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (21) \end{aligned}$ |
| October | $<1 \%$ <br> (4) | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ (48) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ (47) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ (40) \end{gathered}$ |
| November | $<1 \%$ <br> (1) | $22 \%$ <br> (40) | $0$ | 28\% <br> (42) | $0$ | $33 \%$ <br> (37) |
| December | $<1 \%$ <br> (1) | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (16) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (14) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $1 \%$ <br> (4) |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting dataset to answers summing to $100 \%$ dropped 22 respondents from small and 7 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Table 8 presents percentages of respondents practicing different herd management techniques for most of their herd each year, for all ranches as well as by three operation sizes. Nearly all of the ranches represented included vaccination ( 97 percent) and castration ( 95 percent) as part of their herd management routine. Insect control (73 percent) and de-worming ( 72 percent) were practiced by three-quarters and pregnancy checks ( 68 percent), animal identification ( 66 percent), veterinary consultation (62 percent), and dehorning ( 56 percent) were practiced by more than half of respondents.
The largest means for every category were for medium and large producers with the highest overall means for producers with 1,000 or more bred cows (Table 8).

Table 8.
Herd management techniques practiced.

| Practice |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=809^{1}$ | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=605$ | $\begin{gathered} 300-999 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=189 \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Vaccinate | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \%^{2} \\ & (0.16)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 97 \% \\ & (0.17) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 99 \% \\ & (0.07) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 93 \% \\ & (0.26) \end{aligned}$ |
| De-worm | $\begin{aligned} & 72 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 82 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Insect Control | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 71 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 78 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 73 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ |
| Implant | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ |
| Dehorn | $\begin{aligned} & 56 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & (0.51) \end{aligned}$ |
| Castrate | $\begin{aligned} & 95 \% \\ & (0.22) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 94 \% \\ & (0.23) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 96 \% \\ & (0.19) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 100 \% \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Animal ID System | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 66 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (0.41) \end{aligned}$ |
| Body Condition Scoring | $\begin{aligned} & 21 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ |
| Pregnancy Check | $\begin{aligned} & 68 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 85 \% \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \% \\ & (0.41) \end{aligned}$ |
| Breeding Soundness Exam | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (0.51) \end{aligned}$ |
| Artificial Insemination | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ |
| Veterinarian Consultation | $\begin{aligned} & 62 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 59 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 70 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 87 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (0.14) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (0.14) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating respondents who did not check any techniques dropped 5 respondents. All of these were from small operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Two (2) percent of respondents specified "other" herd management techniques, however most of these responses duplicated listed categories (e.g., branding, ear tags, and specific vaccinations). A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.
Summary: Herd Management Practices. Customary calving and weaning times are standard for most Wyoming beef cattle producers represented by this survey, with most calving done in early spring (March and April) and most weaning in October. This is consistent over every operation size. The majority of ranches practiced multiple herd management techniques with vaccination and castration almost universal. Herd management was more intensive for larger operations.

## Ranch Expenses

Respondents were asked to report percentages of total ranch expenses allocated to twelve expense categories in a typical year.
For a typical year, Wyoming beef producers in the sample reported the highest percentage of ranch expenses on alfalfa hay ( 18 percent) and fuel costs ( 15 percent). All purchased feed sources (including alfalfa) accounted for 31 percent of expenses. Livestock purchases were reported as 9 percent of total ranch expenses for all producers. Alfalfa, livestock purchases, labor, and fuel were the most variable expense categories across ranches (Table 9).

Many expense categories remained fairly consistent across operation size (e.g., feed other than alfalfa, fertilizer, and veterinary supplies). Small operations reported higher expenses for alfalfa hay ( 20 percent versus 12 and 11 percent for medium and large operators, respectively). Fuel and machinery repair services as a percentage of total costs decreased as operation size increased. Medium-sized operations had the highest expense percentages related to livestock purchases. Large operations had higher percentages of expenses allocated to labor and general business expenses (interest and professional services) (Table 9).

Two-hundred and sixty-four (264) respondents listed one or more "other" ranch expenses, many of which duplicated or elaborated on categories provided. Eighty (80) expenses listed were for land leases or payments. Other common categories included: 41 listings for various taxes; 30 for ranch supplies; 25 listed ranch maintenance, repair, or general improvements; 24 were related to water and irrigation costs; 17 for equipment or machinery expense; 16 for trucking and freight; and 15 for various insurance costs. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

Summary: Ranch Expenses. Feed and fuel costs accounted for the highest percentages of ranch expenses reported by Wyoming beef cattle producers responding to this survey. Many expense categories remained fairly consistent across operation sizes, however the smallest producers reported a higher percentage allocated to purchasing alfalfa hay, medium-size producers had higher costs related to purchasing livestock, and the largest producers reported higher percentages going to pay for labor, interest expense, and professional services.

Table 9.
Percentage of total ranch expenses for all ranches and by operation size.

| Ranch Expense |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=673^{1}$ | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=508$ | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=152$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=13$ |
| Purchased Livestock | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \%^{2} \\ & (14)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (16) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Alfalfa Hay | $18 \%$ <br> (21) | $\begin{gathered} 20 \% \\ (22) \end{gathered}$ | $12 \%$ <br> (16) | $11 \%$ <br> (17) |
| Grain (Corn, Barley, Oats) | $4 \%$ <br> (7) | $4 \%$ <br> (8) | $3 \%$ <br> (6) | $3 \%$ <br> (9) |
| Feed Concentrates | $5 \%$ <br> (7) | $5 \%$ <br> (7) | $\underset{(7)}{6 \%}$ | $4 \%$ <br> (4) |
| Salt and Mineral | $4 \%$ <br> (6) | $4 \%$ <br> (6) | $4 \%$ <br> (4) | $5 \%$ (7) |
| Fertilizer, Chemicals, Seeds | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $6 \%$ <br> (8) | 8\% <br> (13) |
| Veterinarian / Health Supplies | $\underset{(5)}{6 \%}$ | $6 \%$ <br> (6) | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $5 \%$ <br> (4) |
| Labor-hired / Contract Labor | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (12) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ |
| Diesel, Gas., Nat. Gas Fuels | $\underset{(12)}{15 \%}$ | $16 \%$ <br> (13) | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ (11) \end{gathered}$ | $7 \%$ <br> (4) |
| Interest Expense | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (10) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ |
| Professional Services | $2 \%$ <br> (3) | $1 \%$ <br> (3) | $2 \%$ <br> (3) | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ |
| Machinery Repair Services | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $8 \%$ <br> (8) | 5\% <br> (4) |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & (16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 9 \% \\ & (16) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & (13) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 19 \% \\ (21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

[^3]
## Family and Non-Family Labor

The labor needs of a typical Wyoming beef cattle ranch were detailed in a question about family and non-family workers (both paid and unpaid) employed on a full-time or parttime or seasonal basis.

Of all respondents who reported employing family or non-family labor, an average of 1.8 year-round family members and 2.1 part-time or seasonal family members were hired. Respondents also reported hiring 2.1 non-family employees on a year-round and 2.4 on a part-time or seasonal basis. Larger operations hire more of every category of employee (Tables 10 and 11).

Table 10.
Average number of family and non-family labor employed year-round and on a part time or seasonal basis: all ranches.

|  | Family |  | Non-Family |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number Employed | Year Round | Part Time | Year Round | Part Time |
| For All Respondents <br> $\mathrm{n}=800^{1}$ | $1.5^{2}$ | 0.8 | 0.4 | 0.7 |
| $(1.2)^{3}$ | $(1.4)$ | $(1.6)$ | $(1.9)$ |  |
| Of Those Reporting | $1.8^{2}$ | 2.1 | 2.1 | 2.4 |
| Labor | $\mathrm{n}=688^{1}(1.1)^{3}$ | $\mathrm{n}=285(1.7)$ | $\mathrm{n}=150(3.3)$ | $\mathrm{n}=239(2.9)$ |
| Maximum Number | $7^{4}$ | 20 | 25 | 20 |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating respondents who did not answer any part of question 7 or who dropped 14 respondents.
${ }^{2}$ Mean number of employees (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{4}$ Maximum number of employees reported.

Table 11.
Average number of family and non-family labor employed year-round and on a part time or seasonal basis: by operation size.

| Number Employed | Producers with 20 to 299 Bred Cows <br> Family <br> Non-Family |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Year Round | Part Time | Year Round | Part Time |
| For All Respondents $\mathrm{n}=576^{1}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4^{2} \\ & (1.0)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.7 \\ (1.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1 \\ (0.4)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \\ (1.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Of Those Reporting Labor | $\begin{gathered} 1.6 \\ \mathrm{n}=519(0.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \mathrm{n}=203(1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.3 \\ \mathrm{n}=65(0.6) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \mathrm{n}=140(2.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Maximum Number | $7^{4}$ | 8 | 5 | 20 |
|  | Producers with 300 to 999 Bred Cows <br> Family Non-Family |  |  |  |
| Number Employed | Year Round | Part Time | Year Round | Part Time |
| For All Respondents $\mathrm{n}=189$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.8 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 0.9 \\ & (1.4) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.8 \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.3 \\ & (2.7) \end{aligned}$ |
| Of Those Reporting Labor | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ \mathrm{n}=157(1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.2 \\ \mathrm{n}=77(1.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.0 \\ \mathrm{n}=74(1.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ \mathrm{n}=88(3.4) \end{gathered}$ |
| Maximum Number | 7 | 10 | 12 | 20 |
|  | Producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more Bred Cows <br> Family Non-Family |  |  |  |
| Number Employed | Year Round | Part Time | Year Round | Part Time |
| For All Respondents $\mathrm{n}=15$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.5 \\ & (2.0) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1.8 \\ & (5.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.8 \\ (8.7)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & (4.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Of Those Reporting Labor | $\underset{\mathrm{n}=12}{3.1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.4 \\ \mathrm{n}=5(8.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.9 \\ \mathrm{n}=11(9.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.6 \\ \mathrm{n}=11(4.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Maximum Number | 6 | 20 | 25 | 15 |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating respondents who did not answer any part of question 7 or who dropped 14 respondents.
${ }^{2}$ Mean number of employees (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{4}$ Maximum number of employees reported.

The frequency table (Table 12) of family and non-family labor by month shows the majority of part-time or seasonal employees hired by survey respondents worked between the summer months of June and August. Frequencies for monthly employment by operation size is included in Appendix C.

Table 12.
Frequency of family and non-family labor employed on a part-time or seasonal basis by month: all ranches.

| Month | Family | Non-Family |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| January | $12^{1}$ | 16 |
| February | 19 | 22 |
| March | 38 | 44 |
| April | 64 | 74 |
| May | 91 | 95 |
| June | 140 | 123 |
| July | 138 | 122 |
| August | 134 | 111 |
| September | 65 | 71 |
| October | 55 | 61 |
| November | 28 | 24 |
| December | 13 | 7 |
|  |  |  |
| All Months | 79 | 22 |

${ }^{1}$ Frequency of a binary variable. Out of 876 respondents who entered a positive amount for number of family and 792 respondents who entered a positive number for number of non-family employed.

## Feed Sources and Feeding

The Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey included two questions detailing feed sources and feeding. Respondents were asked to list amounts of feed from on- and offfarm sources other than pasture, and which months each source was typically fed. The survey also asked numbers of several livestock classes owned, number of months each is typically owned, and the number of months cattle were fed on non-pasture sources.

Table 13 provides information on amounts of feed sources coming from on- and off-farm sources as well as which months producers started and finished feeding each source. Hay, alfalfa, and crop aftermath (other than corn) was predominately produced on-farm. An average respondent produced 271 tons of hay, 123 tons of alfalfa, and 68 acres of crop aftermath. High standard deviations for these categories are due to a few producers reporting very high amounts (e.g., 30,000 tons of on-farm grass hay, 1,000,000 bushels of off-farm grain). Maximum amounts for every category are reported in Appendix C. Protein supplements, concentrates, and grain were mainly procured off-farm.
Grass hay, crop aftermath, and grain are generally fed from fall (September or October) through spring (April, May, or June). Feeding of alfalfa, protein supplements, and concentrates typically began in August (Table 13).

Table 13.
On- and off-farm feed sources and months fed: all ranches.

| Feed Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { On Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=733^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Off Farm$\mathrm{n}=733$ | Total | Months Fed |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Started | Finished |
| Grass Hay, Other Hay | $\begin{gathered} 217 \text { tons }^{2} \\ (1,152)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $41 \text { tons }$ (130) | 257 tons | Sept. through $\mathrm{n}=568^{1}(4)^{3}$ | May $\mathrm{n}=569$ |
| Alfalfa | $\underset{(304)}{123 \text { tons }}$ | 57 tons (127) | 180 tons | Aug. through $\mathrm{n}=489$ | May $\mathrm{n}=484$ |
| Protein Supplement | 1 ton <br> (10) | 18 tons <br> (136) | 20 tons | Aug. through $\mathrm{n}=318$ (5) | June $\mathrm{n}=310$ |
| Concentrates | $<1$ ton <br> (4) | 6 tons <br> (24) | 6 tons | Aug. through $\mathrm{n}=137$ (4) | June $\mathrm{n}=139$ |
| Corn Stalks / Stubble | 7 acres <br> (39) | 7 acres <br> (97) | 14 ac. | Oct. through $n=52(3)$ | April $\mathrm{n}=50$ |
| Other Crop Aftermath | 61 acres <br> (294) | 12 acres <br> (112) | 73 ac. | Sept. through $\mathrm{n}=94(3)$ | July $\mathrm{n}=92(4)$ |
| All Grain | $\begin{gathered} 276 \text { bushels } \\ (2,013) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,567 \text { bushels } \\ (36,956) \end{gathered}$ | 1,843 b. | Sept. through $\mathrm{n}=130$ | June $\mathrm{n}=130 \text { (3) }$ |
| Other | 29 responses (350) | 6 responses (65) |  |  |  |

[^4]There were insufficient responses to present results for months fed by operation size.
Seventy-six (76) respondents listed one or more "other" feed source. The majority of these responses specified various grazing and pasture sources. Most of the remaining responses (listing silage, specific concentrates and supplements, cake, corn, etc.) duplicated listed categories. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

Large operations reported producing a larger percentage of grass and other hay on-farm in comparison to other operations. Ninety-nine (99) percent of hay was produced on-farm for operations with 1,000 or more bred cows, 75 percent for both medium and small operations. Conversely, smaller producers reported producing a larger percentage of alfalfa on-farm ( 71 percent for small, and 65 percent for medium and large producers). Medium-sized producers had the largest percentages of protein supplements and concentrates from on-farm sources. Large producers did not purchase any corn aftermath and did not produce any concentrates (Tables 14, 15, and 16).

Table 14.
On- and off-farm feed sources: producers with 20 to 299 bred cows.

| Feed Source |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { On Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=542^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Off Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=542 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Grass Hay, Other Hay | $\begin{gathered} 94 \text { tons }^{2} \\ (177)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \text { tons } \\ (70) \end{gathered}$ | 125 tons |
| Alfalfa | 95 tons (237) | 39 tons <br> (75) | 133 tons |
| Protein Supplement | $\begin{gathered} 1 \text { ton } \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | 16 tons (156) | 17 tons |
| Concentrates | $\underset{\text { (2) }}{ }$ | 3 tons <br> (14) | 3 tons |
| Corn Stalks / Stubble | 6 acres <br> (29) | 8 acres <br> (112) | 14 acres |
| Other Crop Aftermath | 31 acres <br> (122) | 5 acres <br> (49) | 36 acres |
| All Grain | $\begin{gathered} 126 \text { bushels } \\ \text { (938) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 170 \text { bushels } \\ (1308) \end{gathered}$ | 296 bushels |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not enter any amount for on- or off-farm feed sources dropped 81 respondents from the dataset for this question. Of these 68 were for small operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean amounts (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Table 15.
On- and off-farm feed sources: producers with 300 to 999 bred cows.

| Feed Source | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { On Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=176^{1} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Off Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=176 \end{gathered}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grass Hay, Other Hay | $\begin{aligned} & 414 \text { tons }^{2} \\ & (517)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 75 \text { tons } \\ (232) \end{gathered}$ | 489 tons |
| Alfalfa | 195 tons <br> (404) | 106 tons <br> (201) | 301 tons |
| Protein Supplement | $\begin{gathered} 3 \text { ton } \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | 21 tons (37) | 24 tons |
| Concentrates | 1 ton <br> (8) | 11 tons <br> (36) | 12 tons |
| Corn Stalks / Stubble | 6 acres <br> (33) | 3 acres <br> (24) | 9 acres |
| Other Crop Aftermath | 118 acres <br> (409) | $\begin{gathered} 29 \text { acres } \\ (191) \end{gathered}$ | 147 acres |
| All Grain | $\begin{gathered} 557 \text { bushels } \\ (2679) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 318 \text { bushels } \\ (1835) \end{gathered}$ | 875 bushels |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not enter any amount for on- or off-farm feed sources dropped 81 respondents for this question, 13 were from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean amounts (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).
Table 16.
On- and off-farm feed sources: producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more bred cows.

| Feed Source | $\begin{gathered} \text { On Farm } \\ \mathrm{n}=15^{1} \end{gathered}$ | Off Farm $\mathrm{n}=15$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grass Hay, Other Hay | $\begin{gathered} 2,314 \text { tons }^{2} \\ (7,681)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \text { tons } \\ (28) \end{gathered}$ | 2,324 tons |
| Alfalfa | $\begin{gathered} 300 \text { tons } \\ (704) \end{gathered}$ | 160 tons (264) | 460 tons |
| Protein Supplement | 7 tons <br> (26) | 61 tons <br> (117) | 67 tons |
| Concentrates | $0$ | $\underset{(78)}{27} \text { tons }$ | 27 tons |
| Corn Stalks / Stubble | 53 acres <br> (181) | $0$ | 53 acres |
| Other Crop Aftermath | 453 acres <br> $(1,267)$ | 80 acres <br> (310) | 533 acres |
| All Grain | $\begin{gathered} 2,413 \text { bushels } \\ (9,020) \end{gathered}$ | 66,667 bushels (258,199) | 69,080 bushels |

[^5]Respondents were asked to report the peak number of livestock they typically owned, and the months they were fed on raised or purchased feed (other than pasture) during a typical year. A typical cattle herd for the respondents consisted of 50 percent bred cows, 36 percent steer and heifer calves, 7 percent replacement heifers, 4 percent retained yearlings, and 3 percent fat cows and herd bulls. Bred cows were owned the longest (an average of 11 months out of the year) and fed from non-pasture sources for 5 months of the year. Steer and heifer calves were owned for 5 to 6 months and fed for 2 months (Table 17).

Table 17.
Peak number of livestock typically owned, number of months owned, and months on feed other than pasture for all ranches.

| Livestock Class | Peak Number Owned | Percent <br> of Herd | Number of Months Owned | Number of Months Fed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=814$ | $\mathrm{n}=814$ |
| Bred Cows | $221^{2}$ | 50\% | $11^{2}$ | $5^{2}$ |
|  | $(365)^{3}$ |  | (3) | (2) |
| Steer Calves | 79 | 18\% | 5 | 2 |
|  | (215) |  | (5) | (3) |
| Heifer Calves | 77 | 18\% | 6 | 2 |
|  | (202) |  | (5) | (3) |
| Replacement Heifers | 31 | 7\% | 7 | 3 |
|  | (71) |  | (6) | (3) |
| Retained Yearlings | 19 | 4\% | 2 | 1 |
|  | (94) |  | (4) | (2) |
| Fattened Cows | 1 | < $1 \%$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
|  | (11) |  | (1) | (1) |
| Herd Bulls | 10 | 2\% | 9 | 4 |
|  | (18) |  | (5) | (3) |
| Horses | 8 | 0 | 7 | 3 |
|  | (19) |  | (6) | (4) |
| Other | 32 | 0 | 1 | $<1$ |
|  | (504) |  | (3) | (2) |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
${ }^{2}$ Mean number (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

There were 69 respondents who listed one or more "other" livestock classes. The majority of these repeated classes already listed. Additional cattle classes listed included bull calves (13), bull yearlings (3), rodeo stock (3), dairy cows (2), and open cows (1). Additional livestock classes listed included sheep (26) as well as llamas and alpacas, goats, chickens, bucks, hogs, emus, and mules. Three (3) working dogs were also listed.

A high standard deviation for number of other livestock owned is due to two respondents listing 10,000 sheep each. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

Cattle herd composition percentages are consistent for small and medium operations with approximately 52 percent bred cows, 35 percent calves, and 7 percent replacement heifers. The largest operations reported slightly fewer bred cows in their herds (44 percent) and more calves ( 43 percent). Larger operations also reported owning calves longer ( 5 to 6 months for small, 6 months for medium, and 7 to 8 months for large operations) (Tables 18, 19, and 20).

Table 18.
Peak number of livestock typically owned, number of months owned, and months on feed other than pasture: producers with 20 to 299 bred cows.

| Livestock Class | Peak Number Owned $\mathrm{n}=610^{1}$ | Percent <br> of Herd | Number of Months Owned $\mathrm{n}=610$ | Number of Months Fed $\mathrm{n}=610$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bred Cows | $106^{2}$ | 52\% | $11^{2}$ | $5^{2}$ |
|  | (74) ${ }^{3}$ |  | (3) ${ }^{3}$ | (2) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Steer Calves | 36 | 18\% | 5 | 2 |
|  | (110) |  | (5) | (2) |
| Heifer Calves | 35 | 17\% | 6 | 2 |
|  | (67) |  | (5) | (3) |
| Replacement Heifers | 15 | 7\% | 7 | 3 |
|  | (28) |  | (6) | (3) |
| Retained Yearlings | 7 | 3\% | 1 | 1 |
|  | (45) |  | (4) | (2) |
| Fattened Cows | 1 | 1\% | <1 | <1 |
|  | (12) |  | (1) | (1) |
| Herd Bulls | 5 | 2\% | 9 | 4 |
|  | (9) |  | (5) | (3) |
| Horses | 5 | 0 | 7 | 3 |
|  | (11) |  | (6) | (4) |

[^6]Table 19.
Peak number of livestock typically owned, number of months owned, and months on feed other than pasture: producers with $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ to 999 bred cows.

| Livestock Class | Peak Number <br> $\mathrm{n}=189^{1}$ | Percent of Herd | Number of <br> $\mathrm{n}=189$ | Number of <br> $\mathrm{n}=189$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bred Cows | $456^{2}$ | $52 \%$ | $12^{2}$ | $4^{2}$ |
| Steer Calves | $(162)^{3}$ |  | $(2)$ | $(2)$ |
|  | 156 | $18 \%$ | 6 | 2 |
| Heifer Calves | $(250)$ |  | $(5)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 137 | $16 \%$ | 6 | 2 |
| Replacement Heifers | $(114)$ |  | $(5)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 64 | $7 \%$ | 8 | 4 |
| Retained Yearlings | $(90)$ |  | $(6)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 46 | $5 \%$ | 2 | 1 |
| Fattened Cows | $(136)$ |  | $(4)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 1 | $<1 \%$ | $<1$ | $<1$ |
| Herd Bulls | $(6)$ |  | $(2)$ | $(1)$ |
|  | 20 | $2 \%$ | 10 | 4 |
| Horses | $(13)$ |  | $(5)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 13 | 0 | 9 | 3 |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. ${ }^{2}$ Mean number (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).
Table 20.
Peak number of livestock typically owned, number of months owned, and months on feed other than pasture: producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more bred cows.

| Livestock Class | Peak \# Owned <br> $\mathrm{n}=15^{1}$ | Percent <br> of Herd | Months Owned <br> $\mathrm{n}=15$ | Months Fed <br> $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bred Cows | $1,926^{2}$ | $44 \%$ | $12^{2}$ | $4^{2}$ |
| Steer Calves | $(1642)^{3}$ |  | $(1)$ | $(2)$ |
| Heifer Calves | 872 | $20 \%$ | 8 | 2 |
|  | $(699)$ |  | $(4)$ | $(4)$ |
| Replacement Heifers | 1,000 | $23 \%$ | 7 | 2 |
| Retained Yearlings | $(983)$ |  | $(4)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 287 | $7 \%$ | 10 | 4 |
| Fattened Cows | $(234)$ | $4 \%$ | $(5)$ | $(3)$ |
|  | 170 |  | $(5)$ | 1 |
| Herd Bulls | $(365)$ | 0 | - | $(2)$ |
|  | 0 |  | 10 | 0 |
| Horses | - | $2 \%$ | $(5)$ | - |
|  | 85 | 0 | 10 | 4 |

[^7]Summary: Feed Sources and Feeding. A typical Wyoming beef cattle ranch responding to this survey fed out 257 tons of grass hay ( 84 percent of which was produced on-farm) and 180 tons of alfalfa ( 68 percent from on-farm sources). Herds were fed grass hay, crop aftermath, and grain from fall through early spring while herds were generally started on alfalfa, protein supplements, and concentrates in August. Larger operations produced nearly all of their grass hay on-farm while smaller operations produced a larger percentage of their own alfalfa.

## Cattle Markets

Three questions on the Wyoming Beef Producers Survey asked about livestock sale and purchasing market methods as well as typical sale weights and months for several livestock classes.

Respondents were asked to report the percentage of calves they sold using different market methods. The sale barn is the most common sales market institution for all ranches responding, accounting for 54 percent of all calf sales. Private sale or treaty ( 27 percent) and video auction (14 percent) accounted for most other sales (Table 21).
Sales methods varied by operation size. Larger operation size was related to a larger percentage of calves reported sold by private sale or treaty, retained slaughter sold live, and retained slaughter sold on-the-grid in-the-meat. Larger operations reported fewer sales via the sale barn. Medium-sized producers reported the most sales via video auction. The majority of small producers preferred the sale barn (Table 21).

Table 21.
Market methods for calf sales.

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting responses to producers who gave answers with percentages summing to $100 \%$ dropped 9 respondents ( 7 from small and 2 from medium operation sizes).
${ }^{2}$ Mean percent (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{4}$ Includes forward cash contracts, futures and options, website listing, retained slaughter-sold live, retained slaughter-sold on the grid in the meat, retained-direct grass-fed or natural, and retained-direct certified organic.

Table 22 shows the frequency of calf sales through market methods other than sale barn, private treaty, or video auction were predominately retained slaughter-sold live, on-thegrid, or natural grass-fed. Every non-traditional calf sales method was more popular with smaller operations. Producers with 1,000 or more bred cows reported no forward contract, futures, website, retained natural, retained organic, or other sales.

Table 22.
Frequency of non-traditional sale methods for calves.

| Calf Sale Method | By Operation Size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows |
| Forward Cash Contracts | $9^{1}$ | 8 | 1 | 0 |
| Futures and Options | 3 | 1 | 2 | 0 |
| Website Listing | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0 |
| Retained Slaughter- <br> Sold Live | 34 | 21 | 11 | 2 |
| Retained Slaughter- <br> On-the-Grid In-the-Meat | 20 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| Retained- | 20 | 12 | 8 | 0 |
| Direct Grass-fed or Natural | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Retained- <br> Direct Certified Organic |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Frequency count of percent of sales coded as a binary variable.

Thirty (30) respondents listed one or more "other" sales methods. The majority of these duplicated or elaborated on categories provided. Several also indicated that animals were kept for meat or herd replacement. Additional sales markets listed included bull test auctions, consignment sales, cattle buyers, and ranch auctions. Three (3) respondents reported selling calves directly to feedlots but did not indicate a specific method. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.
Respondents were asked to report typical sale or maintenance weights by cattle class as well as the month each class of cattle was most typically sold. Sale weights for all ranches averaged 582 lbs to 547 lbs for weaned calves, 716 lbs for backgrounded calves, 898 lbs for long yearlings, and 845 lbs for replacement heifers. Weaned calves typically sold in September, backgrounded calves in May, and long yearlings in August (Table 23).

Table 23.
Typical sale or maintenance weights and month typically sold by cattle class for all ranches.

| Cattle Class | n | Typical Weight | Minimum and Maximum Weight |  | Month Sold |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weaned Steer Calves | $715^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 582 \mathrm{lbs}^{2} \\ (94)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | 320 lbs | 1,250 lbs | September <br> (3) ${ }^{3}$ |
| Weaned Heifer Calves | 685 | 547 lbs <br> (88) | 300 lbs | 1,150 lbs | September <br> (3) |
| Backgrounded Calves | 52 | $\begin{gathered} 716 \mathrm{lbs} \\ (172) \end{gathered}$ | 400 lbs | 1,300 lbs | May <br> (4) |
| Long Yearlings | 97 | 898 lbs <br> (158) | 300 lbs | 1,400 lbs | August <br> (2) |
| Finished Cattle | 47 | $1,227 \mathrm{lbs}$ <br> (119) | 900 lbs | 1,500 lbs | June <br> (3) |
| Replacement Heifers | 208 | $845 \mathrm{lbs}$ (177) | 500 lbs | 1,200 lbs | July <br> (4) |
| Bred Cows | 300 | 1,199 lbs (119) | 800 lbs | 1,800 lbs | August <br> (4) |
| Fattened Cows | 85 | $1,259 \mathrm{lbs}$ $(156)$ | 950 lbs | 1,700 lbs | August <br> (3) |
| Herd Bulls | 376 | 1,799 lbs (260) | 950 lbs | $3,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ | August <br> (3) |
| Other | 55 | $\begin{gathered} 1,063 \mathrm{lbs} \\ (410) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  |  | August <br> (3) |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Requiring some positive answer to either weight or month sold for any cattle class dropped 59 respondents. Minimum weight was set at 100 lbs for all calves and yearlings and 500 lbs for all adult cattle classes to eliminate nonsensical answers. Answers were eliminated for months sold that were not $1-12$. This further reduced n for individual cattle classes as indicated in Table.
${ }^{2}$ Means (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number). Standard deviation for months was calculated from variable for months coded from 1-12.

Fifty-seven (57) respondents listed one or more "other" cattle class for sale or maintenance weights. The most common listed classes were cull cows (16), open cows (5), dry cows (5), bulls (5), and bull calves (4). A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.
Sale or maintenance weights and months sold did not vary much by operation size, however smaller operations reported slightly higher weights for calves and yearlings despite the fact that the largest operations generally sold calves one month later in the year (Table 24).

Table 24.
Typical sale or maintenance weights and month typically sold for cattle classes by operation size.

| Cattle Class | Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 20-299 Bred Cows |  | 300-999 Bred Cows |  | $\geq 1,000$ Bred Cows |  |
|  | Typical Weight | Month Sold | Typical Weight | Month Sold | Typical Weight | Month Sold |
| Weaned Steer Calves | $\begin{gathered} 591 \mathrm{lbs}^{2} \\ \mathrm{n}=540^{1}(99)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{n}=519}{\text { September }^{1}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 555 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=161(71) \end{gathered}$ | September $\mathrm{n}=155$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} 532 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=14(35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { October } \\ & \mathrm{n}=11(3.1) \end{aligned}$ |
| Weaned Heifer Calves | $\begin{gathered} 555 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=520(92) \end{gathered}$ | September <br> $\mathrm{n}=486$ (3) | $\begin{gathered} 524 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=151(68) \end{gathered}$ | September $\mathrm{n}=144 \text { (3) }$ | $\begin{aligned} & 494 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=14(40) \end{aligned}$ | October $\mathrm{n}=9(3)$ |
| Backgrounded Calves | $\begin{gathered} 714 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=37(164) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \mathrm{n}=31 \text { (4) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 659 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=12(116) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { May } \\ \mathrm{n}=11(4.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 967 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=3(293) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { March } \\ \mathrm{n}=2(0) \end{gathered}$ |
| Long Yearlings | $\begin{gathered} 911 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=54(195) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ \mathrm{n}=44 \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 887 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=37(96) \end{gathered}$ | September $\mathrm{n}=34(2)$ | $\begin{aligned} & 858 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=6(86) \end{aligned}$ | August <br> $\mathrm{n}=6$ (3) |
| Finished Cattle | $\begin{aligned} & 1,216 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=32(140) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ \mathrm{n}=25 \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,252 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=13(46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { June } \\ \mathrm{n}=13 \text { (3) } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,250 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=2(71) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ \mathrm{n}=1 \end{gathered}$ |
| Replacement Heifers | $\begin{gathered} 824 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=146(185) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{n}=37(4)}{\text { July }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 898 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=55(146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { August } \\ & \mathrm{n}=23 \text { (4) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 861 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=7(173) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { May } \\ & \mathrm{n}=3(4) \end{aligned}$ |
| Bred Cows | $\begin{aligned} & 1,200 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=214(131) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { September } \\ \mathrm{n}=73(4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,197 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=77(80) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ \mathrm{n}=41(4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 1,186 lbs } \\ \mathrm{n}=9(74) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { July } \\ \mathrm{n}=2(6) \end{gathered}$ |
| Fattened Cows | $\begin{aligned} & 1,261 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=64(167) \end{aligned}$ | August $\mathrm{n}=54$ (4) | $\begin{gathered} 1,259 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=19(127) \end{gathered}$ | August $\mathrm{n}=20$ (3) | $\underset{\substack{\text { n=2 (35) }}}{1,175 \mathrm{lbs}}$ | November $\mathrm{n}=2(1)$ |
| Herd Bulls | $\begin{aligned} & 1,796 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=262(277) \end{aligned}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{n}=140(3)}{\text { August }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1,808 \mathrm{lbs} \\ & \mathrm{n}=102(213) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { August } \\ \mathrm{n}=60(3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,796 \mathrm{lbs} \\ \mathrm{n}=12(251) \end{gathered}$ | August $\mathrm{n}=6(4)$ |

[^8]Respondents were asked to report the percentage of purchased cattle acquired using different market methods. As with sales markets, the majority of Wyoming beef producers responding to the survey went to the sale barn ( 43 percent of sales), or used private sale or treaty ( 50 percent) to acquire cattle. Again, the sale barn was a more popular market for smaller producers. However, contrary to sales market preference
results, private treaty was also more popular with smaller producers while larger producers were more likely to use video auctions to purchase cattle (Table 25).

Table 25.
Percentage of cattle purchased by market method.

|  |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches | 20-299 <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=432$ | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=145$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=10$ |
| Purchase Method | $437^{1}$ | $42 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Sale Barn | $(45)^{3}$ | $(46)$ | $(45)$ | $(43)$ |
| Video Auction | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Private Sale / Treaty | $(13)$ | $(11)$ | $(15)$ | $(16)$ |
| Forward Cash Contracts | $50 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $50 \%$ |
| Website Listing | $(46)$ | $(46)$ | $(45)$ | $(47)$ |
|  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | 0 |
|  | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $(4)$ | - |
| Other | $(1)$ | $(1)$ | 0 | 0 |
|  |  | $4 \%$ | $3 \%$ | - |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting to those responses that summed to $100 \%$ dropped 227 respondents ( 178 from small, 44 from medium, and 5 from large operation sizes).
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Thirty-eight (38) respondents indicated one or more "other" methods for purchasing cattle. Several respondents indicated that they rely only on internal replacement. In addition to several duplicated categories, additional markets and methods listed included bull sales (9); private auction (4); classified ads, flyers, or newspaper ads (3); and ranch auctions. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.
Summary: Cattle Markets. Wyoming cattle producers represented by the survey rely on traditional sale barn and private agreements for the majority of their sales and purchases of livestock. Medium-sized operations reported the highest percentage of sales done via video auctions. There was little variation in sale weights over operation size. Small operations did report slightly higher weights for calves and yearlings despite the fact that large operations tended to sell calves a month later in the year.

## Part B: Other Production and Marketing Practices

The second part of the Wyoming Beef Producers Survey asked respondents seven questions regarding other production and marketing practices. Topics included retained ownership of calves, direct marketing to consumers and other non-traditional marketing practices, and about current issued impacting the future of the beef industry.

## Retained Ownership

A series of linked questions regarding Wyoming beef producers' practices and beliefs regarding retained ownership of calves asked respondents about their current practices as well as the potential number, location, and distance to calves retained at off-farm feedlots.

Nine (9) percent of all respondents reported currently retaining ownership of calves. There is a clear relationship between operation size and this practice: 6 percent of small, 18 percent of medium, and 27 percent of large operations reported currently retaining ownership (Table 26).

Table 26.
Producers currently retaining ownership of calves.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Ranches$\mathrm{n}=791^{1}$ | 20-299 | 300-999 | $\geq 1,000$ |
|  | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=593$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| 9\% ${ }^{2}$ | 6\% | 18\% | 27\% |
| (0.28) ${ }^{3}$ | (0.23) | (0.39) | (0.46) |
| ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not answer either "yes" or "no" dropped 23 respondents from the dataset for this question ( 17 from small and 6 from medium operations). <br> ${ }^{2}$ Mean (of a binary variable reported as a percentage) of respondents reporting "yes" (rounded to the nearest whole percentile). <br> ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation. |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

Of 70 respondents reporting that they currently retain ownership of calves, 63 percent held calves in-state and 37 percent at out-of-state feedlots. The average distance from the home ranch to an in-state feedlot was 73 miles with a maximum distance of 325 miles. The average distance to out-of-state calves was 142 miles with a maximum of 1,000 miles. Small producers who retained calves shipped them a shorter average distance to both in- and out-of-state facilities (Table 27).

## Table 27.

## Location of and distance to calves retained by producers who currently retain ownership into an off-farm feedlot.

| Location |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=70^{1}$ | 20-299 Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=33$ | 300-999 Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=33$ | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1,000 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=4 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| Percent Held In-State | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \%^{2} \\ & (0.49)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 70 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (0.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 100 \% \\ (0.00) \end{gathered}$ |
| Distance From Home: $\begin{array}{r}\text { Average } \\ \text { Maximum }\end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 64 \text { miles }^{4} \\ & (85)^{3} \\ & 325 \text { miles } \end{aligned}$ | 53 miles <br> (83) <br> 325 miles | 65 miles <br> (88) <br> 280 miles | 146 miles <br> (42) <br> 200 miles |
| Percent Held Out-of-State | $\begin{aligned} & 37 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (0.51) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & (0.58) \end{aligned}$ |
| Distance From Home: $\begin{array}{r}\text { Average } \\ \text { Maximum }\end{array}$ | 142 miles <br> (244) <br> 1,000 miles | $\begin{gathered} 75 \text { miles } \\ (180) \\ 700 \text { miles } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 206 \text { miles } \\ (285) \end{gathered}$ <br> 1,000 miles | 161 miles <br> (236) <br> 500 miles |

[^9]Respondents who reported that they did not currently retain ownership of calves into an off-farm feedlot were asked whether they would consider doing so. Out of these 708 respondents, 31 percent said that they would consider retaining ownership. Larger operation sizes were more likely to consider retaining ownership. Thirty (30) percent of small, 34 percent of medium producers, and 45 percent of large operations answered yes to this question (Table 28).

Table 28.
Percentage of producers who would consider retaining calves.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Ranches | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
|  | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=708^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=551$ | $\mathrm{n}=146$ | $\mathrm{n}=11$ |
| $31 \%{ }^{2}$ | $30 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $45 \%$ |
| $(0.46)^{3}$ | $(0.46)$ | $(0.47)$ | $(0.52)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who either answered "no" to question 13-Do you currently retain ownership?-dropped 106 respondents ( 59 from small, 43 from medium, and 4 from large operations).
${ }^{2}$ Mean (of binary variable reported as a percentage) of respondents reporting "yes" (rounded to the ${ }^{3}$ nearest whole percentile).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

For respondents who do not currently, but who would consider, retaining ownership of their calves, 63 percent reported they preferred a location in-state an average distance of 73 miles away and 35 percent preferred out-of-state facilities 134 miles from the home ranch. The maximum distance these 224 respondents reported that they would be willing to ship calves was 1,000 miles to an in-state and 2,000 miles to an out-of-state feedlot. Smaller producers who reported considering retained ownership were more likely to prefer in-state while larger producers were more likely to prefer out-of-state facilities (Table 29).

Table 29.

## Preferred location of and distance to calves for producers who would consider retaining ownership into an off-farm feedlot.

| Location | All Ranches$\mathrm{n}=224^{1}$ | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20-299 <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=167$ | 300-999 Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=52$ | $\geq 1,000$ Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=5$ |
| Percent Held In-State | $\begin{aligned} & 63 \%^{2} \\ & (0.48)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 67 \% \\ & \hline 67 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (0.55) \end{aligned}$ |
| Preferred Distance From Home: Average | $\underset{(129)^{3}}{73 \text { miles }^{4}}$ | $\underset{(114)}{70 \text { miles }}$ | $\underset{(173)}{88 \text { miles }}$ | $\underset{(87)}{50 \text { miles }}$ |
| Maximum | 1,000 miles | 1,000 miles | 1,000 miles | 200 miles |
| Percent Held Out-of-State | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & (0.55) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 60 \% \\ & (0.55) \end{aligned}$ |
| Preferred Distance From Home: <br> Average | $\underset{(262)}{134 \text { miles }}$ | $\underset{(265)}{118 \text { miles }}$ | $\underset{(253)}{176 \text { miles }}$ | $\underset{(228)}{220 \text { miles }}$ |
| Maximum | 2,000 miles | 2,000 miles | 1,000 miles | 500 miles |
| ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Calculated from 224 res retaining ownership? <br> ${ }^{2}$ Mean (of binary variable reported as whole percentile). <br> ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation. <br> ${ }^{4}$ Mean distance (rounded to the neares | ondents who an percentage) of whole number) | ered "yes" to <br> pondents repo | tion 15-Wo <br> "yes" (roun | you consider <br> o the nearest |

Summary: Retained Ownership. Less than 10 percent of respondents to the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey reported currently retaining ownership of their calves in an off-farm feedlot. Larger producers were much more likely to retain ownership. Thirtyone (31) percent of those respondents who do not currently employ this practice said that they would consider doing so-again this percentage was much higher for larger operations. The preferred location and distance to calves for respondents who actually retained ownership and those who would consider this practice were very similar.

## Alternative Practices

Respondents were asked about their current practices and opinions regarding direct marketing as well as several other alternative production, marketing, herd management, and income practices.

Respondents were asked to report the number of cattle they would direct market to consumers across state lines if a USDA inspector were available. The average number was 22 head for all ranches responding. There is a relationship between the number of head a producer would market directly and operation size with 13 head reported for
small, 24 for medium, and 360 for large operations. The large standard deviation for large operations is due to one producer reporting 3,500 head. The maximum number of head reported for medium and large producers was 500 and 600 head, respectively (Table 30).

Table 30.
Number of head a producer would direct market to consumers across state lines
if a USDA inspector were available.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ |  |  |  | | $\mathrm{n}=610$ |
| :---: |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
${ }^{2}$ Mean number of cattle (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Respondents were asked whether they have considered or are currently doing several alternative practices related to production, marketing, herd management, and income.
The most common specialty production practice respondents reported currently doing was grass-fed or natural beef ( 30 percent). Less than 10 percent of respondents currently produce USDA certified organic beef. About 30 percent of respondents said that they "have considered" producing either natural or organic beef (Table 31).

Fourteen (14) percent of respondents currently market beef directly to consumers. Only 4 percent belong to a beef co-operative or alliance. About 1 in 4 respondents said that they "have considered" these alternative marketing practices, 1 in 3 have not considered them, and about 1 in 7 said they "would not" market using these methods (Table 31).
Alternative herd management practices of employing a National Animal Identification System or changing calving seasons are currently done by 5 and 9 percent of respondents, respectively. Forty (40) percent said they would consider a national I.D. system and 27 percent said that they would consider a different calving season (Table 31).
When asked about alternative sources of ranch income, 14 percent of respondents reported currently adding an additional enterprise to their beef operations. Another 29 percent said that they would consider this option. About one quarter of respondents said they currently sell some form of recreation on their ranch for additional income, although nearly half said that they "will not" or have not considered selling recreation. Forty-four (44) percent said they currently work off-farm for additional income with the remainder evenly split between "have considered", "have not considered", and "will not" work offfarm (Table 31).

Table 31.
"Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?": all ranches.

| Practice | Currently Doing | Have Considered | Have Not Considered | Will Not Do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=702^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=702$ | $\mathrm{n}=702$ | $\mathrm{n}=702$ |
| Production |  |  |  |  |
| Organic Beef (USDA Certified) | $\begin{gathered} 6 \%^{2} \\ (0.23)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grass-fed or Natural Beef | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 10 \% \\ & (0.30) \end{aligned}$ |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Customer Marketing | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & (0.46 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Join Beef Co-op or Alliance | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Herd Management |  |  |  |  |
| National Ind. Animal ID System | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (0.22) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 40 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.33) \end{aligned}$ |
| Change Calving Season | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ (0.44 \end{gathered}$ |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| Start an Additional Enterprise | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sell Recreation | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ |
| Work Off-farm / ranch | $\begin{aligned} & 44 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \% \\ & (0.04) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \% \\ & (0.07) \end{aligned}$ | $0$ | $0$ |

[^10]Four additional statements regarding sagebrush management were accidentally placed under question 18 on the survey instrument. These statements should have been coded using a likert scale indicating agreement. They are reported in Table 52 under Part C, Sagebrush Management.

Thirty-six (36) respondents listed one or more "other" practices under question 18. Responses to this opportunity to list miscellaneous ranch practices were diverse. Weed control was expressed as a concern in 19 responses and vermin control (e.g., control of prairie dogs, rabbits, and deer) in 5 others. Several respondents took this as an occasion to vent their opinions on endangered species, gas fields, off-farm employment, and sagebrush control. One respondent cryptically replied "flower". A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

Larger producers were more likely to currently be producing USDA certified organic beef, while a higher percent of smaller producers reported producing grass-fed or natural beef (Tables 32, 33, and 34).
Small and medium producers had similar opinions and practices related to alternative marketing with 14 percent currently marketing directly to consumers and 3 percent belonging to a beef co-operative or alliance. Large producers tended to be more active in both of these practices with 25 percent direct marketing and 17 percent members of a coop or alliance. Large producers were also more likely to be willing to do or consider these marketing practices (Tables 32, 33, and 34).

Large producers reported the highest involvement in implementing a National Animal Identification System (17 percent of large versus 4 and 5 percent of medium and small operations, respectively). No large producer reported currently calving in a different season and were the least likely to report willingness to do so (Tables 32, 33, and 34).
When asked about several alternative sources of ranch income, large operations were more likely to report currently having added an additional enterprise (42 percent of large versus 17 percent of medium and 13 percent of small operations). No large operator said that they "will not" add an enterprise and they were the most likely to report having considered one. Larger operations were also most likely to be selling recreation (42 percent of large, 38 percent of medium, and 19 percent of small operations). Not surprisingly, large operations were the least likely to report working off-farm or having considered working off-farm for additional income (Tables 32, 33, and 34).

Table 32.
"Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?": producers with 20 to 299 bred cows.

|  | Currently Doing $\mathrm{n}=522^{1}$ | Have Considered $\mathrm{n}=522$ | Have Not Considered $\mathrm{n}=522$ | Will Not Do $\mathrm{n}=522$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Production |  |  |  |  |
| Organic Beef (USDA Certified) | $\begin{gathered} 6 \%^{2} \\ (0.24)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grass-fed or Natural Beef | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0 \% \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Customer Marketing | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 27 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Join Beef Co-op or Alliance | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Herd Management |  |  |  |  |
| National Ind. Animal ID System | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.33) \end{aligned}$ |
| Change Calving Season | $\begin{gathered} 8 \% \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | 24\% <br> (0.43) | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| Start an Additional Enterprise | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sell Recreation | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 26 \% \\ (0.44) \end{gathered}$ |
| Work Off-farm / ranch | $\begin{aligned} & 52 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ |

[^11]Table 33.
"Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?": producers with $\mathbf{3 0 0}$ to 999 bred cows.

| Practice | Currently Doing | Have Considered | Have Not Considered | Will Not Do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=168^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=168$ | $\mathrm{n}=168$ | $\mathrm{n}=168$ |
| Production |  |  |  |  |
| Organic Beef (USDA Certified) | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \%^{2} \\ & (0.20)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \% \\ & (0.46) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.40) \end{aligned}$ |
| Grass-fed or Natural Beef | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 32 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Customer Marketing | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Join Beef Co-op or Alliance | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (0.23) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 35 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 33 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 16 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ |
| Herd Management |  |  |  |  |
| National Ind. Animal ID System | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 48 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Change Calving Season | $\begin{aligned} & 11 \% \\ & (0.31) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ |
| Income |  |  |  |  |
| Start an Additional Enterprise | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.38) \end{aligned}$ |
| Sell Recreation | $\begin{aligned} & 38 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 23 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 18 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 13 \% \\ & (0.34) \end{aligned}$ |
| Work Off-farm / ranch | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 17 \% \\ & (0.37) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 22 \% \\ & (0.42) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 29 \% \\ & (0.45) \end{aligned}$ |

[^12]Table 34.
"Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?": producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more bred cows.

|  | Currently <br> Doing <br> $\mathrm{n}=12^{1}$ | Have <br> Considered <br> $\mathrm{n}=12$ | Have Not <br> Considered <br> $\mathrm{n}=12$ | Will Not Do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Practice | $17 \%^{2}$ | $25 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $\mathrm{n}=12$ |
| Production | $(0.39)^{3}$ | $(0.45)$ | $(0.45)$ | $25 \%$ |
| Organic Beef (USDA Certified) | $17 \%$ | $50 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Grass-fed or Natural Beef | $(0.39)$ | $(0.52)$ | $(0.45)$ | $(0.29)$ |
| Marketing |  |  |  |  |
| Direct Customer Marketing | $25 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  | $(0.45)$ | $(0.49)$ | $(0.45)$ | $(0.29)$ |
| Join Beef Co-op or Alliance | $17 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  | $(0.39)$ | $(0.45)$ | $(0.51)$ | $(0.29)$ |
| Herd Management |  |  |  |  |
| National Ind. Animal ID System | $17 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ | 0 |
| Change Calving Season | $(0.39)$ | $(0.51)$ | $(0.49)$ | - |
|  | 0 | $17 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| Income | - | $(0.39)$ | $(0.51)$ | $(0.49)$ |
| Start an Additional Enterprise | $42 \%$ | $33 \%$ |  |  |
| Sell Recreation | $(0.51)$ | $(0.49)$ | $(0.39)$ | 0 |
| Work Off-farm / ranch | $58 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | - |
|  | $(0.51)$ | $(0.39)$ | $(0.39)$ | $(0.29)$ |
|  | $17 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
|  | $(0.39)$ | $(0.39)$ | $(0.29)$ | $(0.51)$ |

[^13]Summary: Alternative Practices. One in seven producers responding to the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey reported that they currently market beef directly to consumers (this was consistent across all operation sizes). If a USDA inspector were available, respondents reported that they would market an average of 22 head (up to 320 head for large operations) directly to consumers across state lines. Grass-fed or natural beef production was popular, especially with smaller producers. About one third of respondents report having considered producing natural or organic beef-up to 50 percent of large operators report interest in natural beef production. Large operations
were also more likely to have adopted or considered a National Animal Identification System but were more conservative when asked about changing calving seasons.
Alternative enterprises and selling recreation were popular sources of income for larger operations while working off-farm was more often a source or potential source of income for small operations.

## Future of the Beef Industry

Survey participants were asked to identify their level of agreement to thirteen statements regarding the future of the beef industry and their operations using a scale of strongly agree (1) to strongly disagree (5), 3 being an ambivalent response.
The statement, "A drought contingency plan in important for beef producers in Wyoming", received the highest level of agreement over all with a median response of 5 . This held true for all operation sizes: 5 was the median response for small operations, with 4 recorded for both medium and large operation sizes. The mode, or most typical response, for all ranches as well as for each operation size for this question was 5 (Table 35).

Other statements eliciting high levels of agreement included those regarding the impact of BSE ("mad cow" disease) and Brucellosis on the future of the beef industry (each received a median response of 4). Overall, respondents also agreed with statements regarding consumers' willingness to pay a price premium for organic, grass-fed, and origin-identified beef and the need to consider alternative enterprises to stay in business. (the median response for each of these statements was 4) (Table 35).

Overall, respondents were ambivalent towards the need for a mandated cattle ID System, the need to learn more about or receive assistance with: market alternatives; retained ownership, alliances, and forward pricing; alternative production practices and risk management strategies. The overall median response to all of these statements was 3 (Table 35).

A large portion of all respondents expressed disagreement with statements regarding the necessity of government restrictions on the use of antibiotics, growth implants, and vaccinations (the median response was 3 , however, the mode was 1 for this question indicating that responses were skewed towards "strongly disagree") (Table 35).

Small- and medium-sized producers agreed with statements regarding the future impact of BSE and Brucellosis. Large operations reported a higher level of agreement to a series of questions regarding the need to learn more about marketing alternatives, retained ownership, alliances, forward pricing, alternative production practices, and alternative risk management strategies. Also, large operations reported stronger agreement with statements about future reductions of government subsidies and federal grazing and were more likely to agree with the necessity of government restrictions on antibiotics, growth implants, and vaccinations (Table 35).

## Table 35.

Level of agreement with statements regarding future changes in the beef industry.

| Statement |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows | $\begin{gathered} 300-999 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \end{gathered}$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows |
| A mandated cattle ID system is needed. | $3.1(1.4)^{1}$ | 3.1 (1.4) | 3.0 (1.4) | 3.0 (1.5) |
|  | $3.0^{2}$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
|  | $3^{3}$ | 3 | 3 | $1^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=780^{4}$ | $\mathrm{n}=583$ | $\mathrm{n}=182$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Government restrictions on the use of antibiotics, growth implants, and vaccinations are necessary. | 2.5 (1.3) | 2.6 (1.3) | 2.5 (1.3) | 2.5 (1.5) |
|  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 2.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 1 | 1 | $1^{\text {a }}$ | 1 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=789$ | $\mathrm{n}=590$ | $\mathrm{n}=184$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Beef consumers are willing to pay a price premium for organic, grass fed, and origin-identified beef. | 3.7 (1.1) | 3.7 (1.2) | 3.6 (1.1) | 3.4 (1.4) |
|  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=785$ | $\mathrm{n}=587$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| A drought contingency plan is important for beef producers in Wyoming. | 4.3 (1.0) | 4.3 (1.0) | 4.2 (1.1) | 4.1 (1.0) |
|  | 5.0 | 5.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=783$ | $\mathrm{n}=586$ | $\mathrm{n}=182$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| BSE will have a big impact on the beef industry in the future. | 3.8 (1.3) | 3.8 (1.2) | 3.6 (1.3) | 2.4 (1.4) |
|  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 2.0 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 2 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=794$ | $\mathrm{n}=596$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Brucellosis will have a big impact on the beef industry in the future. | 3.8 (1.2) | 3.8 (1.1) | 3.6 (1.3) | 3.2 (1.5) |
|  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 |
|  | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=791$ | $\mathrm{n}=593$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| I need to consider alternative enterprises to stay in business. | 3.4 (1.3) | 3.5 (1.3) | 3.0 (1.3) | 3.9 (1.4) |
|  | 4.0 | 4.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 3 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=774$ | $\mathrm{n}=576$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| I need to learn more about marketing alternatives to stay in business. | 3.3 (1.2) | 3.3 (1.2) | 3.2 (1.2) | 3.5 (1.4) |
|  | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 3 | 3 | 3 | $3^{\text {a }}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=775$ | $\mathrm{n}=578$ | $\mathrm{n}=182$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |

${ }^{1}$ Mean (rounded to the nearest tenth) along with its standard deviation in parentheses.
${ }^{2}$ Median.
${ }^{3}$ Mode or modes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Multiple modes-lowest is reported.
${ }^{4}$ Sample size. Responses of " 0 " were recoded as missing.
(Table 35 continues on next page).

## Table 35- Continued.

Level of agreement with statements regarding future changes in the beef industry.

|  |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement |  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |  |
| I need assistance in approaching / | $3.0(1.2)$ | $3.0(1.2)$ | $2.9(1.3)$ | $3.2(1.2)$ |
| assessing alternative markets for my | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| cattle. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=776$ | $\mathrm{n}=579$ | $\mathrm{n}=182$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| I need to learn more about retained | $3.0(1.2)$ | $3.0(1.2)$ | $2.9(1.3)$ | $3.4(1.5)$ |
| ownership, alliances, and forward | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| pricing to become more competitive. | 3 | 3 | 3 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=757$ | $\mathrm{n}=567$ | $\mathrm{n}=175$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| I need to learn more about alternative |  |  |  |  |
| production practices and alternative | $3.0(1.2)$ | $3.0(1.1)$ | $2.9(1.2)$ | $3.3(1.2)$ |
| risk management strategies for my | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| current enterprises to stay in | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 |
| business. | $\mathrm{n}=754$ | $\mathrm{n}=565$ | $\mathrm{n}=174$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Government subsidies to | $3.4(1.2)$ | $3.4(1.2)$ | $3.2(1.3)$ | $3.8(1.3)$ |
| ranchers/farmers will be reduced or | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
| eliminated in the future. | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=764$ | $\mathrm{n}=572$ | $\mathrm{n}=177$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Livestock grazing on federal land | $3.2(1.3)$ | $3.3(1.3)$ | $3.1(1.3)$ | $3.3(1.8)$ |
| will be reduced in the future. | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 3 | $1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=768$ | $\mathrm{n}=573$ | $\mathrm{n}=180$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |

[^14]
## Part C: Drought and Sagebrush Management

The third part of the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey focused on specific drought and sagebrush management strategies and practices.

## Drought Management

A series of questions regarding drought within the period of 2000 to 2004 asked respondents to detail impacts and changes they experienced as a result of recent drought as well as herd management, business, income, and tax strategies they employed to deal with the drought.

Respondents to the survey reported that they had been negatively impacted by the most recent drought an average of 4.8 consecutive years. The length of negative impacts did not vary much by operation size (Table 36).

Table 36.
Consecutive years negatively impacted by the most recent drought.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=610$ | $\mathrm{n}=189$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| $4.8^{2}$ | 4.7 | 4.9 | 4.9 |
| $(2.1)^{3}$ | $(2.0)$ | $(2.3)$ | $(1.9)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
${ }^{2}$ Mean consecutive years (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest tenth).

Relatively low standard deviations in Table 36 indicate that the length of drought impacts was fairly consistent for all respondents. The frequency graph shown in Figure 1 reinforces this finding: responses range from 0 to 10 years with a mode response of 5 years. Sixty-nine (69) percent of respondents report that negative drought impacts lasted 4 to 6 years.

Figure 1.
Consecutive years negatively impacted by the most recent drought.


Respondents were asked to quantify changes experienced each year from 2000 through 2004 as a result of drought compared to a normal year. Mean percentages suggest that the severity of impact increased over time. For all respondents, grazing capacity reduction, irrigation water reduction, and winter feed reduction had increasing impacts for the first three years of drought which tapered off to a slower rate of increase in subsequent years. Respondents reported increasing changes in average sale weight reduction between 2000 and 2002 which began to decline by 2004. Rates of change for reduction in percent weaned and owner equity each increased between 2000 and 2002. This rate remained constant between 2002 and 2004. Standard deviations indicate a wide variation in responses (Table 37).

Table 37.
Changes experienced as a percentage compared to a normal year as a result of recent drought: all ranches.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes Experienced | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ <br> $\mathrm{n}=759^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Grazing capacity reduction | $16 \%^{2}$ | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
|  | $(23)^{3}$ | $(23)$ | $(26)$ | $(25)$ | $(28)$ |
| Irrigation water reduction | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Winter feed production reduction | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | $(27)$ | $(27)$ | $(31)$ | $(31)$ | $(36)$ |
| Average sale weight reduction | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $(14)$ | $(13)$ | $(15)$ | $(16)$ | $(15)$ |
| Percent weaned reduction | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $(15)$ | $(16)$ | $(17)$ | $(17)$ | $(17)$ |
| Owner equity reduction | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $(13)$ | $(14)$ | $(17)$ | $(17)$ | $(18)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other | $<1 \%$ | $<1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
|  | $(2)$ | $(3)$ | $(5)$ | $(5)$ | $(7)$ |

${ }^{\top}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole percent).

Thirty-nine (39) respondents listed "other" changes they experienced each year as a result of recent drought. Twelve (12) of these comments were related to pasture and land management issues. Respondents indicated problems with grasshoppers, weeds, inability to plow hay fields, need to reseed grass killed by drought, and heat stressed plants. Several indicated that they had been unable to put hay up and had increased grazing costs. Changes in herd management accounted for 8 responses: reduction of cows, earlier calving, problems with pregnancy and calving rates, and increased feeding were listed. Five (5) responses dealt with the need to haul or develop water for stock. Two (2) respondents listed positive changes related to the drought (program giving higher prices for calves and increased production). A complete list of individual responses for the "other" category are included in Appendix D.
Tables 38,39 , and 40 report changes experienced as a result of drought as a percentage compared to a normal year by operation size for small, medium, and large operations.

Table 38.
Changes experienced as a percentage compared to a normal year as a result of recent drought: producers with 20 to 299 bred cows.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Changes Experienced | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ <br> $\mathrm{n}=569^{1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Grazing capacity reduction | $17 \%^{2}$ | $20 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | $(24)^{3}$ | $(24)$ | $(26)$ | $(26)$ | $(28)$ |
| Irrigation water reduction | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $21 \%$ |
|  | $(24)$ | $(24)$ | $(29)$ | $(29)$ | $(31)$ |
| Winter feed production reduction | $18 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $34 \%$ |
|  | $(27)$ | $(27)$ | $(31)$ | $(31)$ | $(36)$ |
| Average sale weight reduction | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $(13)$ | $(13)$ | $(14)$ | $(15)$ | $(15)$ |
| Percent weaned reduction | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | $(15)$ | $(17)$ | $(16)$ | $(16)$ | $(17)$ |
| Owner equity reduction | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $(12)$ | $(14)$ | $(16)$ | $(16)$ | $(17)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents: 41 of these were from small operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.
Table 39.
Changes experienced as a percentage compared to a normal year as a result of recent drought: producers with 300 to 999 bred cows.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Changes Experienced |  |  | $\mathrm{n}=176^{1}$ |  |  |
| Grazing capacity reduction | $14 \%^{2}$ | $20 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
|  | $(12)^{3}$ | $(21)$ | $(25)$ | $(23)$ | $(27)$ |
| Irrigation water reduction | $12 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ |
|  | $(23)$ | $(25)$ | $(30)$ | $(30)$ | $(32)$ |
| Winter feed production reduction | $19 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
|  | $(26)$ | $(26)$ | $(32)$ | $(31)$ | $(36$. |
| Average sale weight reduction | $5 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
|  | $(15)$ | $(15)$ | $(18)$ | $(19)$ | $(18)$ |
| Percent weaned reduction | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $(15)$ | $(16)$ | $(20)$ | $(18)$ | $(19)$ |
| Owner equity reduction | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
|  | $(15)$ | $(15)$ | $(19)$ | $(18)$ | $(20)$ |

[^15]Table 40.
Changes experienced as a percentage compared to a normal year as a result of recent drought: producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more bred cows.

| Changes Experienced | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
|  | $\mathrm{n}=14^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Grazing capacity reduction | $\begin{gathered} 15 \%^{2} \\ (20)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ (18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23 \% \\ (21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ (20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ (19) \end{gathered}$ |
| Irrigation water reduction | $\underset{(29)}{21 \%}$ | $\underset{(39)}{42 \%}$ | $\underset{(31)}{44 \%}$ | $\underset{(28)}{40 \%}$ | $\underset{(32)}{36 \%}$ |
| Winter feed production reduction | $\begin{gathered} 18 \% \\ (17) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(33)}{32 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(28)}{33 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ (30) \end{gathered}$ |
| Average sale weight reduction | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (7) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(10)}{5 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (13) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(8)}{6 \%}$ | $\underset{(8)}{4 \%}$ |
| Percent weaned reduction | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(12)}{8 \%}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Owner equity reduction | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (11) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5 \% \\ (12) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6 \% \\ (15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (15) \\ \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(8)}{5 \%}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents, 1 of these was a large operation.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Multiple strategies employed by respondents throughout the recent drought were enumerated for each year from 2000 through 2004. Respondents were asked about specific herd management strategies, additional sources of income, and new business enterprises. The three most frequently checked management strategies across years were purchasing additional winter feed, partial herd reduction, and participating in a government feed assistance program. The least common response was total herd liquidation. A low response for this strategy could be due to producers in the sample not participating if they no longer had cattle when they received the survey (Table 41).

Table 41.
Strategies used each year to deal with recent drought: all ranches.

| Strategies Used | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2000 | 2001 | $\begin{gathered} 2002 \\ \mathrm{n}=759^{1} \end{gathered}$ | 2003 | 2004 |
| Partial Herd Liquidation | $\begin{gathered} 28 \%{ }^{2} \\ (0.5)^{3} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 50 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 45 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Total Herd Liquidation | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Selling Retained Yearlings | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 8 \% \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Lease / Purchase Additional Grazing | $\begin{gathered} 16 \% \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 21 \% \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Purchase Additional Winter Feed | $\begin{gathered} 35 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 56 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 59 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Early Weaning of Calves to Reduce | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 29 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 34 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Participated in Government Feed | $\begin{gathered} 15 \% \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 23 \% \\ (0.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 52 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 55 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Participated in Government Income | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10 \% \\ (0.3) \end{gathered}$ |
| Earn Off-Farm Income | $\begin{gathered} 36 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 44 \% \\ (0.5) \end{gathered}$ |
| Added Alternative Livestock | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 7 \% \\ & (0.3) \end{aligned}$ |
| Added Alternative Crop Enterprise | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.1) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ |
| Other | $\begin{aligned} & 2 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ |

[^16]There were 86 individual responses listing one or more "other" strategies used to deal with recent drought. Twenty-three (23) listed changes in grazing, pasture, or other feed sources. Twenty-one (21) responses specified herd reductions (e.g., culling cows or not keeping replacement heifers. Fifteen (15) herd management strategies were listed including pasture rotation, moving herds off of pasture early, and not backgrounding calves. Nine (9) respondents reported hauling water or changing irrigation practices in response to water availability. There were 12 responses listing increasing income from additional ranch and off-ranch sources. Five (5) comments indicated that respondents had
not experienced any drought-related impacts. In one instance "Jack Daniels" was used as a coping strategy. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

A much higher percentage of respondents in small and medium operation size categories indicated earning off-farm income as a strategy to mitigate drought impacts. Large operations were much more likely to add alternative crop or livestock enterprises. Medium-sized operations were more likely to indicate selling retained yearlings as a strategy. Medium- and large-size operations were more likely to lease or purchase additional grazing as the length of the drought increased. No large operations reported using total herd liquidation (Tables 42, 43, and 44).

Table 42.
Strategies used each year to deal with recent drought: producers with 20 to 299 bred cows.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Strategies Used | $27 \%^{2}$ | $33 \%$ | $48 \%$ | $43 \%$ | $43 \%$ |
| Partial Herd Liquidation | $(0.5)^{3}$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Total Herd Liquidation | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
|  | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| Selling Retained Yearlings | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $10 \%$ |
|  | $(0.2)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ |
| Lease / Purchase Additional Grazing | $15 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Purchase Additional Winter Feed | $34 \%$ | $41 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $54 \%$ | $57 \%$ |
|  | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Early Weaning of Calves to Reduce | $11 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
|  | $(0.3)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
|  | $14 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $52 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| Participated in Government Feed | $(0.3)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
|  | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Participated in Government Income | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ |
|  | $41 \%$ | $45 \%$ | $47 \%$ | $49 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
| Earn Off-Farm Income | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
|  | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| Added Alternative Livestock | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.3)$ |
|  | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ |
| Added Alternative Crop Enterprise | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ |

[^17]Table 43.
Strategies used each year to deal with recent drought: producers with 300-999 bred cows.

|  | Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strategies Used | $\mathbf{2 0 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 1}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ |
| Partial Herd Liquidation | $300^{2}$ | $42 \%$ | $57 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $49 \%$ |
|  | $(0.5)^{3}$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Total Herd Liquidation | $2 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| Selling Retained Yearlings | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $19 \%$ |
|  | $(0.2)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ |
| Lease / Purchase Additional Grazing | $19 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
|  | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Purchase Additional Winter Feed | $39 \%$ | $51 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $64 \%$ |
|  | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Early Weaning of Calves to Reduce | $11 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
|  | $(0.3)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Participated in Government Feed | $20 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $63 \%$ | $64 \%$ | $52 \%$ |
|  | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Participated in Government Income | $4 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(0.2)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ |
| Earn Off-Farm Income | $22 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $32 \%$ |
|  | $(0.4)$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| Added Alternative Livestock | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $3 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |
| Added Alternative Crop Enterprise | $1 \%$ | $1 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $2 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
|  | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.1)$ | $(0.2)$ | $(0.2)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents. 13 of these were from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of a binary variable is reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Table 44.
Strategies used each year to deal with recent drought: producers with $\mathbf{1 , 0 0 0}$ or more bred cows.

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents. 1 of these was from large operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of a binary variable is reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Of 593 respondents who reported liquidating part or all of their beef cattle herd in response to recent drought, 27 percent said that they used income averaging to reduce income tax liability associated with increased income from liquidation sale with the hope of replacements being purchased within twenty-four months. Medium-sized operators were the most likely to use income averaging ( 38 percent) followed by small and large operators (Table 45).

## Table 45.

Producers reporting using income averaging to reduce tax liability.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=593^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=439$ | $\mathrm{n}=144$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| $27 \%^{2}$ | $24 \%$ | $38 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| $(0.5)^{3}$ | $(0.4)$ | $(0.5)$ | $(0.4)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not respond or who gave a nonsensical answer to this question dropped 221 respondents. 171 of these were from small and 45 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of as a percentage of respondents reporting "yes" (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Of producers who used income averaging to mitigate tax liability 11 percent reported replacing their herd with purchased breeding stock to pre-drought levels. Larger operations were much more likely to have purchased cattle to repopulate their herds (Table 46).

## Table 46.

Producers reporting replacing liquidated herds to pre-drought levels.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=571^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=418$ | $\mathrm{n}=144$ | $\mathrm{n}=9$ |
| $11 \%{ }^{2}$ | $9 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| $(0.3)^{3}$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.3)$ | $(0.5)$ |
| ${ }^{1}$ Sample |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not give an affirmative answer to question 23-Did you use income averaging? - and who did not respond or who gave a nonsensical answer to this question dropped 243 respondents. 192 of these were from small, 45 from medium, and 6 from large operations. ${ }^{2}$ Mean of as a percentage of respondents reporting "yes" (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Respondents who reported using income averaging and repopulating their herds to predrought levels with purchased cattle were asked to specify the type of breeding stock they purchased. Of 56 responses the most common type of cattle purchased were bred cows (44 percent) followed by bred heifers ( 23 percent), and heifers and mature cows (19 and 14 percent, respectively) (Table 47).

## Table 47.

## Breeding stock type for producers replacing liquidated herds to pre-drought levels.

|  |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $20-299$ <br> Type of Breeding Stock | $300-999$ <br> All Ranches <br> $\mathrm{n}=56^{1}$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=34$ |
| Heifers Cows | $\mathrm{n}=18$ | Bred Cows <br> $\mathrm{n}=4$ |  |  |
| Bred Heifers | $190^{2}$ | $16 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
|  | $(37)^{3}$ | $(35)$ | $(39)$ | $(47)$ |
| Mature Cows | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(38)$ | $(38)$ | $(42)$ | $(25)$ |
| Bred Cows | $14 \%$ | 21 | 0 | $15 \%$ |
|  | $(33)$ | $(39)$ | - | $(30)$ |
|  | $44 \%$ | 40 | 53 | $38 \%$ |
|  | $(46)$ | $(46)$ | $(47)$ | $(48)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents answered "no" to question 24 - did replace a liquidated herd, as well as answers that did not sum to $100 \%$ dropped 758 respondents. 576 of these were from small, 171 from medium, and 11 from large operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Summary: Drought Management. Respondents reported negative impacts from recent drought lasting an average of 5 years. Although there was a wide variation in responses, overall the severity of impacts increased over time. The largest changes reported were reductions in winter feed production, grazing capacity, and irrigation water. Respondents reported employing multiple strategies over the duration of the drought to mitigate its impacts, most commonly purchasing additional winter feed, partial herd reduction, and participation in government feed assistance programs. The number of strategies employed increased over time. A higher percentage of smaller operations relied on increased off-farm income to survive the drought while larger operations were more likely to purchase additional grazing as the length of the drought increased.

## Sagebrush Management

A series of three questions asked respondents about the abundance of sagebrush on their private and public ranch lands as well as management techniques they use to control it.
Despite a high variability in responses (indicated by high standard deviations reflecting answers ranging from 0 to 400,000 acres), respondents reported somewhat less abundant sagebrush cover on private than public ranch lands. The mean acreage for every category of cover increased with operation size (Table 48). Maximum acres reported for each coverage category are reported in Appendix C.

## Table 48.

Number of acres with following abundance of sagebrush.

| Land Tenure | All Ranches |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | None | Light | Moderate $\mathrm{n}=698^{1}$ | Abundant | Heavy |
| Private | $\underset{(8,298)^{3}}{1,450 \text { acres }^{2}}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,062 \text { acres } \\ (5,518) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(6,435)}{1,578 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 450 \text { acres } \\ (2,022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 299 \text { acres } \\ (2,229) \end{gathered}$ |
| Public | $\underset{(3,431)}{291 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 794 \text { acres } \\ (9,732) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(15,577)}{1,270 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(12,334)}{1,093 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(6,691)}{673 \text { acres }}$ |

Producers with 20 to 299 Bred Cows

| Land Tenure | None | Light | Moderate $\mathrm{n}=521$ | Abundant | Heavy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private | 621 acres $(1,866)^{3}$ | $\begin{gathered} 424 \text { acres } \\ (1,819) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 753 \text { acres } \\ (2,410) \end{gathered}$ | 208 acres (817) | 84 acres (456) |
| Public | $\begin{gathered} 237 \text { acres } \\ (3,657) \end{gathered}$ | $422 \text { acres }$ $(4,792)$ | $\underset{(2,867)}{356} \text { acres }$ | $\underset{(2,854)}{269 \text { acres }}$ | 321 acres <br> $(4,527)$ |

Producers with 300 to 999 Bred Cows

| Land Tenure | None | Light | Moderate $\mathrm{n}=162$ | Abundant | Heavy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private | $\begin{aligned} & 3,015 \text { acres } \\ & (10,023)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1,757 \text { acres } \\ \hline(4,285) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} 3,013 \text { acres } \\ (7,570) \end{array}$ | $\underset{(3,781)}{1,128 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 979 \text { acres } \\ (4,480) \end{gathered}$ |
| Public | $\underset{(2,779)}{490 \text { acres }}$ | $622 \text { acres }$ $(2,997)$ | $\underset{(31,789)}{4,136 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(24,464)}{3,240 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(11,215)}{1,855 \text { acres }}$ |

Producers with 1,000 or more Bred Cows

| Land Tenure | None | Light | Moderate $\mathrm{n}=15$ | Abundant | Heavy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Private | $\underset{(4,, 024)^{3}}{13,333 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15,692 \text { acres } \\ (30,528) \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{(30,844)}{14,763 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(2,364)}{1,549 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} 420 \text { acres } \\ (1,294) \end{gathered}$ |
| Public | $0$ | $\underset{(59,331)}{15,555 \text { acres }}$ | $\underset{(5,257)}{2,067 \text { acres }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { 6,533 acres } \\ (16,677) \end{gathered}$ | 133 acres <br> (516) |

[^18]Although all respondents were slightly more likely to report using herbicide to control sagebrush on their ranches, no single method for control stands out as the most common. Larger operations were slightly more likely to report using burning for sagebrush control. Also, medium and large operations were more likely to report using some method for control (Table 49).

Table 49.
Methods used to control sagebrush.

|  |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| Method | All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| Burning | $\mathrm{n}=698^{1}$ | $11 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
|  | $16 \%^{2}$ | $(0.32)$ | $(0.46)$ | $33 \%$ |
| Herbicide | $(0.37)^{3}$ | $15 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $(0.49)$ |
|  | $19 \%$ | $(0.35)$ | $(0.48)$ | $27 \%$ |
| Other | $(0.40)$ | $12 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $(0.46)$ |
|  | $12 \%$ | $(0.32)$ | $(0.34)$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(0.33)$ | $0.35)$ |  |  |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not answer any part of questions $26-28$ dropped 117 respondents, 87 of these were from small and 27 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

There were 156 responses listing one or more "other" methods used to control sagebrush. The majority of these (79) listed mechanical removal methods such as a brush hogging, dragging, mowing, grading, digging, tilling, or chopping sagebrush. Twenty-seven (27) others reported management methods incorporating some type of grazing. Six (6) respondents listed flood irrigation. Thirty-seven responded that they either do not control or have no sagebrush on their land. A complete list of individual responses is included in Appendix D.

Respondents who reported using burning as a method to control sagebrush typically repopulated burned areas with cattle one or two months after burning. Larger operations tended to wait longer-generally, four to six months. There was very little difference over land tenure in the time before cattle were returned to burned areas (Table 50).

Table 50.
"How long do you wait before putting cattle back on land burned for sagebrush control?"

| All Ranches$\mathrm{n}=698$ |  | Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 20-299 Bred Cows$\mathrm{n}=521$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 300-999 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=162 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1,000 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=15 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Private <br> Lands | Public <br> Lands | Private Lands | Public <br> Lands | Private Lands | Public <br> Lands | Private Lands | Public <br> Lands |
| 0.2 years <br> (0.8) | 0.1 years <br> (0.6) | 0.1 years <br> (0.4) | 0.1 years <br> (0.4) | $\begin{gathered} 0.5 \text { years } \\ (1.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \text { years } \\ (1.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3 \text { years } \\ (0.8) \end{gathered}$ | 0.3 years <br> (0.8) |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not answer any part of questions $26-28$ dropped 117 respondents, 87 of these were from small and 27 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean years (rounded to the nearest tenth).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest tenth).

Typical sagebrush control burn sizes for all ranches were approximately 30 acres on both private and public land. Small operations typically burned 7 acres of private and 3 acres on public lands, medium operations burned 94 private and 109 public acres, and large operations reported typical burns of 87 acres on private and 114 acres on public lands (Table 51).

Table 51.
"What is the typical size of your burn?"

| All Ranches |  | Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows |  | $\begin{gathered} 300-999 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \end{gathered}$ |  | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows |  |
| $\mathrm{n}=698$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=521$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=162$ |  | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |  |
| Private Lands | Public Lands | Private Lands | Public <br> Lands | Private Lands | Public Lands | Private Lands | Public Lands |
| 29 acres <br> (173) | 30 acres <br> (398) | 7 acres <br> (45) | 3 acres (30) | 94 acres <br> (332) | $\begin{gathered} 109 \text { acres } \\ (812) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 87 acres <br> (264) | 114 acres <br> (387) |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not answer any part of questions $26-28$ dropped 117 respondents, 87 of these were from small and 27 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean acres (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Responses indicating agreement or disagreement with four statements regarding reduction of forage yields on private and public ranch land due to sagebrush cover, possible habitat destruction due to sagebrush control and the effect of cheat grass on sagebrush management mistakenly included in question 18 of the survey are reported in Table 52. Data were not sorted by operation size and include only telephone survey data.

Table 52.
"Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?": all ranches.

|  |  | Strongly <br> Agree <br> (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | Strongly <br> Disagree <br> (5) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Statement | n missing | $\mathrm{n}=324^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=324$ <br> $\mathrm{n}=324$ | $\mathrm{n}=324$ |  |  |
| Sagebrush cover reduces forage yields <br> on the privately-owned lands that you <br> ranch. | 109 | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| Sagebrush cover reduces forage yields <br> on the pubic lands that you ranch. | 126 | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| Endangered wildlife and possible |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| habitat destruction are a concern for <br> you in controlling sagebrush on your <br> ranch. | 110 | $32 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $12 \%$ |
| The presence of cheat grass affects | 117 | $32 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting responses to phone survey results in an overall sample size of 324 .
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

## Part D: Demographic Information

The final portion of the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey collected information describing ranch location, ranch income, and basic demographic information on the primary operator.

Respondents were asked to list their mailing ZIP code. Figure 2 indicates the frequency of responses by ZIP Code. Southwest Fremont county and Platte county had the highest frequency of responses. Generally, southwestern Wyoming had fewer responses.

## Figure 2.

Frequency of responses by ZIP code.


Respondents were also asked to report the elevation of their ranch. The state of Wyoming ranges in elevation range from 2,953 to 13,000 feet above sea level. The average elevation of a ranch represented by the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey was $5,366 \mathrm{ft}$. Larger operations tended to be located at slightly higher elevations (Table 53).

## Table 53.

## Ranch elevation.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=770^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=572$ | $\mathrm{n}=183$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| $5,366 \mathrm{ft}^{2}$ | $5,268 \mathrm{ft}$ | $5,610 \mathrm{ft}$ | $6,113 \mathrm{ft}$ |
| $(1,216)^{3}$ | $(1,164)$ | $(1,326)$ | $(1,158)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting answers to a range of 2,000 to $13,000 \mathrm{ft}$ above sea level dropped 44 responses. 38 of these were from small and 6 from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean feet above sea level.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

Eighty-eight (88) percent of respondents to the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey were male and 11 percent were women. Currently in the population women operators are 11.2 percent of all principal operators and 27.2 percent of all farm operators (NASS 2002). There was little difference over the gender of the primary operator by operation size (Table 54).

Table 54.
Gender of primary operator.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
|  | All Ranches | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| Gender | $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| Male | $88 \%^{2}$ | $87 \%$ | $89 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
|  | $(0.33)^{3}$ | $(0.34)$ | $(0.31)$ | $(0.35)$ |
| Female | $11 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(0.31)$ | $(0.32)$ | $(0.29)$ | $(0.35)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Primary operator ages for respondents was diverse with every age category 35 years or over represented by at least 10 percent of respondents. Half of the primary operators were between the ages of 45 and 59 years. There is no clear relationship between age and operation size (Table 55). The national average for all principal farm operators is 55.3 years and has increased in every census since 1978 (NASS 2002 Census of Agriculture). Regionally, this age ranges from 55.4 years in Montana to 53.3 years of age in South Dakota.

## Table 55.

Age of primary operator.

${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not respond to any age category dropped 22 respondents. 17 of these were from small, 4 from medium, and 1 from large operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

Thirty-four (34) percent of all primary operators represented in this survey reported High School as their highest level of education, 27 percent reported attending some college, and 19 percent had received a bachelors degree. A much higher percentage ( 60 percent) of primary operators of large operations had received their bachelors (Table 56). The USDA ERS Agricultural Resource Management study reported that for all farms operators 24.7 percent of operators reported "some college" and only 18.9 percent were college graduates. US Census data for rural education levels are similar with 25.7 percent of non-metro persons 25 or more years old reported some college and 15.5 percent had graduated with a college degree. Education levels for respondents similar to these national and regional estimates for rural education suggest no non-response bias in the sample.

An average respondent to the Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey reported having 35 years of experience raising beef cattle. The average ranged from 34 for small to 39 years for large operations (Table 57).
Forty-two (42) percent of survey respondents reported being employed off-farm at least part time-along with 46 percent of their spouses. Both respondents and their spouses from small operations were more likely to report working off-farm (Table 58).

Table 56.
Primary operator's highest level of education.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| Highest Level of Education | $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=610$ | $\mathrm{n}=189$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| High School | $34 \%^{2}$ | $35 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(0.47)^{3}$ | $(0.48)$ | $(0.47)$ | $(0.35)$ |
| Some College | $27 \%$ | $26 \%$ | $33 \%$ | $13 \%$ |
|  | $(0.45)$ | $(0.44)$ | $(0.47)$ | $(0.35)$ |
| Technical / Vocational Degree | $8 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $1 \%$ | 0 |
|  | $(0.3)$ | $(0.34)$ | $(0.1)$ | - |
| Bachelors Degree | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $60 \%$ |
|  | $(0.39)$ | $(0.37)$ | $(0.41)$ | $(0.51)$ |
| Some Graduate Education | $4 \%$ | $4 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $(0.2)$ | $(0.19)$ | $(0.22)$ | $(0.26)$ |
| Graduate Degree | $8 \%$ | $8 \%$ | $9 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
|  | $(0.27)$ | $(0.26)$ | $(0.29)$ | $(0.26)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.
Table 57.
Years of experience raising beef cattle.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| All Ranches | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| $\mathrm{n}=813^{1}$ | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $35^{2}$ | $\mathrm{n}=610$ | $\mathrm{n}=188$ | $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| $(17)^{3}$ | 34 | 37 | 39 |
|  | $(17)$ | $(16)$ | $(14)$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{T}}$ Sample size. Limiting answers to 100 years of experience dropped 1 response from medium operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean years (rounded to the nearest whole number).
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

## Table 58.

"Are you or your spouse employed off-farm?"

| Employee |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=814^{1}$ | $20-299$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=610$ | $300-999$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=189$ | $\geq 1,000$ <br> Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=15$ |
| Self | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 42 \%^{2} \\ & (0.49)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 50 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 18 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 13 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ |
| Spouse | $\begin{aligned} & 46 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50 \% \\ & (0.50) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 34 \% \\ & (0.47) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20 \% \\ & (0.41) \end{aligned}$ |

[^19]An average of 65 percent of household income for all respondents was reported to be from ranching or farming. This percentage was closely related to operation size with 81 percent of household income from farming or ranching for large and medium operations and 59 percent for small operations (Table 59).

## Table 59.

Percentage of household income from ranching or farming.

|  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $20-299$ | $300-999$ | $\geq 1,000$ |
| All Ranches | Bred Cows | Bred Cows | Bred Cows |
| $\mathrm{n}=704^{1}$ | $\mathrm{n}=519$ | $\mathrm{n}=171$ | $\mathrm{n}=14$ |
| $65 \% 0^{2}$ | $59 \%$ | $81 \%$ | $81 \%$ |
| $(33)^{3}$ | $(33)$ | $(26)$ | $(32)$ |

${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating answers of $0 \%$ dropped 110 responses: 91 from small, 18 from medium, and 1 from large operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

The distribution of respondents reported gross annual income from the previous year centered around $\$ 100,000$ to $\$ 249,999$ with 64 percent of all respondents reporting between $\$ 25,000$ and $\$ 249,999$ in gross sales. There was a strong relationship between gross sales and operation size. None of the largest producers reported gross sales in 2003 less than $\$ 250,000$ while the average small operator reported $\$ 25,000$ to $\$ 49,999$ (Table 60 ).

Table 60.
Last year's annual gross ranch sales.

| Gross Annual Income |  | By Operation Size |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Ranches $\mathrm{n}=745^{1}$ | $\begin{gathered} 20-299 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=555 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 300-999 Bred Cows $\mathrm{n}=176$ | $\begin{gathered} \geq 1,000 \\ \text { Bred Cows } \\ \mathrm{n}=14 \end{gathered}$ |
| Less Than \$1,000 | $\begin{aligned} & <1 \%^{2} \\ & (0.06)^{3} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | 0 |
| \$1,000 to \$4,999 | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (0.13) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2 \% \\ (0.15) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ | 0 |
| \$5,000 to \$9,999 | $\begin{gathered} 3 \% \\ (0.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (0.08) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |
| \$10,000 to \$24,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 15 \% \\ & (0.35) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (0.11) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |
| \$25,000 to \$49,999 | $\underset{(0.4)}{20 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 26 \% \\ & (0.44) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4 \% \\ (0.20) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |
| \$50,000 to \$99,999 | $\underset{(0.4)}{20 \%}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 25 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $0$ |
| \$100,000 to \$249,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \% \\ & (0.43) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19 \% \\ & (0.39) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 41 \% \\ & (0.49) \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| \$250,000 to \$499,999 | $\begin{aligned} & 12 \% \\ & (0.33) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 36 \% \\ & (0.48) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 14 \% \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ |
| \$500,000 or more | $\begin{aligned} & 4 \% \\ & (0.2) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1 \% \\ (0.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9 \% \\ (0.28) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 86 \% \\ & (0.36) \end{aligned}$ |

${ }^{\top}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not respond to any income category dropped 69 respondents.
55 of these were from small, 13 from medium, and 1 from large operations.
${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.
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## Appendix A: Sampling Population

Number of Beef Cattle Operators by County \& Size Group

| County | $\mathbf{1 - 4 9}$ head* | $\mathbf{5 0 - 2 9 9}$ head | $\mathbf{3 0 0} \mathbf{- 1 , 0 0 0}$ head | $\mathbf{> 1 , 0 0 0}$ head | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Albany | 56 | 68 | 61 | 23 | 208 |
| Big Horn | 109 | 108 | 55 | 25 | 297 |
| Campbell | 90 | 162 | 104 | 18 | 374 |
| Carbon | 40 | 57 | 62 | 39 | 198 |
| Converse | 57 | 87 | 71 | 27 | 242 |
| Crook | 74 | 161 | 105 | 18 | 358 |
| Fremont | 228 | 239 | 126 | 35 | 628 |
| Goshen | 114 | 191 | 118 | 27 | 450 |
| Hot Springs | 32 | 40 | 12 | 9 | 93 |
| Johnson | 54 | 79 | 76 | 25 | 234 |
| Laramie | 122 | 135 | 52 | 23 | 332 |
| Lincoln | 102 | 134 | 49 | 11 | 296 |
| Natrona | 75 | 57 | 49 | 27 | 208 |
| Niobrara | 17 | 89 | 91 | 17 | 214 |
| Park | 147 | 104 | 43 | 13 | 307 |
| Platte | 99 | 147 | 73 | 21 | 340 |
| Sheridan | 140 | 146 | 68 | 18 | 372 |
| Sublette | 39 | 48 | 67 | 22 | 176 |
| Sweetwater | 32 | 36 | 28 | 4 | 100 |
| Teton | 15 | 7 | 9 | 4 | 35 |
| Uinta | 53 | 81 | 54 | 12 | 200 |
| Washakie | 30 | 41 | 42 | 10 | 123 |
| Weston | 36 | 66 | 48 | 21 | 171 |
| State Total | 1,761 | 2,283 | 1,463 | 449 | 5,956 |

Source: Wyoming Agricultural Statistics Service personal communication January 10, 2005.

* Operations with fewer than 20 head were eliminated from the 1-49 head category, leaving 771 operations with 20-49 head. Total operators in the sampling frame was 4,966 for the state.


## Appendix B: Data Coding, Cleaning, and Aggregation

The following explains the data cleaning operations that were conducted on the original NASS dataset.

1- Limit to valid responses then replace nonsense answers with valid ones. Using SAS to find the maximums and minimums of all the variables, it was possible to identify discrete variables that were too big or otherwise invalid. Once specific surveys were identified, SAS code was written to fix the problems. For example var190xx corresponds to a "yes/no" question on vaccination. However three responses in the SAS data set were larger than " 1 ." Once the actual surveys were checked the following code changed the SAS data set to reflect the correct response.

```
if var190xx >1 then var190xx =1;
```

2- Force valid percentages. Survey questions that required that responses be in the form a percent: invalid responses $=$ those over $100 \%$. Here the SAS code used to correct the problem looked like this:
if POID=800002990 then var111xx =100;

Unlike cleaning discrete variables, here the SAS code identifies a specific survey and then gives the variable to be corrected.

3- Force percentages to sum to 100. Many of the questions asking for percentages required that the sum of the responses equaled $100 \%$. In order to correct mistakes on these questions, new variables had to be created that would sum the responses. For example question 2 asks for the percentage of annual gross sales from different types of ranch enterprises. The created variable is termed "q12var410" the "q12" means question 12 of the survey and "var410" is the first variable that is in the summation. Here is the SAS code which creates the new variable "q12var410."
q12var410= $\operatorname{var} 410 x x+\operatorname{var} 412 x x+\operatorname{var} 414 x x+\operatorname{var} 415 x x+\operatorname{var} 416 x x ;$

Once the new variables were created mistakes were corrected in the same manner as other percent errors. SAS identified the survey responses which were too big (i.e. greater than $100 \%$ ) and then the appropriate SAS code fixed the problem. The following SAS code corrects a problem with "q12var410." Note how the new numbers add up to 100 .

```
if POID=300066880 then var410xx =25;
if POID=300066880 then var411xx =5;
if POID=300066880 then var415xx =70;
```

4- Simple response count. Even after these changes were made a small number of surveys still had to be corrected. With these surveys it could not be reasoned out what the
appropriate response was. So as to not loose the data entirely by coding these responses as missing new variables were created to simply count if any response was made. The following is SAS code which created counting variables for question 12.

```
if var410xx GE 1 then SB=1; else SB =0;
if var412xx GE 1 then VA =1; else VA =0;
if var415xx GE 1 then PS =1; else PS =0;
if var416xx GE 1 then FC =1; else FC =0;
if var411xx GE 1 then WB =1; else WB =0;
if var414xx GE 1 then OT =1; else OT =0;
```

5- "Non-zeros". Included with this write-up are the summary statistics for each variable, including the created variables. During the data entry process non responses were entered in as zeros, SAS will count a zero when performing various operations. This leads to zeros weighing down the averages. To correct for this SAS code was written to change zeros to missing. The following is the SAS code used to change zeros to missing. In SAS "." denotes a missing value.

```
if var190xx =0 then var190xx =.;
if var191xx =0 then var191xx =.;
if var192xx =0 then var192xx =.;
if var193xx =0 then var193xx =.;
if var194xx =0 then var194xx =.;
```


## Appendix C: Statistical Analysis / Output

## Part A: General Ranch Description

The following code was used for every question to 1) limit the dataset to producers with 20 or more cattle, and 2) sort the data into three strata by operation size:

```
*ignore operations with less than 20 bred cattle;
if var330xx le 19 then delete;
*sort into three operation sizes based on # of bred cows;
if var330XX ge 20 and var330XX lt 300 then opsize=1;
if var330xx ge 300 and var330xx lt 1000 then opsize=2;
if var330xx ge 1000 then opsize=3;
```


## Question 1

Procedure:

- "Including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- For percentage owned VS leased: excluded incorrect responses (i.e. answers that did not sum to 100).
- Calculated percent of total for each type of private and public land.
- SAS procedure, SAS UNIVARIATE procedure.


## Code:

```
*eliminate answers not summing to 100%;
var112x1 = var111xx + var112xx;
if var112x1 ne 100 then var111xx=.;
if var112x1 ne 100 then var112xx=.;...
var128x1 = var127xx + var128xx;
if var128x1 ne 100 then var127xx=.;
if var128x1 ne 100 then var128xx=.;
*Private Land Percent Total;
var121x2 = var110xx + ...var126xx;
pt110 = var110xx / var121x2;...
pt126 = var126xx / var121x2;
*Public Land Percent Total;
var131x1 = var129xx + var130xx + var131xx;
pt129 = var129xx / var131x1;...
pt131 = var131xx / var131x1;
Output:
```



ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| Harvested VAR116XX | $\begin{gathered} C r o p l a n d ~ \\ 814 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { for Silage } \\ & 12.4901720 \end{aligned}$ | 140.120 | 0 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR117XX | 50 | 77.6000000 | 39.5670446 | 0 | 100.0000000 |
| VAR118XX | 50 | 22.4000000 | 39.5670446 | 0 | 100.000000 |



| Irrigated | and | Sub-irrigated Hay |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR119XX | 814 | 247.5995086 | 786.9976653 | 0 | 17117.00 |
| VAR120XX | 434 | 83.4147465 | 32.6078720 | 0 | 100.0000000 |
| VAR121XX | 434 | 16.5852535 | 32.6078720 | 0 | 100.0000000 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff


| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR126XX | 814 | 83.7948403 | 1196.11 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| VAR127XX | 50 | 79.5400000 | 37.9307694 | 0 | 100.0000000 |
| ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Private Land Percent of Total |  |  |  |  |  |
| var $121 \times 2$ | 814 | 7799.11 | 20230.60 | 12.000000 | 380150.00 |
| pt 110 | 814 | 0.9377618 | 2.5552619 | 0 | 48.0824094 |
| pt 113 | 814 | 0.0066597 | 0.0290527 | 0 | 0.3846593 |
| pt 116 | 814 | 0.0016015 | 0.0179662 | 0 | 0.3846593 |
| pt 119 | 814 | 0.0317471 | 0. 1009087 | 0 | 2. 1947376 |
| pt 122 | 814 | 0.0114854 | 0. 0298551 | 0 | 0.2692615 |
| pt 126 | 814 | 0.0107442 | 0.1533652 | 0 | 3.8465928 |

fufffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| VAR129XX | 814 | 1437.52 | 14264.32 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| VAR130XX | 814 | 4226.34 | 25327.90 | 00000.00 |
| VAR131XX | 814 | 669.2481572 | 2956.67 | 00000.00 |



| var $131 \times 1$ | 814 | 6333.11 | 32431.68 | 0 | 463000.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| pt 129 | 814 | 0.2269854 | 2.2523411 | 0 | 55.2651067 |
| pt 130 | 814 | 0.6673400 | 3.9992835 | 0 | 63.1601220 |


| pt131 | 814 | 0.1056745 | 0.4668598 | 0.9477192 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Public and Private Land by Operation Size
ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| VAR110XX | 610 | 2982.84 | 4960.06 | 0 | 50000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR113XX | 610 | 38.0295082 | 157.0445507 | 0 | 2000.00 |
| VAR116XX | 610 | 4.8557377 | 27.0502575 | 0 | 300.0000000 |
| VAR119 X ${ }^{\text {V }}$ | 610 | 135.8590164 | 353.1655623 | 0 | 5800.00 |
| VAR122XX | 610 | 59.7606557 | 134.5586415 | 0 | 900.0000000 |
| VAR126XX | 610 | 39.0049180 | 572.4702343 | 0 | 14000.00 |
| VAR129XX | 610 | 397.9655738 | 3194.91 | 0 | 50000.00 |
| VAR130XX | 610 | 1657.98 | 10089.16 | 0 | 125000.00 |
| VAR131XX | 610 | 217.9442623 | 620.5247423 | 0 | 8600.00 |
| VAR $330 \times X$ | 610 | 105.6885246 | 74.3040266 | 20.0000000 | 298.0000000 |
| fffffff |  | fffffffff | ffffffffff | fffffffff | fffffffffff |
| VAR110XX | 189 | 16177.56 | opsize=2. 22651.19 | 0 | 150000.00 |
| VAR113XX | 189 | 74.3968254 | 297.9064472 | 0 | 2000.00 |
| VAR116XX | 189 | 22. 2486772 | 186.4515982 | 0 | 2500.00 |
| VAR119XX | 189 | 440.8730159 | 681.6559349 | 0 | 5000.00 |
| VAR122XX | 189 | 186.7777778 | 400.7762990 | 0 | 2100.00 |
| VAR126XX | 189 | 235.0052910 | 2257.27 | 0 | 30000.00 |
| VAR129XX | 189 | 4742.78 | 28824.00 | 0 | 350000.00 |
| VAR130XX | 189 | 10706.18 | 45738.73 | 0 | 400000.00 |
| VAR131XX | 189 | 1762.34 | 5423.72 | 0 | 63000.00 |
| VAR330XX | 189 | 455.8306878 | 161.6873556 | 300.0000000 | 950.0000000 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff.



## Question 2

Procedure:

- "Including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded incorrect percentage responses (i.e. answers that did not sum to 100).
- Aggregated non-beef enterprises.
- Created variables to calculate frequency counts for non-beef enterprises.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*eliminates answers not summing to 100;
var153x1 = var140xx + var141xx + var142xx + var143xx + var144xx +
var145xx + var146xx + var147xx + var148xx +
var149xx + var150xx + var151xx + var153xx;
if var153x1 ne 100 then delete;
*aggregate variable for all non-beef enterprises;
var153x2 = var143xx + var147xx + var148xx + var149xx + var150xx +
var151xx;
*For frequency counts of specific non-beef enterprises;
if var143xx gt 0 then var143x1 = 1;
if var147xx gt 0 then var147x1 = 1;
if var148xx gt 0 then var148x1 = 1;
if var149xx gt 0 then var149x1 = 1;
if var150xx gt 0 then var150x1 = 1;
if var151xx gt 0 then var151x1 = 1;
Output:
```



| VAR147 XX | Horses | 185 | 0.82 | 4.62 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR148XX | Buffalo | 185 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR149XX | GOATS | 185 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| VAR150XX | Dairy | 185 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| VAR151XX | Hogs | 185 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR153XX | Other | 185 | 0.95 | 5.65 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| var $153 \times 2$ | Sum Non-Beef | 185 | 3.12 | 11.48 | 0.00 | 76.00 |
| VAR140XX | Cow/Calf | 15 | 881.47 | 26.69 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR141XX | Backgnd | 15 | 2.00 | 7. 75 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR142XX | Feedlot | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR143XX | Sheep | 15 | 3.00 | 11.62 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| VAR144XX | Cow/Yrlg | 15 | 9.60 | 26.11 | 0.00 | 99.00 |
| VAR145XX | Club Clv | 15 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR146XX | Repl Heif | 15 | 1.40 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR147XX | Horses | 15 | 2.13 | 6.46 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| VAR148XX | Buffalo | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR149XX | GOATS | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR150XX | Dairy | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR151xX | Hogs | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR153XX | Other | 15 | 0.33 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 5. 00 |
| var $153 \times 2$ | Sum Non-Beef | 15 | 5.13 | 12.77 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| fffffffff | ffffffffffff |  | fffff $f$ | ffff | fffff | fffffffff |
| var 1 | $3 \times 149$ | que | - |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | ¢ 102 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | x 10 |  |  |  |  |  |
| var 1 | $\times 13$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var1 | $\times 13$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $\times 1$ - 6 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| $\mathrm{ffff}$ | ffffffffffff |  | $f f f_{f f}^{f f} f$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { By fff } \\ & \text { Sype } \end{aligned}$ | $f_{f f f f f}^{f}$ | $f \mathrm{fff}$ |
|  |  |  | - ops |  |  |  |
| var 1 | $3 \times 134$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $\times 145$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $8 \times 1 \quad 0$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| var 1 | 91-2 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | x1 2 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var1 | $\times 1$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | op |  |  |  |
| var | x 1 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $\times 1-13$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $8 \times 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| var 1 | $\times 1$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var1 | ¢ 1 |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | x 1 |  |  |  |  | . |
| var 1 | <1 |  | - ops |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | $\times 1$ |  |  |  |  | 1.00 |
| var 1 | x 1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| var 1 | <1 |  |  |  |  | , |
| var 1 | x1 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| var 1 | x 1 |  |  |  |  | . |

## Questions 3 and 4

Procedure:
" "including zeros" dataset.

- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded incorrect percentage responses (i.e. answers that did not sum to 100).
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*ignore responses not summing to 100%;
var171x1 = var160xx + ...var171xx;
var183x1 = var172xx + ...var183xx;
if var171x1 ne 100 then delete;
```

if var183x1 ne 100 then delete;
Output:


| VAR177XX | May | 588 | 0.35 | 4.68 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR181XX | June | 588 | 0.54 | 6.27 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR174XX | july | 588 | 0.49 | 6.55 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR178XX | Aug | 588 | 1. 27 | 10.42 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR182XX | Sept | 588 | 13.29 | 32.54 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR175XX | Oct | 588 | 56.41 | 47.74 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR179XX | Nov | 588 | 21.85 | 40.12 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR183XX | Dec | 588 | 3.14 | 15.98 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  | -- |  |  |  |
| VAR172XX | Jan | 182 | 0.69 | 7.63 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR176XX | Feb | 182 | 0.30 | 3.72 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR180XX | March | 182 | 1. 29 | 10.67 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR173XX | April | 182 | 0.93 | 8.39 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR177XX | May | 182 | 0.58 | 4.85 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR181XX | June | 182 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR174XX | july | 182 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR178XX | Aug | 182 | 1. 59 | 11.84 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR182XX | Sept | 182 | 8.93 | 27.01 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR175 XX | Oct | 182 | 54.74 | 46.89 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR179XX | Nov | 182 | 28.31 | 42.31 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR183XX | Dec | 182 | 2.64 | 14.32 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  | -. |  |  |  |
| VAR172XX | Jan | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR176XX | Feb | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR180XX | March | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR173XX | April | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR177XX | May | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR181XX | June | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR172XX | jan | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR176XX | Feb | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR180XX | March | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR173XX | April | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR177XX | May | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR181XX | June | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Question 5

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not check any of the options.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*exclude respondents who did not check any box;
var203x1 = var190xx + var191xx + var192xx + var193xx + var194xx +
var195xx + var196xx +
var197xx + var198xx + var199xx + var200xx + var201xx + var203xx;
if var203x1 = 0 then delete;
Output:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Question | 5- Al R | ches |  |  |  |  |
| Variable | Label | N | Mean | Std Dev | Mi ni mum | Maxi mum |
| VAR190XX | vacc | 809 | 0.97 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR192XX | deworm | 809 | 0.72 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR194XX | insect | 809 | 0.73 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR196XX | i mplant | 809 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR198XX | dehorn | 809 | 0.56 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR200XX | cast | 808 | 0.95 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR191XX | an id | 809 | 0.66 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR193XX | body c | 809 | 0.21 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR195XX | preg | 809 | 0.68 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR197XX | breed s | 809 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR199XX | ai | 809 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR201XX | vet $c$ | 809 | 0.62 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR203XX | other | 809 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

 Question 5- By Operation Size

| Variable | Label | N | Mean | Std Dev | Mi ni mum | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR190XX | vacc | 605 | 0.97 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR192XX | deworm | 605 | 0.68 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR194XX | insect | 605 | 0.71 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR196XX | i mplant | 605 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR198XX | dehorn | 605 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR200XX | cast | 604 | 0.94 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR191XX | an id | 605 | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR193XX | body c | 605 | 0.18 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR195XX | preg | 605 | 0.63 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR197XX | breed s | 605 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR199XX | ai | 605 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR201XX | vet c | 605 | 0.59 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR203XX | other | 605 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR190XX | vacc | 189 | 0.99 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR192XX | deworm | 189 | 0.82 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR194XX | insect | 189 | 0.78 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR196XX | i mplant | 189 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR198XX | dehorn | 189 | 0.69 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR200XX | cast | 189 | 0.96 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR191XX | an id | 189 | 0.64 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR193XX | body c | 189 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR195XX | preg | 189 | 0.85 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR197XX | breed s | 189 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR199XX | ai | 189 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR201XX | vet c | 189 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR203XX | other | 189 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR190XX | vacc | 15 | 0.930 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR192XX | deworm | 15 | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR194XX | insect | 15 | 0.73 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR196XX | i mplant | 15 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR198XX | dehorn | 15 | 0.60 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR200XX | cast | 15 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR191XX | an id | 15 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR193xX | body c | 15 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR195XX | preg | 15 | 0.80 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR197XX | breed s | 15 | 0.40 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR199XX | ai | 15 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR201XX | vet c | 15 | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR203XX | other | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Question 6
Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded incorrect percentage responses (i.e. answers that did not sum to 100).
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*excludes respondents with responses that did not sum to 100;
var223x1 = var210xx +... var 223xx;
if var223x1 ne 100 then delete;
Output:
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{\multirow[t]{2}{*}{}} \\
\hline & & & & & & \\
\hline \multicolumn{7}{|l|}{Question 6-All Ranches} \\
\hline VAR210XX & p |vstk & 673 & 8.93 & 13.85 & 0.00 & 100.00 \\
\hline VAR212XX & alf & 673 & 17.83 & 20.91 & 0.00 & 100.00 \\
\hline VAR214XX & grain & 673 & 3.67 & 7. 28 & 0.00 & 80.00 \\
\hline VAR216XX & feed c & 673 & 5.00 & 6.88 & 0.00 & 36.00 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
```

| VAR218XX | salt | 673 | 4.21 | 5.47 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR220XX | fert | 673 | 5.73 | 9. 36 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR211XX | vet $s$ | 673 | 6.15 | 5. 44 | 0.00 | 47.00 |
| VAR213XX | I abor | 673 | 7. 51 | 12.32 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| VAR215 XX | fuel | 673 | 15.37 | 12.13 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| VAR217XX | intst | 673 | 6.57 | 9. 72 | 0.00 | 75.00 |
| VAR219XX | prof s | 673 | 1.66 | 3.13 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR221XX | repair | 673 | 8.51 | 8.69 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR223XX | other | 673 | 8.86 | 15.78 | 0.00 | 84.00 |
| fffffffff | fffff | fff | ffff | ffff | fff | fffff |

Question 6- By Operation Size

| VAR210XX | p \|votk | 508 | 8. 01 | 13. 26 | 0.00 | 87.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR212XX | alf | 508 | 19.66 | 22.03 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR214XX | grain | 508 | 3.90 | 7.65 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| VAR216XX | feed c | 508 | 4.61 | 6.82 | 0.00 | 36.00 |
| VAR218XX | salt | 508 | 4.33 | 5.78 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| VAR220XX | fert | 508 | 5.70 | 9. 54 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR211XX | vet $s$ | 508 | 6.30 | 5.68 | 0.00 | 47.00 |
| VAR213XX | I abor | 508 | 5.97 | 11.75 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| VAR215XX | fuel | 508 | 16. 19 | 12.59 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| VAR217XX | intst | 508 | 6.26 | 9.92 | 0.00 | 75.00 |
| VAR219XX | prof s | 508 | 1.44 | 2.58 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| VAR221XX | repair | 508 | 8.60 | 8.92 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR223XX | other | 508 | 9.03 | 16. 21 | 0.00 | 84.00 |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| VAR210XX | p \| vstk | 152 | 12.47 | 15.61 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR212XX | alf | 152 | 12. 34 | 15.71 | 0.00 | 89.00 |
| VAR214XX | grain | 152 | 2.96 | 5.77 | 0.00 | 38.00 |
| VAR216XX | feed c | 152 | 6.46 | 7.10 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR218XX | salt | 152 | 3.70 | 4.17 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| VAR220XX | fert | 152 | 5.60 | 8.37 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR211XX | vet s | 152 | 5.76 | 4.67 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| VAR213XX | I abor | 152 | 11.96 | 12.90 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR215XX | fuel | 152 | 13. 32 | 10.45 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR217XX | intst | 152 | 7.47 | 8.68 | 0.00 | 35.00 |
| VAR219XX | prof s | 152 | 2.05 | 3.30 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR221XX | repair | 152 | 8.47 | 8.15 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR223XX | other | 152 | 7.44 | 13.43 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| VAR210XX | p \\| vstk | 13 | 3.31 | 5.19 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| VAR212XX | alf | 13 | 10.85 | 17.32 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR214XX | grain | 13 | 3.08 | 8.55 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR216XX | feed c | 13 | 3.54 | 4.03 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR218XX | salt | 13 | 5.15 | 6.52 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| VAR220XX | fert | 13 | 8.38 | 13.07 | 0.00 | 37.00 |
| VAR211XX | vet $s$ | 13 | 5. 23 | 4. 21 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| VAR213XX | I abor | 13 | 15.69 | 12.41 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR215XX | fuel | 13 | 7.00 | 3.94 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| VAR217XX | intst | 13 | 8.15 | 12.79 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| VAR219XX | prof s | 13 | 5.69 | 10.46 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR221XX | repair | 13 | 5.23 | 4.04 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR223XX | other | 13 | 18.69 | 21.30 | 0.00 | 67.00 |

## Question 7

Procedure for number employed:

- Calculated from both "no zeros" and "including zeros" datasets.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not enter any amount for family or non-family number employed or for any month.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

*Requires some valid answer for family or non-family labor;

```
if var230xx + var231xx + var232xx + var233xx + var234xx + var235xx +
var236xx + var237xx + var238xx + var239xx + var240xx + var241xx +
var242xx + var243xx
+ var244xx + var245xx + var246xx + var247xx + var248xx + var249xx +
var250xx + var251xx + var252xx + var253xx + var254xx + var255xx +
var256xx + var257xx
+ var260xx + var261xx + var262xx + var263xx + var264xx + var265xx +
var266xx + var267xx + var268xx + var269xx + var270xx + var271xx +
var272xx + var273xx
+ var274xx + var275xx + var276xx + var277xx + var278xx + var279xx +
var280xx + var281xx + var282xx + var283xx + var284xx + var285xx +
var286xx + var287xx
= 0 then delete;
```

Output:

 Q7- \# Family Employed

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
Q7- \# Non-Family Employed by Operation Size

| VAR260xX | \# | Emp | Y/R | 596 | 0.14 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR274XX | \# | Emp | P/T | 596 | 0.47 | 1.41 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR260XX | \# | Emp | Y/R | 189 | -0.77 | 1.54 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR274XX | \# | Emp | P/T | 189 | 1.29 | 2.69 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR260XX | \# | Emp | Y/R | 15 | 5. 80 | 8.74 | 0.00 | 25.00 |

VAR274XX \# Emp P/T 15
3.40
4. 34
0.00
15.00

Procedure for part-time or seasonal family by months employed:

- Calculated from both "no zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not give a positive number for number of part-time or seasonal family members employed.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*Requires a positive answer to \# part time or seasonal family employed; if var244xx = 0 then delete;

## Output:


fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff


| VAR245XX | J an | 9 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR246XX | Feb | 18 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR247XX | March | 33 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR248XX | April | 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR249XX | May | 66 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1. 00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR250XX | June | 97 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR251XX | july | 96 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR252XX | Aug | 93 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR253XX | Sept | 52 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR254XX | Oct | 39 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR255XX | Nov | 17 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR256XX | Dec | 8 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR257XX | Al 1 | 54 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |



| VAR246XX | Feb | 1 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR247XX | 1.00 |  |  |


| VAR247XX | March | 5 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- |
| VAR248XX | April | 17 | 1.00 |


| VAR248XX | April | 17 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR249XX | May | 23 | 1.00 |


| VAR250XX June | 39 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| JAR25 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |


| VAR251XX july | 39 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| VAR252XX | Aug | 38 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR253XX | Sept | 13 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR254XX | Ct | 15 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| VAR255XX | NoV | 10 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR256XX | Dec | 5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR257XX | AII | 24 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR257XX | Al 1 | 24 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| VAR245XX | J an | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR246XX | Feb | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| VAR247XX | March | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| VAR248XX | April | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| VAR249XX | May | 2 | 1. 00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR250XX | June | 4 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR251XX | $u l y$ | 3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR252XXX Aug | 3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR253XX | Sept | 0 | 1.00 | $\vdots$ | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR254XX | 0 ct | 1 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |  |
| VAR255XX | Nov | 1 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR256XX | Dec | 0 | 1.00 |  |  |  |

Procedure for part-time or seasonal non-family by months employed:

- Calculated from both "no zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not give a positive number for number of part-time or seasonal non-family members employed.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*Requires a positive answer to \# non-family part-time or seasonal employed;
if var274xx $=0$ then delete;
Output:


| VAR277XX | March | 1 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR278XX | April | 3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR279XX | May | 5 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR280XX | June | 9 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR281XX | july | 8 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR282XX | Aug | 7 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR283XX | Sept | 3 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR284XX | Oct | 6 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR285XX | Nov | 2 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR286XX | Dec | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| VAR287XX | Al I | 0 |  | , | , |  |

## Question 8

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not enter any positive amount for any feed source.
- Limited variable for months fed to a number between 1 and 12.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

```
*deletes respondents who did not enter amounts for any feed source;
var320x1 = var290xx + var294xx + var298xx + var302xx + var306xx +
var310xx + var314xx + var319xx
+ var291xx + var295xx + var299xx + var303xx + var307xx + var311xx +
var315xx + var320xx;
if var320x1 = 0 then delete;
*deletes responses to months that are not 1-12;
if var292xx = 0 then var292xx = .;if var296xx = 0 then var296xx = .;
if var300xx = 0 then var300xx = .;if var304xx = 0 then var304xx = .;
if var308xx = 0 then var308xx = .;if var312xx = 0 then var312xx = .;
if var316xx = 0 then var316xx = .;if var321xx = 0 then var321xx = .;
if var293xx = 0 then var293xx = .;if var297xx = 0 then var297xx = .;
if var301xx = 0 then var301xx = .;if var305xx = 0 then var305xx = .;
if var309xx = 0 then var309xx = .;if var313xx = 0 then var313xx = .;
if var317xx = 0 then var317xx = .;if var322xx = 0 then var322xx = .;
```

Output:

| Variabl | Labe | N | Mean Std Dev |  | mu | Maxi mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| On F | $m$ So | ces | hes |  |  |  |
| VAR290XX | hay | 733 | 216.53 | 1151.48 | 0.00 | 30000.00 |
| VAR294XX | alf | 733 | 122.89 | 303.77 | 0.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR298XX | prot | 733 | 1.26 | 10.23 | 0.00 | 150.00 |
| VAR302XX | conc | 733 | 0.42 | 4.41 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR 306 XX | corn | 733 | 6.87 | 39.12 | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| VAR310 XX | crop | 733 | 60.75 | 293.68 | 0.00 | 4500.00 |
| VAR314XX | grn | 733 | 275.97 | 2012.81 | 0.00 | 35000.00 |
| VAR319XX | othr | 733 | 28.99 | 350.28 | 0.00 | 6000.00 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| VAR290XX | hay | 542 | 94.35 | 176.78 | 0.00 | 1500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR294XX | alf | 542 | 94.62 | 236.50 | 0.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR298XX | prot | 542 | 0.66 | 7.03 | 0.00 | 150.00 |
| VAR302XX | conc | 542 | 0.26 | 1.88 | 0.00 | 24.00 |
| VAR306XX | corn | 542 | 5.90 | 28.58 | 0.00 | 220.00 |
| VAR310XX | crop | 542 | 31.23 | 121.76 | 0.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR314XX | grn | 542 | 125.53 | 938.31 | 0.00 | 15000.00 |
| VAR319XX | othr | 542 | 25.26 | 311.67 | 0.00 | 5600.00 |


| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR294XX | alf | 176 | 194.85 | 403.80 | 0.00 | 2500.00 |
| VAR298XX | prot | 176 | 2.67 | 15.04 | 0.00 | 150.00 |
| VAR302XX | conc | 176 | 0.94 | 8. 37 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR306XX | corn | 176 | 5.91 | 32.78 | 0.00 | 300.00 |
| VAR310XX | crop | 176 | 118.18 | 409.11 | 0.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR314XX | grn | 176 | 557.10 | 2678.54 | 0.00 | 20000.00 |
| VAR319XX | othr | 176 | 42.95 | 461.11 | 0.00 | 6000.00 |
| $\cdots$ - opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR290XX | hay | 15 | 2314.07 | 7680.80 | 0.00 | 30000.00 |
| VAR294XX | alf | 15 | 300.07 | 703.88 | 0.00 | 2700.00 |
| VAR298XX | prot | 15 | 6.73 | 25.80 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR302XX | conc | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR306XX | corn | 15 | 53.33 | 180.74 | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| VAR310XX | crop | 15 | 453.33 | 1266.53 | 0.00 | 4500.00 |
| VAR314XX | grn | 15 | 2413.33 | 9020.13 | 0.00 | 35000.00 |
| VAR319XX | othr | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| VAR291XX | hay | 733 | 40.69 | 129.68 | 0.00 | 2000 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR295XX | alf | 733 | 57.26 | 127.29 | 0.00 | 1200 |  |
| VAR299XX | prot | 733 | 18.32 | 136.19 | 0.00 | 2600 |  |
| VAR303XX | conc | 733 | 5.52 | 24.10 | 0.00 | 300 |  |
| VAR307XX | corn | 733 | 6.82 | 96.81 | 0.00 | 2500 |  |
| VAR311XX | crop | 733 | 12.36 | 112.15 | 0.00 | 2100 |  |
| VAR315XX | grn | 733 | 1566.54 | 36956.42 | 0.00 | 1000000 | 00 |
| VAR320XX | othr | 733 | 6.04 | 65.23 | 0.00 | 1200 |  |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
Off Farm Sources By Operation Size

| VAR291XX | hay | 542 | 30.27 | $=69.52$ | 0.00 | 700.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR295 XX | alf | 542 | 38.51 | 74.80 | 0.00 | 500.00 |
| VAR299XX | prot | 542 | 16.16 | 155.68 | 0.00 | 2600.00 |
| VAR303XX | conc | 542 | 3.05 | 13.46 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR307XX | corn | 542 | 8.12 | 111.77 | 0.00 | 2500.00 |
| VAR311 XX | crop | 542 | 5.18 | 49.43 | 0.00 | 640.00 |
| VAR315 XX | grn | 542 | 170.41 | 1307.73 | 0.00 | 24000.00 |
| VAR320XX | othr | 542 | 4.39 | 50.95 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
|  |  |  | 75: | $\mathrm{e}=2$ |  |  |
| VAR291XX | hay | 176 | 75.41 | 231.76 | 0.00 | 2000.00 |
| VAR295XX | alf | 176 | 106.26 | 201.24 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR299XX | prot | 176 | 21.38 | 37.27 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| VAR303XX | conc | 176 | 11. 28 | 35.89 | 0.00 | 300.00 |
| VAR307XX | corn | 176 | 3.41 | 23.66 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| VAR311 XX | crop | 176 | 28.73 | 191.20 | 0.00 | 2100.00 |
| VAR315 XX | grn | 176 | 317.68 | 1834.68 | 0.00 | 20000.00 |
| VAR320XX | othr | 176 | 11.65 | 98.64 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
|  |  |  | --. | $\mathrm{e}=3$ |  |  |
| VAR291XX | hay | 15 | 10.00 | 28.03 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR295XX | alf | 15 | 160.00 | 264.03 | 0.00 | 900.00 |
| VAR299XX | prot | 15 | 60.60 | 116.87 | 0.00 | 400.00 |
| VAR303XX | conc | 15 | 27.00 | 78.19 | 0.00 | 300.00 |
| VAR307XX | corn | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR311 XX | crop | 15 | 80.00 | 309.84 | 0.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR315 XX | grn | 15 | 66666.67 | 258198.89 | 0.00 | 1000000.00 |
| VAR320XX | othr | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
Start Feeding By Operation Size

| VAR292XX | hay | 380 | 9.00 | 4. 15 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR296XX | alf | 346 | 8.48 | 4.29 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR300XX | prot | 210 | 8. 15 | 4.46 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR304XX | conc | 92 | 7.95 | 4.38 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR308XX | corn | 34 | 10.74 | 1.88 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR312XX | crop | 53 | 9.42 | 2. 59 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR316XX | grn | 90 | 8.42 | 4.05 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR321XX | othr | 29 | 7.66 | 4. 31 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| VAR292XX | hay | 139 | 8.99 | 4. 36 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR296XX | alf | 106 | 7. 51 | 4.77 | 1.00 | 12.00 |


| VAR300XX | prot | 82 | 8.07 | 4.67 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR304XX | conc | 34 | 8.09 | 4.45 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR308XX | corn | 11 | 10.18 | 3.09 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR312XX | crop | 33 | 9.39 | 2.74 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR316XX | grn | 27 | 9.30 | 3. 54 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR321XX | othr | 12 | 6.75 | 4.33 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR296XX | hay | 12 | 8.27 5.92 | 4.52 4.93 | 1.00 1.00 | 12.00 12.00 |
| VAR300XX | prot | 8 | 6.75 | 4.86 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR304XX | conc | 3 | 11.33 | 0.58 | 11.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR308XX | corn | 2 | 5.50 | 6. 36 | 1.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR312XX | crop | 3 | 10. 33 | 0.58 | 10.00 | 11.00 |
| VAR316XX | grn | 3 | 6.33 | 4.73 | 1.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR321XX | othr | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| fffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR293XX | hay | 532 | 4.84 | 1.48 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR297XX | alf | 460 | 4.88 | 1.48 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR301XX | prot | 293 | 5.68 | 2. 98 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR305XX | conc | 131 | 6.16 | 3. 33 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR309XX | corn | 46 | 4.09 | 3. 35 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR313XX | crop | 87 | 6.36 | 4. 21 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR317XX | grn | 120 | 5.83 | 3.17 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR322XX | othr | 39 | 5.87 | 3.04 | 2.00 | 12.00 |
| Stop Feeding By Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR293XX | hay | 381 | 4.88 | 1. 56 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR297XX | alf | 342 | 4.97 | 1. 53 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR301XX | prot | 204 | 5.92 | 3. 11 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR305XX | conc | 93 | 6. 33 | 3. 37 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR309XX | corn | 33 | 3.91 | 2. 91 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR313XX | crop | 51 | 5.76 | 3. 94 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR317XX | grn | 90 | 5.81 | 3. 26 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR322XX | othr | 28 | 6.07 | 3.39 | 2.00 | 12.00 |
| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR293XX | hay | 140 | 4.77 | 1. 27 | 2.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR297XX | alf | 106 | 4.69 | 1. 35 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $301 \times X$ | prot | 81 | 5.23 | 2. 64 | 2.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR305XX | conc | 35 | 6.00 | 3. 19 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR309XX | corn | 11 | 4.73 | 4.73 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR313XX | crop | 33 | 7.70 | 4.36 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR317XX | grn | 27 | 5.70 | 2.84 | 3.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR322XX | othr | 11 | 5.36 | 1. 91 | 4.00 | 10.00 |
| $\cdots$ opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR293XX | hay | 11 | 4.55 | 1.04 | 2.00 | 6.00 |
| VAR297XX | alf | 12 | 4. 25 | 0.87 | 2.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR301XX | prot | 8 | 4.00 | 1. 31 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR305XX | conc | 3 | 2.67 | 2.08 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR309XX | corn | 2 | 3.50 | 2. 12 | 2.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR313XX | crop | 3 | 1.67 | 0.58 | 1.00 | 2. 00 |
| VAR317XX | grn | 3 | 7.67 | 3.79 | 5.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR322XX | othr | 0 |  |  | . |  |

## Question 9

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure.

Output:

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  Typical Number Owned All Ranches |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR330XX | bred cow | 814 | 220.53 | 364.78 | 20.00 | 6891.00 |
| VAR 333 X | str calf | 814 | 78.97 | 215.15 | 0.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR336XX | hfr calf | 814 | 76.71 | 202.11 | 0.00 | 4000.00 |
| VAR339XX | repl hfr | 814 | 31.20 | 70.94 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR342XX | ret yrlg | 814 | 18.82 | 93.49 | 0.00 | 1055.00 |



| VAR332XX | bred cow | 814 | 4.89 | 2. 22 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR335XX | str calf | 814 | 1.56 | 2. 52 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR338XX | hfr calf | 814 | 1.97 | 2. 75 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR341XX | repl hfr | 814 | 3.42 | 3. 14 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $344 \times$ | ret yrlg | 814 | 0.77 | 2.10 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR347XX | fat cow | 814 | 0.11 | 0.80 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $350 \times X$ | bull | 814 | 3.85 | 2.95 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $353 \times X$ | horse | 814 | 3.02 | 3.46 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 357 XX | other | 814 | 0.37 | 1.75 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| fffffffff |  | $f f f_{a} f$ | $f f f f f$ Month | $f_{B V} f f f_{0} f$ | $f f f f$ | $\mathrm{fffff}$ |
|  |  |  | - op |  |  |  |
| VAR $332 \times X$ | bred cow | 610 | 5.06 | 2. 30 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 35 XX | str calf | 610 | 1. 51 | 2.44 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $338 \times$ | hfr calf | 610 | 1.98 | 2.78 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR341XX | repl hfr | 610 | 3.38 | 3.20 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $344 \times$ X | ret yrlg | 610 | 0.65 | 1.93 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR347XX | fat cow | 610 | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
| VAR $350 \times X$ | bull | 610 | 3.82 | 3.07 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $353 \times X$ | horse | 610 | 2.92 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 357 XX | other | 610 | 0.39 | 1.80 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  |  | - Op |  |  |  |
| VAR $332 \times X$ | bred cow | 189 | 4.44 | 1.87 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR $35 \times \mathrm{X}$ | str calf | 189 | 1.65 | 2.64 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR338XX | hfr calf | 189 | 1.97 | 2.62 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR341XX | repl hfr | 189 | 3.50 | 2.96 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR344XX | ret yrlg | 189 | 1. 13 | 2. 56 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR347XX | fat cow | 189 | 0.12 | 0.96 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR350XX | bull | 189 | 3.93 | 2. 53 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $353 \times X$ | horse | 189 | 3. 24 | 3.29 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 357 XX | other | 189 | 0.35 | 1.62 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
|  |  |  | - op |  |  |  |
| VAR $332 \times X$ | bred cow | 15 | 3.73 | 2. 19 | 0.00 | 7.00 |
| VAR335XX | str calf | 15 | 2. 20 | 3.71 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR338XX | hfr calf | 15 | 1.67 | 3. 37 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR341XX | repl hfr | 15 | 4.33 | 3.11 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR344XX | ret yrlg | 15 | 0.87 | 1.88 | 0.00 | 6.00 |
| VAR347XX | fat cow | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR $350 \times X$ | bull | 15 | 4.13 | 3.16 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR $353 \times X$ | horse | 15 | 4.07 | 3.95 | 0.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR357XX | other | 15 | 0.20 | 0.77 | 0.00 | 3.00 |

## Question 10

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded percentages that did not sum to $100 \%$.
- Aggregated variable for all non-traditional market methods.
- Created variables to calculate frequencies for non-traditional market methods.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

```
*excludes respondents with responses that did not sum to 100;
var371x1 = var360xx + var361xx + var362xx + var363xx + var364xx +
var365xx + var366xx +
var367xx + var368xx + var370xx + var371xx;
if var371x1 ne 100 then delete;
*Sum of non-traditional market methods;
var371x2 = var361xx + var363xx + var365xx + var366xx + var367xx +
var368xx + var371xx;
```

```
*to calculate frequency of non-traditional market;
if var361xx gt 0 then var361x1 = 1;
if var363xx gt 0 then var363x1 = 1;
if var365xx gt 0 then var365x1 = 1;
if var366xx gt 0 then var366x1 = 1;
if var367xx gt 0 then var367x1 = 1;
if var368xx gt 0 then var368x1 = 1;
if var371xx gt 0 then var371x3 = 1;
```


## Output:


fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
$\qquad$

| VAR140XX | Cow/Cal f | 603 | 83.53 | 29.76 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR141XX | Backgnd | 603 | 1.65 | 10.12 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR142XX | Feedlot | 603 | 1. 38 | 9.65 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR143XX | Sheep | 603 | 1.41 | 7.66 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR144XX | Cow/ Yrlg | 603 | 5.96 | 20.13 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR145XX | $\mathrm{Cl} u \mathrm{bliv}$ | 603 | 0.35 | 4.38 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR146XX | Repl Heif | 603 | 1.27 | 5.74 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR147XX | Horses | 603 | 0.83 | 4.74 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR148XX | Buffalo | 603 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR149XX | GOATS | 603 | 0.02 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR150XX | Dairy | 603 | 0.06 | 1. 24 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR151XX | Hogs | 603 | 0.23 | 3. 39 | 0.00 | 75.00 |
| VAR153XX | Ot her | 603 | 3. 31 | 14.17 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| var $153 \times 2$ | Sum Non-Beef | 603 | 2.55 | 9.63 | 0.00 | 75.00 |
| VAR140XX | Cow/ Calf | 185 | 7 OPSO | 35.60 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR141XX | Backgnd | 185 | 1.89 | 10.67 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR142XX | Feedlot | 185 | 2.16 | 10.93 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR143XX | Sheep | 185 | 2.28 | 10.51 | 0.00 | 76.00 |
| VAR144XX | Cow/ Yrlg | 185 | 13.94 | 30.55 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR145XX | Club Clv | 185 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| VAR146XX | Repl Heif | 185 | 1.88 | 8.36 | 0.00 | 90.00 |
| VAR147XX | Horses | 185 | 0.82 | 4.62 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR148XX | Buffalo | 185 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR149XX | GOATS | 185 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| VAR150XX | Dairy | 185 | 0.01 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 2.00 |
| VAR151XX | Hogs | 185 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR153XX | Ot her | 185 | 0.95 | 5. 65 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| var $153 \times 2$ | Sum Non-Beef | 185 | 3.12 | 11.48 | 0.00 | 76.00 |
| VAR140XX | Cow/Cal f | 15 | $81.0 p s i$ | 26.69 |  |  |
| VAR141XX | Backgnd | 15 | 2.00 | 7.75 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR142XX | Feedlot | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR143XX | Sheep | 15 | 3.00 | 11.62 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| VAR144XX | Cow/ Yrlg | 15 | 9.60 | 26.11 | 0.00 | 99.00 |
| VAR145XX | Club Clv | 15 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR146XX | Repl Heif | 15 | 1.40 | 3.50 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR147XX | Horses | 15 | 2. 13 | 6.46 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
| VAR148XX | Buffalo | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR149XX | GOATS | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR150XX | Dairy | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR151XX | Hogs | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR153XX | Ot her | 15 | 0.33 | 1.29 | 0.00 | 5.00 |
| var $153 \times 2$ | Sum Non-Beef | 15 | 5.13 | 12.77 | 0.00 | 45.00 |

fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff



## Question 11

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Required variables for months to be a number between 1 and 12.
- Eliminated cattle weights below 100 lbs for calves and below 500lbs for adult cattle classes.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*forces months to a number between 1 and 12;
if var381xx = 0 then var381xx = .;
*sets min cattle weights at 500lbs for adult cattle;
*sets minimum cattle weights at 100lbs for calves;
if var380xx < 100 then var380xx =.;
if var382xx < 100 then var382xx = .;
if var383xx = 0 then var383xx = .;
if var384xx < 100 then var384xx = .;
if var385xx = 0 then var385xx = .;
if var386xx < 100 then var386xx = .;
if var387xx = 0 then var387xx = .;
```

```
if var388xx < 500 then var388xx = .;
if var389xx = 0 then var389xx = .;
if var390xx < 500 then var390xx = .;
if var391xx = 0 then var391xx = .;
if var392xx < 500 then var392xx = .;
if var393xx = 0 then var393xx = .;
if var394xx < 500 then var394xx = .;
if var395xx = 0 then var395xx = .;
if var396xx < 500 then var396xx = .;
if var397xx = 0 then var397xx = .;
if var399xx = 0 then var399xx = .;
if var400xx = 0 then var400xx = .;
```


## Output:

| Va | a |  | Mean | Dev | mu | m |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR380XX | str calf | 715 | 581.64 | 94.06 | 320.00 | 1250.00 |
| VAR382XX | hfr calf | 685 | 546.94 | 87.56 | 300.00 | 1150.00 |
| VAR384XX | bkgd calf | 52 | 715.77 | 172.38 | 400.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR386XX | \| g yr|ng | 97 | 898.39 | 158.07 | 300.00 | 1400.00 |
| VAR388XX | finishd | 47 | 1227.34 | 119.00 | 900.00 | 1500.00 |
| VAR390XX | repl hfr | 208 | 845.16 | 177.41 | 500.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR392XX | brd cow | 300 | 1198.83 | 118.57 | 800.00 | 1800.00 |
| VAR394XX | fat cow | 85 | 1258.75 | 156.38 | 950.00 | 1700.00 |
| VAR396XX | bull | 376 | 1799.06 | 260.19 | 950.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR399XX | other | 55 | 1063.47 | 409.67 | 12.00 | 2000.00 |
| ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | S | le Mont | Ranches |  |  |
| VAR381XX | str calf | 685 | 9.30 | 2.87 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR383XX | hfr calf | 639 | 9. 05 | 3. 14 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR385XX | bkgd calf | 44 | 5. 14 | 4.10 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR387XX | $1 \mathrm{~g} y \mathrm{rlng}$ | 84 | 8.20 | 2.40 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR389XX | finishd | 39 | 6. 26 | 2.82 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR391XX | repl hfr | 63 | 7.27 | 3.99 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR393XX | brd cow | 116 | 8.32 | 3.81 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR395XX | fat cow | 76 | 7.95 | 3. 39 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR397XX | bull | 206 | 8.17 | 3. 14 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR400XX | other | 51 | 7.88 | 3.36 | 1.00 | 12.00 |



|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR382XX | hfr calf | 520 | 554.98 | 91.80 | 300.00 | 1150.00 |
| VAR384XX | bkgd calf | 37 | 713.92 | 164.01 | 450.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR386XX | $1 \mathrm{~g} y \mathrm{l}$ Ing | 54 | 910.93 | 194.55 | 300.00 | 1400.00 |
| VAR388XX | finishd | 32 | 1215.78 | 139.95 | 900.00 | 1500.00 |
| VAR390XX | repl hfr | 146 | 824.42 | 184.98 | 500.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR392XX | brd cow | 214 | 1200. 18 | 131.25 | 800.00 | 1800.00 |
| VAR394XX | fat cow | 64 | 1261.31 | 166.53 | 950.00 | 1700.00 |
| VAR396XX | bull | 262 | 1795.78 | 277.41 | 950.00 | 3000.00 |
| VAR399XX | other | 39 | 1007. 21 | 399.64 | 12.00 | 1500.00 |
| VAR380XX | str calf | 161 | 555.30 | 70.82 | 350.00 | 850.00 |
| VAR382XX | hfr calf | 151 | 524.19 | 68.25 | 350.00 | 750.00 |
| VAR384XX | bkgd calf | 12 | 658.75 | 115.74 | 400.00 | 775.00 |
| VAR386XX | 1 gyrlng | 37 | 886.59 | 96.05 | 600.00 | 1050.00 |
| VAR388XX | finishd | 13 | 1252.31 | 46.40 | 1150.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR390XX | repl hfr | 55 | 898.22 | 146.23 | 550.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR392XX | brd cow | 77 | 1196.56 | 80.19 | 900.00 | 1400.00 |
| VAR394XX | fat cow | 19 | 1258.95 | 127. 23 | 1050.00 | 1600.00 |
| VAR396XX | bull | 102 | 1807.84 | 213.38 | 1200.00 | 2200.00 |
| VAR399XX | other | 16 | 1200.63 | 413.87 | 110.00 | 2000.00 |
| VAR380XX | str calf | 14 | 531.64 | 35.28 | 490.00 | 618.00 |
| VAR382XX | hfr calf | 14 | 494.00 | 39. 97 | 425.00 | 565.00 |
| VAR384XX | bkgd calf | 3 | 966.67 | 292.97 | 750.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR386XX | $1 \mathrm{~g} y \mathrm{r}$ Ing | 6 | 858.33 | 86.12 | 750.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR388×X | finishd | 2 | 1250.00 | 70.71 | 1200.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR390XX | repl hfr | 7 | 860.71 | 173.12 | 500.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR392×X | brd cow | 9 | 1186.11 | 74.07 | 1100.00 | 1300.00 |
| VAR394XX | fat cow | 2 | 1175.00 | 35.36 | 1150.00 | 1200.00 |
| VAR396XX | bull | 12 | 1795.83 | 250.87 | 1300.00 | 2200.00 |
| VAR399XX | other | 0 |  |  |  |  |


| fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Sale Month by Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | - ops |  |  |  |
| VAR381XX | str calf | 519 | 9. 23 | 2. 92 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR383XX | hfr calf | 486 | 8. 94 | 3.19 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 385 XX | bkgd calf | 31 | 5.35 | 4.20 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR387XX | \| $\mathrm{g} y \mathrm{rlng}$ | 44 | 7. 84 | 2.70 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR389XX | finishd | 25 | 6. 36 | 3.01 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR391XX | repl hfr | 37 | 6.97 | 4. 09 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR393XX | brd cow | 73 | 8.85 | 3. 52 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR395XX | fat cow | 54 | 7. 85 | 3.48 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR397XX | bull | 140 | 8.06 | 3. 23 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR400XX | other | 36 | 7.64 | 3. 33 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR381XX | str calf | 155 | - 9.49 | 2. 71 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR383XX | hfr calf | 144 | 9. 34 | 2.92 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR385XX | bkgd calf | 11 | 4.91 | 4. 28 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR387XX | \| g yr|ng | 34 | 8.68 | 1.75 | 3.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR389XX | finishd | 13 | 6.00 | 2. 61 | 3.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR391XX | repl hfr | 23 | 8.00 | 3.85 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR393XX | brd cow | 41 | 7.46 | 4. 12 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR395XX | fat cow | 20 | 7. 90 | 3.26 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR397XX | bulı | 60 | 8.42 | 2.86 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
| VAR400XX | other | 15 | 8.47 | 3.48 | 1. 00 | 12.00 |
|  |  |  | $\cdots \mathrm{Ops}$ |  |  |  |
| VAR381XX | str calf | 11 | 10.09 | 3.08 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR 383 XX | hfr calf | 9 | 9.89 | 3.41 | 1.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR385XX | bkgd calf | 2 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| VAR387XX |  | 6 | 8.17 | 3. 13 | 2. 00 | 10.00 |
| VAR389XX | finishd | 1 | 7.00 |  | 7.00 | 7.00 |
| VAR391XX | repl hfr | 3 | 5. 33 | 4.04 | 3.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR393XX | brd cow | 2 | 6. 50 | 6.36 | 2.00 | 11.00 |
| VAR395XX | fat cow | 2 | 11.00 | 1. 41 | 10.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR397XX | bull | 6 | 8.17 | 4.07 | 3.00 | 12.00 |
| VAR400XX | other | 0 |  | . | . | . |

## Question 12

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded incorrect percentage responses (i.e. answers that did not sum to 100).
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*excludes respondents with responses that did not sum to 100;
var416x1 = var410xx + var411xx + var412xx + var414xx + var415xx +
var416xx;
if var416x1 ne 100 then delete;
Output:
```

| able | e | N | Mean | Dev | mum | mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ffffff | fffffff | $f f f f$ | ffff | fffff | $f f f f$ | feff |
|  | ffffffff |  | e Met | Ranc |  |  |
| VAR410XX | sale brn | 587 | 42.90 | 45.33 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR412XX | video | 587 | 2.19 | 12.50 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR415 XX | pvt | 587 | 50.21 | 46.01 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR416XX | fwd cnt | 587 | 0.63 | 7.47 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR411XX | web | 587 | 0.04 | 0.85 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR414XX | other | 587 | 4.02 | 19.39 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| ffffffff | fffffff | J | fff | ffff | f | fffff |
|  |  |  | Met hod | ration |  |  |
| VAR410XX | sale brn | 432 | 42. 22 | 45.66 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR412XX | video | 432 | 1.74 | 11. 30 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR415 X | pvt | 432 | 52.13 | 46.31 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR416XX | fwd cnt | 432 | 0.74 | 8.37 | 0.00 | 100.00 |


| VAR411XX | we b | 432 | 0.06 | 0.99 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR414XX | other | 432 | 3.11 | 17.16 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  | - 0 |  |  |  |
| VAR410 XX | sale brn | 145 | 46.17 | 44.45 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR412XX | video | 145 | 3.34 | 15.36 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR415 XX | pvt | 145 | 44.52 | 44.88 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR416XX | fwd cnt | 145 | 0.34 | 4.15 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR411XX | web | 145 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR414XX | other | 145 | 5.62 | 22.69 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  | $\cdots 0$ |  |  |  |
| VAR410XX | sale brn | 10 | 25.00 | 42.49 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR412XX | video | 10 | 5.00 | 15.81 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR415 XX | pvt | 10 | 50.00 | 47.14 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR416XX | fwd cnt | 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR411XX | web | 10 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR414XX | other | 10 | 20.00 | 42.16 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

## Part B: Other Production and Marketing Practices

## Question 13

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Eliminated answers that were not either "yes" or "no".
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*forces either a yes or no answer;
var421x1 = var420xx + var421xx;
if var421x1 ne 1 then delete;
Output:
```



## Question 14

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who answered "No" to question 13.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*Only respondents who answered "yes" to Q13";
```

if var420xx ne 1 then delete;

Output:


## Question 15

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who answered "Yes" to question 13.
- Eliminated answers that were not either "yes" or "no".
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*Only respondents who answered "no" to Q13";
if var421xx ne 1 then delete;
*forces either a yes or no answer;
var431x1 = var430xx + var431xx;
if var431x1 ne 1 then delete;
Output:
```



| opsize=1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR431XX | NO | 551 | 0.70 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $431 \times 1$ |  | 551 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR430XX | YES | 146 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR431XX | NO | 146 | 0.66 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $431 \times 1$ |  | 146 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR430XX | YES | 11 | 0.45 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR431XX | NO | 11 | 0.55 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $431 \times 1$ |  | 11 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |

## Question 16

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who answered "No" to question 15.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*Only respondents who answered "yes" to Q15;
if var430xx ne 1 then delete;
Output:



| VAR440XX | \| n St | 167 | 0 psi 0.67 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR442XX | Mls In St | 167 | 69.79 | 113.53 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR441XX | Out St | 167 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR443XX | MIs Out St | 167 | 118.41 | 264.93 | 0.00 | 2000.00 |
| VAR440XX | In St | 52 | - 0.5 psi | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR442XX | Mls In St | 52 | 87.62 | 173.16 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR441XX | Out St | 52 | 0.46 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR443XX | MIs Out St | 52 | 176.46 | 253.01 | 0.00 | 1000.00 |
| VAR440XX | 1 n St | 5 | - opsi | 0.55 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR442XX | Mls In St | 5 | 50.00 | 86.60 | 0.00 | 200.00 |
| VAR441XX | Out St | 5 | 0.60 | 0.55 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR443XX | MIs Out St | 5 | 220.00 | 228.04 | 0.00 | 500.00 |

## Question 17

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure.

Output:


## Question 18

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Eliminated respondents who gave no positive answer to any practice.
- Limited answers to one response per item.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*eliminate respondents who gave no positive answer to any practice;
var495x1 = var460xx + ... var495xx;
if var495x1 = 0 then delete;
*eliminates incorrect responses (other than 0 or 1) for specific
variables;
if var513xx gt 1 then delete;
*eliminates multiple responses (limits row sums to 1);
var463x1 = var460xx + var461xx + var462xx + var463xx;
if var463x1 gt 1 then delete;
var495x2 = var492xx + var493xx + var494xx + var495xx;
if var495x2 gt 1 then delete;
```

Output:

| Variable | el | N | Mean | Dev | mum | x i mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| fffffffff | fffffff | ffff | $f \mathrm{ff}$ | ffff | ffff | f |
|  |  |  | rent | Al ${ }^{\text {R }}$ |  |  |
| VAR460XX | organic | 702 | 0.06 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR464XX | natural | 702 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR468XX | direct | 702 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR472XX | co-op | 702 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR476XX | nat ID | 702 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR480XX | dif clv | 702 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR484XX | add ent | 702 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR488XX | sell rec | 702 | 0. 24 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR492XX | work | 702 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR513XX | other | 702 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| fffffffff | ffffffff | $f f f$ | $f f_{f} f f_{v} f$ | fffff Opera | $f f f f$ | ffff |
|  |  |  | - op |  |  |  |
| VAR460XX | organic | 522 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR464XX | natural | 522 | 0. 32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR468XX | direct | 522 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR472XX | co-op | 522 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR476XX | nat I D | 522 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR480XX | dif clv | 522 | 0.08 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR484XX | add ent | 522 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR488XX | sell rec | 522 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR492XX | wor k | 522 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR513XX | other | 522 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR460XX | organic | 168 | -0ps | 0. 20 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR464XX | organic | 168 168 | 0.24 | 0.20 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR468XX | direct | 168 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR472XX | co-op | 168 | 0.05 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR476XX | nat \| D | 168 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR480XX | dif clv | 168 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR484XX | add ent | 168 | 0.17 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR488XX | sell rec | 168 | 0.38 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR492XX | work | 168 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR513XX | other | 168 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  | - ops |  |  |  |
| VAR460XX | organic | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR464XX | natural | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR468XX | direct | 12 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR 472 XX | co-op | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR476XX | nat ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR480XX | dif clv | 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR484XX | add ent | 12 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR488XX | sell rec | 12 | 0.58 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR492XX | work | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR513XX | other | 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Pr | e Con | All Ra |  |  |
| VAR461XX | organic | 702 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR465XX | natural | 702 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR469XX | direct | 702 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR473XX | co-op | 702 | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR477XX | nat I D | 702 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR481XX | dif clv | 702 | 0.27 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR485XX | add Ent | 702 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR489XX | sell rec | 702 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR493XX | work | 702 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR514XX | other | 701 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 |



| VAR461XX | organic | 522 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR465 XX | natural | 522 | 0.29 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR469XX | direct | 522 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR473XX | co-op | 522 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR477XX | nat I D | 522 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR481XX | dif clv | 522 | 0.28 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR485XX | add Ent | 522 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR489XX | sell rec | 522 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR493XX | work | 522 | 0.14 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR514XX | other | 521 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | - ops |  |  |  |
| VAR461 XX | organic | 168 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR465 XX | natural | 168 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR469 XX | direct | 168 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR473XX | co.op | 168 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR477XX | nat ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 168 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR481XX | dif clv | 168 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR485XX | add Ent | 168 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR489XX | sell rec | 168 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR493XX | work | 168 | 0.17 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR514XX | other | 168 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR461XX | organic | 12 | - 0 0ps | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR465 XX | natural | 12 | 0.50 | 0. 52 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR469XX | direct | 12 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR473XX | co-op | 12 | 0.25 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR477XX | nat ID | 12 | 0.42 | 0. 51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR481XX | dif clv | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR485XX | add Ent | 12 | 0.33 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR489XX | sell rec | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR493XX | work | 12 | 0.17 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR514XX | other | 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| VAR462 XX | organic | Pract 702 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR466XX | natural | 702 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR470XX | direct | 702 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR474XX | cosop | 702 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR478XX | nat I D | 702 | 0.27 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR482XX | dif clv | 702 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR486XX | add Ent | 702 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR490XX | sell rec | 702 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |



| VAR491XX | sell rec | 12 | 0.08 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| VAR495XX | work | 12 | 0.42 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR516XX | other | 12 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

## Note: Results for the last four items listed in the survey instrument on Question 18 are reported under Part C, Sagebrush management, Table [Q18-Extra].

## Question 19

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
" Recoded responses of " 0 " as missing (question was coded as a five point likert scale from 1 to 5).
- SAS Means procedure.
- SPSS frequencies procedure to calculate median and mode.

Code:

```
*eliminate respondents did not respond to particular items;
if var517xx = 0 then var517xx = .;
if var518xx = 0 then var518xx = .;
if var519xx = 0 then var519xx = .;
if var520xx = 0 then var520xx = .;
if var521xx = 0 then var521xx = .;
if var522xx = 0 then var522xx = .;
if var523xx = 0 then var523xx = .;
if var524xx = 0 then var524xx = .;
if var525xx = 0 then var525xx = .;
if var526xx = 0 then var526xx = .;
if var527xx = 0 then var527xx = .;
if var527xx = 0 then var527xx = .;
if var528xx = 0 then var528xx = .;
if var529xx = 0 then var529xx = .;
```

Output:


| VAR525XX | Markt Asst | 579 | 3.03 | 1. 21 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR526XX | Ret Own | 567 | 2.98 | 1. 20 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR527XX | Alt Prod | 565 | 3.00 | 1. 14 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR528XX | Subs Elim | 572 | 3.40 | 1. 17 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR529XX | Fed Graz | 573 | 3.26 | 1. 31 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR517XX | Mand ID | 182 | - 2.97 | 1.40 | 1.00 | 00 |
| VAR518XX | Rst Vacc | 184 | 2. 45 | 1.27 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VARS19 X ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Org Prem | 183 | 3.63 | 1. 07 | 1.00 | 5. 00 |
| VAR520XX | DrghtPlan | 182 | 4.15 | 1. 05 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR521XX | BSE | 183 | 3.61 | 1. 27 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR522XX | Bruc | 183 | 3.62 | 1. 25 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR523XX | Alt Ent | 183 | 2.99 | 1. 34 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR524XX | Markt Alt | 182 | 3.23 | 1. 19 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR525 X | Markt Asst | 182 | 2.93 | 1. 26 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR526XX | Ret Own | 175 | 2.86 | 1. 25 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR527XX | Alt Prod | 174 | 2.87 | 1. 21 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR528XX | Subs Elim | 177 | 3.19 | 1.28 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR529XX | Fed Graz | 180 | 3.13 | 1.33 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| Varsildx | Mand ID | 15 | 3.0ps | 1. 51 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR518XX | Rst Vacc | 15 | 2.47 | 1.46 | 1.00 | 5. 00 |
| VAR519XX | Org Prem | 15 | 3.40 | 1. 35 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR520XX | DrghtPlan | 15 | 4.07 | 1.03 | 2.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR521XX | BSE | 15 | 2.40 | 1. 35 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR522XX | Bruc | 15 | 3.20 | 1. 52 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR523XX | Alt Ent | 15 | 3.87 | 1. 36 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR524XX | Markt Alt | 15 | 3.47 | 1.36 | 1. 00 | 5.00 |
| VAR525XX | Markt Asst | 15 | 3.20 | 1. 21 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR526XX | Ret Own | 15 | 3.40 | 1. 50 | 1.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR527XX | Alt Prod | 15 | 3. 33 | 1. 23 | 1.00 | 5. 00 |
| VAR528XX | Subs Elim | 15 | 3.80 | 1. 32 | 2.00 | 5.00 |
| VAR529XX | Fed Graz | 15 | 3.27 | 1.75 | 1.00 | 5.00 |

## All Ranches

## Statistics

|  |  | Mandate ID | Restrict Vacc | Organic Prem | Drought Plan | BSE | Brucellosis |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 780 | 789 | 785 | 783 | 794 | 791 |
|  | Missing | 34 | 25 | 29 | 31 | 20 | 23 |
| Mean |  | 3.05 | 2.52 | 3.65 | 4.27 | 3.75 | 3.75 |
| Median | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |
| Mode | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |

Statistics

|  |  | Alt Ent | Market Alt | Market Assist | Ret Own | Alt Prod | Subsidy Elim | Fed Grazing |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 774 | 775 | 776 | 757 | 754 | 764 | 768 |
|  | Missing | 40 | 39 | 38 | 57 | 60 | 50 | 46 |
| Mean |  | 3.35 | 3.30 | 3.01 | 2.96 | 2.98 | 3.36 | 3.23 |
| Median | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |  |
| Mode | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |  |

## Operation Size 1

## Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | Mandate ID | Restrict Vacc | Organic Prem | Drought Plan | BSE | Brucellosis |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 583 | 590 | 587 | 586 | 596 | 593 |
|  | Missing | 27 | 20 | 23 | 24 | 14 | 17 |
| Mean |  | 3.08 | 2.55 | 3.66 | 4.31 | 3.83 | 3.80 |
| Median | 3.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |
| Mode | 3 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |

a. opsize $=1$

Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | Alt Ent | Market Alt | Market Assist | Ret Own | Alt Prod | Subsidy Elim | Fed Grazing |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 576 | 578 | 579 | 567 | 565 | 572 | 573 |
|  | Missing | 34 | 32 | 31 | 43 | 45 | 38 | 37 |
| Mean |  | 3.45 | 3.32 | 3.03 | 2.98 | 3.00 | 3.40 | 3.26 |
| Median |  | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |
| Mode | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 4 |  |

a. opsize = 1

## Operation Size 2

Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  |  | Mandate ID | Restrict Vacc | Organic Prem | Drought Plan | BSE | Brucellosis |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 182 | 184 | 183 | 182 | 183 | 183 |
|  | Missing | 7 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Mean |  | 2.97 | 2.45 | 3.63 | 4.15 | 3.61 | 3.62 |
| Median | 3.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |  |
| Mode | 3 | $1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |  |

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown
b. opsize $=2$

Statistics ${ }^{\text {a }}$

|  |  | Alt Ent | Market Alt | Market Assist | Ret Own | Alt Prod | Subsidy Elim | Fed Grazing |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 183 | 182 | 182 | 175 | 174 | 177 | 180 |
|  | Missing | 6 | 7 | 7 | 14 | 15 | 12 | 9 |
| Mean |  | 2.99 | 3.23 | 2.93 | 2.86 | 2.87 | 3.19 | 3.13 |
| Median | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 |  |
| Mode | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 |  |

a. opsize $=2$

## Operation Size 3

Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  |  | Mandate ID | Restrict Vacc | Organic Prem | Drought Plan | BSE | Brucellosis |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Mean |  | 3.00 | 2.47 | 3.40 | 4.07 | 2.40 | 3.20 |
| Median | 3.00 | 2.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 2.00 | 3.00 |  |
| Mode | $1^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 | 4 | 5 | 2 | 5 |  |

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown
b. opsize $=3$

Statistics ${ }^{\text {b }}$

|  |  | Alt Ent | Market Alt | Market Assist | Ret Own | Alt Prod | Subsidy Elim | Fed Grazing |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| N | Valid | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 | 15 |
|  | Missing | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Mean |  | 3.87 | 3.47 | 3.20 | 3.40 | 3.33 | 3.80 | 3.27 |
| Median |  | 4.00 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 | 4.00 |
| Mode |  | $3^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 | $1^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |

a. Multiple modes exist. The smallest value is shown
b. opsize $=3$

## Part C: Drought and Sagebrush Management

## Question 20

Procedure:

- "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure, UNIVARIATE procedure.
- SPSS descriptive statistics, frequency histogram.


## Code:

```
data q20; set dat.inczero071105;
*ignores operations with less than 20 bred cattle;
if var330xx le 19 then delete;
*New operation sizes based on original strata;
if var330XX ge 20 and var330xX lt 300 then opsize=1;
if var330xx ge 300 and var330xx lt 1000 then opsize=2;
if var330xx ge 1000 then opsize=3;
```

Output:


Statistics
drght yrs

| N | Valid | 814 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: |
|  | Missing | 0 |
| Mean |  | 4.75 |
| Median | 5.00 |  |
| Mode | 5 |  |
| Std. Deviation | 2.048 |  |
| Minimum | 0 |  |
| Maximum | 20 |  |

## Question 21

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not report negative drought impacts (i.e. who indicated 0 years in question 20-years of consecutive drought).
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*drops respondents who did not report negative drought impacts; if var530xx = 0 then delete;
Output:


| VAR 568 XX | 2003 | 759 | 6.75 | 16.70 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR574XX | 2003 | 759 | 0.52 | 5.06 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | erien | 2004 Al |  |  |
| VAR544XX | 2004 | 759 | 31.36 | 27.48 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR549XX | 2004 | 759 | 21.66 | 30.94 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR554XX | 2004 | 759 | 34.57 | 36.05 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR559XX | 2004 | 759 | 6.41 | 15.41 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR564XX | 2004 | 759 | 6.49 | 16.95 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR 569 XX | 2004 | 759 | 7.37 | 17.49 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR 575 XX | 2004 | 759 | 0.77 | 6. 93 | 0.00 | 100.00 |

ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
Changes Experienced in 2000 By Operation Size

| VAR540XX | 2000 | 569 | 16.72 | 23.85 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR5 45 XX | 2000 | 569 | 11.98 | 23.67 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 $50 \times X$ | 2000 | 569 | 18.10 | 27.05 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR555XX | 2000 | 569 | 4.07 | 13.12 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 $60 \times X$ | 2000 | 569 | 4.59 | 15.01 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR565 XX | 2000 | 569 | 3.67 | 12.43 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR571XX | 2000 | 569 | 0.15 | 1.94 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
|  |  |  | - |  |  |  |
| VAR540XX | 2000 | 176 | 14.31 | 19.94 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR545XX | 2000 | 176 | 11.95 | 22.74 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 $50 \times X$ | 2000 | 176 | 18.58 | 25.67 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR555XX | 2000 | 176 | 4.91 | 15.13 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 $60 \times X$ | 2000 | 176 | 3. 71 | 15.27 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 65 XX | 2000 | 176 | 4.39 | 14.72 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR571XX | 2000 | 176 | 0.14 | 1.88 | 0.00 | 25.00 |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| VAR540XX | 2000 | 14 | 15.36 | 19.56 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR545XX | 2000 | 14 | 20.93 | 28.86 | 0.00 | 80.00 |
| VAR550XX | 2000 | 14 | 18.21 | 17.17 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR555XX | 2000 | 14 | 3. 21 | 6.68 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR5 $60 \times X$ | 2000 | 14 | 2.86 | 4.69 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR565 XX | 2000 | 14 | 3.57 | 10.82 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR571XX | 2000 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff

| $\cdots$ opsize=1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR541XX | 2001 | 569 | 19.70 | 23.89 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR546XX | 2001 | 569 | 14.04 | 23.92 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR551XX | 2001 | 569 | 20.87 | 27.38 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR556XX | 2001 | 569 | 4. 53 | 12. 55 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR561XX | 2001 | 569 | 5. 53 | 16.71 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 66 XX | 2001 | 569 | 4.40 | 13.79 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 72 XX | 2001 | 569 | 0.19 | 2.11 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR541XX | 2001 | 176 | 19.53 | 21.32 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR546XX | 2001 | 176 | 15.22 | 24.47 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR551XX | 2001 | 176 | 21.41 | 25.74 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR556XX | 2001 | 176 | 5.76 | 14.99 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR561XX | 2001 | 176 | 4.33 | 15.51 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR $566 \times X$ | 2001 | 176 | 5.27 | 15.13 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 72 XX | 2001 | 176 | 0. 51 | 4.44 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR541XX | 2001 | 14 | 21.43 | 18. 13 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR546XX | 2001 | 14 | 42.14 | 39.06 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR551XX | 2001 | 14 | 32.14 | 32.80 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 56 XX | 2001 | 14 | 5.21 | 10.03 | 0.00 | 33.00 |
| VAR561XX | 2001 | 14 | 3.21 | 5. 41 | 0.00 | 15.00 |
| VAR566XX | 2001 | 14 | 4.64 | 11. 51 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR5 $72 \times X$ | 2001 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff
Changes Experienced in 2002 By Operation Size

| VAR542XX | 2002 | 569 | 27.57 | 26.25 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR547XX | 2002 | 569 | 20.07 | 29.15 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR552XX | 2002 | 569 | 28.88 | 31.36 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR557XX | 2002 | 569 | 6.06 | 14. 21 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR562XX | 2002 | 569 | 6.16 | 16. 29 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR567XX | 2002 | 569 | 6. 34 | 16. 21 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR573XX | 2002 | 569 | 0.44 | 5.16 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 22 XX | 2002 | 176 | 29.48 | 25.02 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR547XX | 2002 | 176 | 23.10 | 30.25 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 52 XX | 2002 | 176 | 31.86 | 31.45 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR557XX | 2002 | 176 | 8.55 | 17.73 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 62 X | 2002 | 176 | 7.42 | 19.64 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR567XX | 2002 | 176 | 8.62 | 19.19 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR573XX | 2002 | 176 | 0.71 | 6.43 | 0.00 | 75.00 |


| VAR5 42 XX | 2002 | 14 | 22.86 | 21.19 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR547XX | 2002 | 14 | 43.57 | 30.72 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 52 XX | 2002 | 14 | 35.71 | 29.60 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR557XX | 2002 | 14 | 8. 57 | 13.36 | 0.00 | 45.00 |
| VAR5 62 XX | 2002 | 14 | 7.50 | 11. 56 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR567XX | 2002 | 14 | 6.43 | 14.99 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
| VAR573XX | 2002 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | .... 0 |  |  |  |
| VAR543XX | 2003 | 569 | 28.16 | 25.73 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR548XX | 2003 | 569 | 20.25 | 28.71 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR553XX | 2003 | 569 | 27.95 | 30.49 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR558XX | 2003 | 569 | 6. 20 | 14.91 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR563XX | 2003 | 569 | 6.08 | 16.19 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR568XX | 2003 | 569 | 6.49 | 16.33 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 74 XX | 2003 | 569 | 0.41 | 4.73 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR543XX | 2003 | 176 | 28.26 | 23.12 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR548XX | 2003 | 176 | 22.47 | 30.07 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR553XX | 2003 | 176 | 29.27 | 31.06 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR5 58 XX | 2003 | 176 | 8.20 | 18.69 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR563XX | 2003 | 176 | 6.51 | 18.16 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR568XX | 2003 | 176 | 7.43 | 18.04 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR574XX | 2003 | 176 | 0.91 | 6.17 | 0.00 | 50.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR543XX | 2003 | 14 | 23.43 | 20.15 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR548XX | 2003 | 14 | 39.64 | 27.63 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR553XX | 2003 | 14 | 33.21 | 27.71 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR558XX | 2003 | 14 | 6.07 | 7.89 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR563XX | 2003 | 14 | 4.64 | 8.87 | 0.00 | 30.00 |
| VAR568XX | 2003 | 14 | 8.57 | 14.73 | 0.00 | 40.00 |
| VAR574XX | 2003 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| ffffffffffffffff Chffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR549XX | 2004 | 569 | 20.60 | 30.63 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR554XX | 2004 | 569 | 34.21 | 36.17 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR559XX | 2004 | 569 | 5.95 | 14.61 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR564XX | 2004 | 569 | 6.41 | 16.49 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR569XX | 2004 | 569 | 7.01 | 16.94 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR575XX | 2004 | 569 | 0.75 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| $\cdots$ opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR544XX | 2004 | 176 | 31.11 | 26.73 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR549XX | 2004 | 176 | 23.90 | 31.59 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR554XX | 2004 | 176 | 35.82 | 36.23 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR559XX | 2004 | 176 | 8. 06 | 18.11 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR564XX | 2004 | 176 | 7.05 | 18.93 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR569XX | 2004 | 176 | 8.70 | 19.63 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR575XX | 2004 | 176 | 0.88 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 70.00 |
| opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2004 | 14 | 22.71 | 18.50 | 0.00 | 60.00 |
| VAR549XX | 2004 | 14 | 36.43 | 31.95 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR554XX | 2004 | 14 | 33.93 | 30.14 | 0.00 | 100.00 |
| VAR559XX | 2004 | 14 | 4. 29 | 7. 56 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR564XX | 2004 | 14 | 2.79 | 4.58 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| VAR569XX | 2004 | 14 | 5.36 | 8.43 | 0.00 | 20.00 |
| VAR575XX | 2004 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

Question 22

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure.

Output:


| VAR595XX | Add Graz | 759 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR600XX | Add Wnt F | 759 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR605XX | Erly Wean | 759 | 0.11 | 0. 31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR610XX | Gmt Feed | 759 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR615XX | Gmt Incom | 759 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR620XX | Off Farm | 759 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR625XX | Alt Lvsk | 759 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR630XX | Alt Crop | 759 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR635XX | Ot her | 759 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $635 \times 1$ | \# Strat 00 | 759 | 1. 59 | 1. 62 | 0.00 | 9.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Str | Used i | I Ran |  |  |
| VAR581XX | P Hrd Liq | 757 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR586XX | T Hrd Liq | 759 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR591XX | Sell Yrlg | 759 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR596XX | Add Graz | 759 | 0.21 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR601XX | Add Wnt F | 759 | 0.44 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR606XX | Erly Wean | 759 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR611XX | Gmt Feed | 759 | 0.23 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR616XX | Gmt Incom | 759 | 0.06 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR621XX | Off Farm | 759 | 0.40 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR626XX | Alt Lvsk | 759 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR631XX | Alt Crop | 759 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR636XX | Ot her | 759 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $636 \times 1$ | \# Strat 01 | 757 | 2.03 | 1.73 | 0.00 | 10.00 |
| fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR582XX | p Hrd Liq | Str 759 | Used 0.50 | O. 50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR587XX | T Hrd Liq | 759 | 0.02 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR592XX | Sell Yrlg | 759 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR597XX | Add Graz | 759 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR602XX | Add Wnt F | 759 | 0. 59 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR607XX | Erly Wean | 759 | 0.29 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR612XX | Gmt Feed | 758 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR617XX | Gmt Incom | 759 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR622XX | Off Farm | 759 | 0.42 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR627XX | Alt Lvsk | 759 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR632XX | Alt Crop | 759 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR637XX | Other | 759 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| var $637 \times 1$ | \# Strat 02 | 758 | 2. 95 | 1.85 | 0.00 | 10.00 |






| VAR614XX | Gmt Feed | 569 | 0.39 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR619XX | Gmt Incom | 569 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR624XX | Off Farm | 569 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR629XX | Alt Lvsk | 569 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR634XX | Alt Crop | 569 | 0.03 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR639XX | Other | 569 | 0.04 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
|  |  |  | - ops |  |  |  |
| VAR584XX | P Hrd Liq | 176 | 0.49 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR589XX | T Hrd Liq | 176 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR594XX | Sell Yrlg | 175 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR599XX | Add Graz | 176 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR604XX | Add Wnt F | 176 | 0.64 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR609XX | Erly Wean | 176 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR614XX | Gmt Feed | 176 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR619XX | Gmt Incom | 176 | 0.13 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR624XX | Off Farm | 176 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR629XX | Alt Lvsk | 176 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR634XX | Alt Crop | 176 | 0.05 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR639XX | Other | 176 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | $\therefore 0 p s$ |  |  |  |
| VAR5 $84 X X$ | P Hrd Liq | 14 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR589XX | T Hrd Liq | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR594XX | Sell Yrlg | 14 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR599XX | Add Graz | 14 | 0.50 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR604XX | Add Wnt F | 14 | 0.64 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR609XX | Erly Wean | 14 | 0.36 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR614XX | Gmt Feed | 14 | 0.43 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR619XX | Gmt Incom | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR624XX | Off Farm | 14 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR629XX | Alt Lvsk | 14 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR634XX | Alt Crop | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR639XX | Other | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Question 23

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not answer "yes" or "no".
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*forces either a yes or no answer;
var651x1 = var650xx + var651xx;
if var651x1 = 0 then delete;
if var651x1 = 2 then delete;
```


## Output:



## Question 24

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not answer "yes" or "no".
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*forces either a yes or no answer;
var653x1 = var652xx + var653xx;
if var653x1 ne 1 then delete;
Output:
```



## Question 25

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not report replacing their herd in question 24.
- Excluded respondents with answers not summing to $100 \%$.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*limited to respondents who replaced their herd;
if var652xx = 1 then delete;
*excludes respondents with responses that did not sum to 100;
var663x1 = var660xx + var661xx + var662xx + var663xx;
if var663x1 ne 100 then delete;
```


## Output:




## Question 26

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not respond to any sagebrush question.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

```
*excludes respondents who did not respond at all to any sagebrush
question;
var693x1 =
var670xx + var671xx + var672xx + var673xx + var674xx +
var675xx + var676xx + var677xx + var678xx + var679xx +
var680xx + var681xx + var683xx +
var690xx + var691xx + var692xx + var693xx;
if var693x1 = 0 then delete;
```

Output:



## Question 27

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did respond to any sagebrush question.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*excludes respondents who did not respond at all to any sagebrush
question;
var683x1 =
var670xx + var671xx + var672xx + var673xx + var674xx +
var675xx + var676xx + var677xx + var678xx + var679xx +
var680xx + var681xx + var683xx +
var690xx + var691xx + var692xx + var693xx;
if var683x1 = 0 then delete;
```

Output:


## Question 28

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not respond to any sagebrush question.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*excludes respondents who did not respond at all to any sagebrush question;
var683x1 =
var670xx + var671xx + var672xx + var673xx + var674xx +
var675xx + var676xx + var677xx + var678xx + var679xx +
var680xx + var681xx + var683xx +
var690xx + var691xx + var692xx + var693xx;
if var683x1 = 0 then delete;
Output:


## Question 18-Extra

- Procedure: "no zeros" dataset.
- SAS Frequency procedure.

Code:
data q18x; set dat.nozero051106;
*Includes only phone responses;
if phone = . then delete;
Output:



## Part D: Demographic Information

## Question 29

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- GIS mapping from Excel spreadsheet.


## Question 30

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Limited responses to a range of actual Wyoming elevations.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*Limit to 2,000 - 13,000 feet;
if var901xx le 2000 then delete;
if var901xx > 13000 then delete;
Output:
```

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Analysis Variable : Vargolxx elev |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 770 \\ & f f f \end{aligned}$ | 5365.90 | 1216.16 | 3000.00 | 10000.00 |
| Ranch Elevation- By Operation Size |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | - opsize |  |  |
| 572 | 5268.12 | 1164.05 | 3100.00 | 10000.00 |
| 183 | 5610.27 | 1326size | 3000.00 | 9650.00 |
| 15 | 6113.33 | - opsize | 4300.00 | 8100.00 |

## Question 31

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure.

Output:


## Question 32

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Eliminated respondents who did not indicate any age category.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:

```
*Excludes respondents who did not respond to any age category;
var917x1 = var910xx + var911xx + var912xx + var913xx +
var914xx + var915xx + var916xx + var917xx;
if var917x1 = 0 then delete;
```

Output:

| Variable | Label | N | Mean | Dev | i mum | i mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Primary Operator Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR914XX | 35-44 | 792 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR911XX | 45-49 | 792 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR915XX | 50-54 | 792 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR912 XX | 55-59 | 792 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR916XX | 60-64 | 792 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR913XX | 65-69 | 792 | 0.10 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR917XX | $70+$ | 792 | 0.14 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| fffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffffff Primary Operator Age- By Operation Size |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR914XX | 35-44 | 593 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR911 X | 45-49 | 593 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR915 XX | 50-54 | 593 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR912 XX | 55-59 | 593 | 0.16 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR916XX | 60-64 | 593 | 0.12 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR913 XX | 65-69 | 593 | 0.11 | 0.31 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR917XX | $70+$ | 593 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| opsize=2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR910XX | 25-34 | 185 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR914XX | 35-44 | 185 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR911 XX | 45-49 | 185 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR915 XX | 50.54 | 185 | 0.22 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR912 XX | 55-59 | 185 | 0.11 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR916XX | 60.64 | 185 | 0.19 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR913 XX | 65-69 | 185 | 0.08 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR917XX | 70 + | 185 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| opsize=3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VAR910XX | 25-34 | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR914XX | 35-44 | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR911 X | 45-49 | 14 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR915 XX | 50-54 | 14 | 0.29 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR912 XX | 55-59 | 14 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR916XX | 60-64 | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR913 XX | 65-69 | 14 | 0.07 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 1. 00 |
| VAR917XX | 70 + | 14 | 0.14 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Question 33

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Excluded respondents who did not indicate any education category.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

*Excludes respondents who did not respond to any education category;
var925x1 = var920xx + var921xx + var922xx + var923xx + var924xx +
var925xx;
if var925x1 = 0 then delete;
Output:



| VAR923XX | Sm Cl g | 189 | 0.33 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR921XX | Tech | 189 | 0.01 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR924XX | BA | 189 | 0.22 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR922XX | Sm Grd | 189 | 0.05 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR925XX | Grd Dg | 189 | 0.09 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ |  |  |  |
| VAR920XX | HS | 15 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR923XX | SmCl g | 15 | 0.13 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR921XX | Tech | 15 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR924XX | BA | 15 | 0.60 | 0. 51 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR922 XX | Sm Grd | 15 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR925XX | Grd Dg | 15 | 0.07 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

## Question 34

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Limited responses to 100 years of experience.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*maximum years of experience set at 100;
if var926xx gt 100 then var926xx = .;
Output:


## Question 35

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Output:



## Question 36

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Eliminated income percentages of $0 \%$.
- SAS Means procedure.

Code:
*eliminates income percentages of 0;
if var932xx = 0 then var932xx = .;
Output:


## Question 37

- Procedure: "including zeros" dataset.
- Excluded producers with less than 20 bred cattle.
- Sorted data into three operation sizes based on number of bred cows.
- Eliminated respondents who did not indicate any income category.
- SAS Means procedure.


## Code:

```
*eliminates non-response to every category;
var948x1 = var940xx + var941xx + var942xx + var943xx + var944xx
+ var945xx + var946xx + var947xx + var948xx;
if var948x1 = 0 then delete;
```

Output:

| V | Labe | N | Mean | Dev | mum | mum |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ffffffff | fffffff | ff f | ffffffffffff | ffff | ffff | ffff |
|  |  |  | Gross Ranch |  |  |  |
| VAR940XX | < 1 K | 745 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR941XX | 1.5K | 745 | 0.02 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR942XX | 5-10K | 745 | 0.03 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR943XX | 10.25K | 745 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR944XX | 25.50K | 745 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR945XX | 50.100K | 745 | 0.20 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR946XX | 100.250K | 745 | 0.24 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR947XX | 250.500 K | 745 | 0.12 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR948XX | > 500 K | 745 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| ffffffff | ffffffff | ffff | ffffffffffff | ffff |  | ffff |
| fffeff | ffffff |  | oss Ranch Sal | rati |  |  |
|  |  |  | $\cdots$ Op |  |  |  |
| VAR940XX | < 1 K | 555 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR941XX | 1.5K | 555 | 0.02 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 1.00 |


| VAR942XX | 5.10K | 555 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| VAR943XX | 10.25K | 555 | 0.19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR944XX | 25.50K | 555 | 0.26 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR945 XX | 50.100 K | 555 | 0.25 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR946XX | 100-250K | 555 | 0. 19 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR947XX | 250.500 K | 555 | 0.04 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR948XX | > 500 K | 555 | 0.01 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR940XX | < 1K | 176 | .. 0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR941XX | 1.5K | 176 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR942XX | 5-10K | 176 | 0.01 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR943XX | 10.25K | 176 | 0.01 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR944XX | 25-50K | 176 | 0.04 | 0. 20 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR945 XX | 50.100 K | 176 | 0.09 | 0. 28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR946XX | 100.250K | 176 | 0.41 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR947XX | 250.500 K | 176 | 0.36 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR948XX | > 500 K | 176 | 0.09 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR940XX | < 1K | 14 | .- 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VARg41XX | 1.5K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR942XX | 5.10K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR943XX | 10.25K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR944XX | 25-50K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR945XX | 50.100K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR946XX | 100.250K | 14 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| VAR947XX | 250.500K | 14 | 0. 14 | 0. 36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |
| VAR948XX | > 500 K | 14 | 0.86 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 1.00 |

# Appendix D: Responses to "Other, Specify" 

## Section A: General Ranch Description

Question 1, Variable 125: Other types of private land.
"illegible" (2)
5 acres
alfalfa
alfalfa circle
AUM
Beans (4)
bldg/house
corrals, house, buildings
CRP (11)
CRP grass
Deeded (2)
dry land grass
fallow - farm yard
fallow lands
farm stead, "illegible," hay land
farmstead
grazing "illegible"
grazing assoc.
haylage
home site
home site, roads, ditches, dry ground
homestead (2)
house
house and building (2)
irrigated and sub-irrigated pasture
irrigated grass
irrigated pasture (6)
oat hay
oats
owned acres
pasture
pasture irrigation
pasture with corral private forest
reservation land
riparian, willows, sage benches river and creek bottom
row crops, bad lands, drought fallow
sold cows in 2003
sugar beet (2)
summer fallow (2)
tribal
wheat
winter wheat
W.I.R.

Question 2, Variable 152: Other ranch enterprises / activities.
"illegible"
160 goats just purchased
4 cows
$75 \%$ from crop sales
all other come from other sources
all summer is pasture for other
ranchers
barley, hay
brand
bucking bulls
bulls (7)
calf crop share
CBM, hay
chickens
coal miner
contract work for coal mine
cow calf stocker
crops (3)
crops (dry land wheat)
CRP (2)
CRP, wheat
cull cows and bulls
custom hay stacking
direct marketing "natural beef" to
consumers
direct meat sales
drought conditions
dry land wheat
dude ranch (2)
equipment, custom haying
farming (2)
finish steers to slaughter
govt. programs
grain sale
grain, hay, leases cropland for winter
pasture
grain, row crop
grain, row crop
grass sales, hay
ground is leased out guiding, ditch rider
hay (26)
hay sales
hay, cash crops
hay, custom work
hay, grazing
hay, horse boarding
hay, hunt
hay, misc.
hay, pasture
hay, pasture, misc.
honey
horse boarding
horses
hunting (3)
irrigated crops
lease pasture
lease pasture for cattle grazing
lease pasture for yearling steers
leases grazing acres to someone else logging
long horns for roping
malt barley, grass seed, fed ag
program, etc
market steers
minerals
miniature Hereford cattle
mules
my farming/ranch is a hobby
operation we have accepted no govt.
funding for anything
off farm work
old roping cattle
outfittin', other
outside the ranch work
pasture
pasture cattle, hentiy
pasture lease
pasture yearlings
pasture yearlings during summer for feedlot
pasturing cattle for others, hay
purchased black angus
ranch leased to a neighbor
registered bulls
rent pasture, hay
rented out land (both pasture and
cropland
rodeo livestock
roping cattle
roping steers - rental
roping steers and heifers
roping steers, purchased calves
roping, team penning, horse nutrition
clinics
run a truck
running others cows and graze
S.S.
seed stock
seed stock (bulls and heifers)
seed, hay, grain
sell bulls (2)
sell pairs
sell pasture
sheep
short of water - won't take in stock
slaughter steers, hay
steers
steers, heifers
summer grazing
takes in cattle for others winter
months till calving
takes in cattle for summer grazing
teacher salary
team penning steers
team roping and rodeo cattle tourism
wages and custom hire
we sold our cows no grass/drought wheat
wheat, land rent
wheat, millet, hay, pasture lease works off-farm
yearling bulls
yearlings
yearlings - buy spring sell in fall.
take cattle in for grazing for 4 or 5 mo.

## Question 5, Variable 202: Other herd management techniques.

| baud calves | ID tag |
| :--- | :--- |
| baugs ID | ivomec |
| branding (9) | pour on |
| buck | preg. test |
| bugs | scour guard |

scour guard, viti A
tatoo
taxes, heat, power, leases,
registrations, misc.
vira shield 5

## Question 6, Variable 222: Other ranch expenses.

"illegible" feed
after math and feed
AI (3)
all other expense categories
background, feed lot
balance of schedule F-IRS
B.L.M., forest
breeding expense, utilities, electricity
breeding fees, replacement heifer
development, utilities
cake (5)
cake, cubes
capital purchase
certified hay
contract haying
contract services, food, housing,
supplies, etc
crystal lick
custom feeding, pasture lease,
trucking, insurance
custom feeding, taxes, insurance, other
depreciation, grazing lease,
insurance, utilities, taxes
depreciation, insurance, rent, supply,
taxes, utilities, vehicle
electric power for irrigation, etc.
electric, taxes
electricity (4)
equipment
equipment purchase, estate purchase of ranch, private lease, public lease equipment purchased, taxes, supplies, insurance, living expenses equipment rent, ranch lease

## everything else

fair, horse shows, recreation
family, fence, pasture, etc.
farm/ranch supplies, repairs
feedlot
fence repair
fencing (2)
fencing and corral maintenance
fencing, repairs (2)
fencing, repairs to buildings
filler hog
fixed leases, utilities, legal acct,
depreciation
freight
freight, grazing fees, dues, insurance, leases, supplies, property taxes,
depreciation, water
freight, insurance, taxes, rent, supplies, utilities
general supplies
grain hay
grass
grass hay (4)
grass hay (drought related buying)
grass hay, leases
grass, alfalfa
grass, hay
grass/al-mix
grass/grass alfalfa, mixed hay grazing range
hay (2)
hay cubes, grass, grass/alfalfa
hay, alfalfa cubes, pasture lease
hay, misc.
hay, silage
haying, weed control,
vehicle/machine repairs
horse feed
horse purchases
horses, fence cost
house payment
idle
improvement
insurance (6)
insurance health, education, property insurance, family living, utilities, supplies
insurance, farm repairs, supplies, other fuel, phone, taxes, lease payments insurance, just trying to live insurance, misc. insurance, rent, grazing fees, taxes, supplies
insurance, supplies, misc.
insurance, taxes
insurance, taxes, grazing fees (state and fed), freight, trucking, brand inspect, beef check off, utilities (phone, power, etc), commissary, postage, office expense insurance, taxes, supplies,
"illegible," depreciation, "illegible
insurance, trucking, brand
inspection, pasture rent
insurance, trucking, dues, taxes,
supplies, lease
insurance, utilities
insurance: crop, property, health
irrigation water
irrigation (3)
irrigation, electricity
irrigation, labor
irrigation, leased
irrigation, property tax, pasture rent,
feedlot, hay, feed, misc., supplies,
services
irrigation, sales comm, brand
inspection, truck, expenses,
depreciation, supplies, taxes,
insurance, utilities
irrigation, taxes, living
land
land lease
land lease, insurance, ranch/shop
supplies
land payment (2)
land rental
lease (9)
lease bulls, insurance
lease, misc., insurance
leased
leased cattle
leased grass
leases (4)
leases, permits
leases, supplies, utilities, taxes
leases, utilities, misc.
living expenses/home maintenance
living, food, clothes, etc.
living, rent: land, machinery
loan
loan, living
machinery
machinery purchase
machinery replacement, taxes,
insurance, licenses, supplies
marketing, sales, trucking, office
admin, depreciation
mat
mgt. lee. Rent
misc. (16)
misc. (lease, insurance)
misc., insurance
misc., supplies
misc., taxes
misc. supplies
mortgage
new machinery, ditch work, fencing,
buildings, repair
niche market product development, water development, other
parts, materials
pasture (8)
pasture cost
pasture lease (5)
pasture lease, equipment
pasture rent (8)
payments, living
power, pasture
profit, work
prom, show expense
protein supplements
purchasing land
ranch insurance, state lease, utilities, living
ranch supplies
registration, misc.
rent (3)
rent, insurance
rent, machinery, misc.
rent, share crop
rent, taxes, insurance, electricity
stuff
summer pasture
sunflower
supplement
supplies (2)
supplies, B.L.M. lease, Forest service lease, trucking, insurance, taxes, depreciation, etc
supplies, equipment
supplies, machine payments
supplies, new equipment
supplies, repairs
taxes, utilities, insurance, repair, maintenance, supplies
tax, insurance
taxes (3)
taxes, electricity
taxes, insurance
taxes, insurance, utilities, leases, etc taxes, leases, maintenance, insurance, trucking
taxes, licenses, office supplies, work clothes, utilities, machinery purchases, insurance, irrigation
taxes, rent, seeds, insurance, utilities, office
taxes, utilities, insurance, misc.,
irrigation, etc
taxes, water
tools, etc.
truck and trailer payments
trucking (3)
trucking, misc., property taxes, utilities, leases, insurance utilities equipment utilities, general maintenance utilities, insurance, taxes, license plates, commissions, employee benefits, health insurance, care, employee wages
utilities, insurance, taxes, water charges, equipment purchases, parts utilities, lease
utilities, misc. utilities, misc., etc.
utilities, repairs, tax, insurance utilities, rentals
vehicle license, insurance, taxes vehicle, equipment purchases vehicle, insurance, utilities, water, misc., food, clothes
wages, "illegible," fence, gas, post water (3)
water rights
water, fence, food, feedlot water, parts, supplies weed control, taxes, telephone, leases, groceries, trucking wheat hay

## Question 8, Variable 318: Other on- and off-farm feed sources.

"illegible"
alfalfa, sheep
all hay bought
beet pulp
breeder sales, bulls
cake (13)
corn
corn balls
corn silage (8)
crystal lick
custom hay/shapes
ear corn (2)
fall tritcare
go to feed lot
grass (3)
grass grazing (2)
grass hay
hay meadows
hay stubble
horse feed irrigate grass
leased pasture
minerals (3)
minerals and salts (5)
molasses tubs
nutralix
oat pellets
pasture (2)
pasture bought MT
pellets
protein supplement, cake, lick barrels protein tubs
range land
rent pasture
rough pasture
salt
salt, minerals
silage (3)
trade hay for summer pasture with a
friend
triticale
use pastured grass
winter pasture
yearling bought in spring sold in
Dec.

## Question 9, Variable 354: Other livestock (peak number owned, months owned, and months fed).

| "illegible" | daughter owns ewes and lambs | roping steers, purchased calves |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 2 lamas, 2 goats | dog (2) | shared cattle |
| 4-h | ewes, bucks | sheep (20) |
| bull calf, open cows | ewes, lambs, bucks | sheep on feed |
| bull calves (10) | fat cattle | sheep, goats |
| bull calves for sale, Holstein heifers | hogs | short term breed cows |
| bull yearlings | llamas | steers |
| bulls (2) | llamas, emu, alpacas | summer st |
| bulls breeding | mules | working dogs |
| calves, bulls (bucking) | purchased steer calves | yearling bulls (2) |
| chickens $(2)$ | purchased yearling | yearlings |
| dairy cow | roping cattle |  |

## Question 10, Variable 369: Other methods for selling calves.

1) only sell late/undesirable calf at
weaning $=100 \%$ 2) Retain ownership
of yearling steers through feedlot
process sold "illegible" $=100 \% 3$ )
Replacement yearling heifers sold to
feedlot private treaty $=100 \%$
breeding bulls
bucking bulls bull test auction

| bulls | meat "illegible" | retained open - sold directly to |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| cattle buyer | none retained | consumers |
| consignment | purchased yearlings | retained steers/heifers sold directly to |
| cull cows | purchased yearlings finished sold in | customers as fed beef |
| depending on prices | meat | retained steers/heifers sold to |
| don't sell just furnish kids with | ranch auction | consumers as good eating |
| slaughtered beef | replacement heifers, breeding bulls | sale barn |
| kept replacement | retained and fed out some steers, | sell bulls for people to eat |
| kids meat | heifers; sold directly to consumers | sold as yearling "illegible |
| leased animals |  | sold to feedlots (2) |

## Question 11, Variable 398: Other Cattle Classes for Sale Weights and Months

| bred heifers (2) | culls (3) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| dry cows (3) | open cows (4) |  |
| breeding cattle | dry cows and bulls | open replacement heifers |
| buffalo | drys | pairs |
| bull calves (2) | goat | roping steers |
| bull calves 1 yr | Holstein springers | roping steers, purchased calves |
| bull calves, yearlings | lambs (4) | slaughter steers |
| bulls (3) | lambs, llama | yearling breading bulls |
| calves born after May 1 | market steers | yearling bulls $(2)$ |
| canners | old cows $(2)$ | yearling steers |
| cull cows $(9)$ | open and cull cows and bulls |  |
| cull cows and calves |  |  |

Question 12, Variable 413: Other methods for purchasing cattle.
"illegible" only bulls AI
all purchased are ET calves raised by someone else
breeder producer private sale bull sales (8)
buy bulls only - bull sale don't purchase any cattle
fence post
home bred
home raised line breeding internal replacement keep our own replacements newspaper ads, fliers, word of mouth order buyer
packing horse private auction (2)
private auction, not sale barn
private auctions
raise all our own
raised (4)
raised sheep and bulls ranch auction ranch raised replacements sell as pairs
we sell cattle an do not purchase any other than the head bulls

## Section B: Other Production and Marketing Practices

Question 18, Variable 512: Other general farm / ranch practices.
burning sage brush
cactus is more prevalent and needs to be addressed cactus spraying
Canadian thistle chop the sage brush club calves
don't worry about the wildlife, the wolves will take care of it all (including people) elk feed ground on ranch, no problems
feeder association
field threatens our B.L.M. aums gas fighting prairie dogs, spray cactus flower
have a lot of soap weed growing
"illegible"
have no sage brush have no sagebrush on my land have sprayed formadog for prickly pear and larkspur
have to have career off farm, not enough work on ranch - all successful ranches do improve fishery in stream larkspur
natural fires controls sagebrush no sage brush on land owned no sage brush on our operation prairie dog control (2) rabbit brush infestation control retired
sage brush is not a problem but cheat grass is
sagebrush control does not endanger wildlife or cattle. It increases grass, nothing eats sagebrush unless there is no grass sell organic beef and reg. show stock spraying buck brush and cactus spring sprayed 10 years ago too many deer! we are an irrigated place - water availability is our main factor. weed control
wolves and grizzly bears are the biggest detriment to private property rights and ranch operations and wild life preservation

## Section C: Drought and Sagebrush Management

## Question 21, Variable 570: Other changes experienced as a result of recent drought.

"illegible"
\% increase in weeds

200 no hay put up
acre left fallow
bought fed and sold cattle so weight and breeding stayed up.
bought more outside hay supplements
calving earlier - feeding more
cattle, hay
compared to feed cost increase $\%$ a normal year.
cow reduction
cows never bred up because "illegible" of "illegible"
develop stock water
don't know all grass leased out
drought killed much of the meadow grass. Had to reseed
got our butt kicked
grass hoppers
grazing cost increase
had to haul water to B.L.M./hauling currently
hauling water
have not run cattle
heat stress reduced crops
I no longer know what a normal year is! I'm down $50 \%$ in numbers
land rent to run cows on
light calves bring more $\$$. Co-Op feed program pays excellent program for calves "illegible" \$
Mom and Dad sold their herd so it is hard to compare.
money
no grazing land
no hay put up - grazed
note: I buy feed to compensate
pasture grazing fees
pasture water
pregnancy and calving rates
production was actually up
sold $20 \%$ of cows
water
we cut our number of cattle to keep weight up
we leased other pasture
we've been trying to plow and rework our hay fields since
1998 - haven't been able do to drought
what is a normal year
would want some one to go through this with me yearling operation

## Question 22, Variable 640: Other strategies used for each drought year (2000-2004).

alternative on-farm enterprise
author and publisher of book and other materials
bought less
bought less heifers
cattle moved around pasture (pasture rotation)
commercial weed spraying
continue to lower cattle numbers and praise god. He got you out of debt before it hit and continued to get worse each year corn silage made the difference in getting cows bred up couldn't purchase needed heifers/no grass custom machinery repair work
did not hay as much because they did have the moisture that would make it flourish
don't keep any replacement heifers
don't believe in government welfare
drought did not affect my herd size
everything is affected
fed calves did not bring back to grass. Moved cows off
premire to additional feed source
fed hay all summer 2 yrs ago to all but 80 head trucked out to pasture
found more pasture land and reduced herd size
go in debt further
going to have to have trees logged because of beetles in trees.
The beetles are swarming because of drought and no heavy snow and cold weather to kill off larvae
grazed CRP
Grazed more of the hay fields and bought hay to replace the loss of production.
ground ahs been leased and is owned by 5 in a partnership no livestock in produced
had 530 head cows 2001 - reduced to 180 in 2003 same has today
hauling water
hauling water for cattle - no runoff
Held hay over to cover loss of 2 nd cutting.
herd capacity is down
I am a "illegible" operator. With water in short supply, my
(\#'s and risk) are kept down. We do lambs also. I'd raise more cows if enough water to grow enough pasture
I cut the grass and alfalfa along the highway in a 10 mile radius of our place put it up as loose hay for the winter. I grow my own feed, so I'll feed them
I had sold my cattle prior to the drought. The land has easily supported the few horses I own
I have received all my allocated water for my hay operation.

I have substantial savings and retirement benefits since 1999 install water lines, tanks, crossfence, haul water
installed center pivot irrigation
Jack Daniels
just because
just spread them out, less cattle in each pasture
leasing pasture preachers mule
limit the number of cattle we take each summer
liquidated sheep enterprise
methane gas development
moved cows onto meadow early and fed longer
moved to Iowa
no operational changes
only graze yearlings 6-7 months
pasture rotation
pastured CRP put up CRP hay
purchased irrigated hay land
put cows out on share
put in irrigation system - little water
put on share
reduce purchase of number of heifers
reduce steers by $15 \%$
reduced \# of yearlings custom pastured
reduced leasing out pastures
reduced number of mouthe I took in "illegible"
reduced number of pasture cattle we take in.
reduced numbers grazing grass
reduced the number of cattle taken in for others
reduced the number of pasture cattle reduced the amount of hay sold
reduced the number of steers bought
reduced total number of A.U.M.s we took in for grazing June

- October
rented summer range and bought hay
retained land in old hay fields that might get 1 or 2 irrigations
rather than cropping to maintain feed supply
rodeo co. makes saddles
sell less hay
sent pasture cattle home early
served on a board $\$ 4-6000$ per year
sold cows bought horses
sold larger ranch and bought a smaller one
sold less hay, used one hay meadow for summer pasture
sold off cattle 2001 (estimated year)
sold older cows
sold timber
stop leasing
summer feed (hay and corn)
switched to selling at weaning - no backgrounding
trapping on our own ranch in 03 and 04
traveled 60 miles away - leased land because none in near area to lease
water projects
we didn't have much change
we have always grazed below capacity. $100 \%$ irrigation reduction - late water rights - none available, reservoir empty except for spring runoff
we custom swath, rake and bale hay telone to work all winter hauling beets or loading beets to sugar factory


## Question 27, Variable 686: Other methods used to control sagebrush.

| "illegible" (2) | equipment and heavy short term <br> 2-4-D with diesel <br> air way |
| :--- | :--- |
| grazing impact |  |
| antelope | farming up |
| backhoe | feed hay in sage |
| beating (2) | feed on it |
| blade | feed on it in winter |
| bladeing, mowing | feeding on it |
| brush aerator | feeding on sage area |
| brush beater (5) | flood irrigate |
| brush beating and aerator | forage interseed grass and kochia |
| brush hog (16) | goats |
| brush hog, rotary mower | grade off in winter |
| brush mower | grassing rotation |
| cattle | graze cattle |
| chipping | grazing |
| chop, brush hog | grazing and feeding |
| chopping (4) | grazing goats |
| chops | grazing management |
| clearing with tractor | grubbing |
| continuous spring cropping (oats) 3 | hand chopping |
| years | I don't |
| control in fields only | I have a lot of sage chickens |
| controlled grazing | I have no sagebrush |
| cutting | impact |
| deer herd | irrigation (4) |
| dig up and reseed | leave in natural state |
| drag harrow | let it "illegible" |
| dragging | mechanical |
| dragline | mechanical |
| dug up | mow (8) |
|  | mow, reseed with grass |

mowing
my mountain burned up, 2002
NA
nature
no control (30)
our sage brush is vital to calving
over grazing
past years of sheep
planned grazing
plowing (3)
removal
rotate grazing
rotary mowed
scraped with blade and piled to burn
later
sheep and goats
sheep grazing
shovel
some tillage
spike (3)
spray
tillage
tilled
tilled up
watering kills the sage brush
we like to have some
winter feeding
winter graze
winter feeding on sage brush

Appendix E: Survey Instrument

## Wyoming Beef Cattle Producers Survey

We would prefer the primary ranch operator complete this survey. Your voluntary and confidential participation in this survey is much appreciated. Please answer the following questions to the best of your ability. If you do not feel comfortable answering a question, please omit it and continue with the rest of the survey. No individual information will be released. Thank you.

Part A. General Ranch Description - The first part of this survey asks questions about your operation. Each farm/ranch has its own unique characteristics and production practices. We would like to know the characteristics and production practices used on your operation.

1. How much land in your ranch fits into the following categories, and is the land owned or leased?

| Types of Land | Acres |
| :--- | ---: |
| Pastureland, Rangeland | ${ }^{110}$ |
| Harvested Cropland for Grain | ${ }^{113}$ |
| Harvested Cropland for Silage | ${ }^{116}$ |
| Irrigated \& Sub Irrigated Hay | ${ }^{119}$ |
| Dry Land Hay | ${ }^{122}$ |
| Other (specify) |  |
| Forest Service |  |
| BLM |  |
| State Lands | ${ }^{126}$ |



Percent Leased

2. What percentage of your annual gross sales comes from each type of ranch enterprise/practice?
(Percentages must total to 100\%).

| Percent |  | Percent |  | Percent |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cow/Calf |  | Backgrounding |  | Feedlot |  | Sheep |  |
| CowYearling | 144 | Club-calves | ${ }^{145}$ | Replacement Heifers | ${ }^{146}$ | Horses | ${ }^{147}$ |
| Buffalo | ${ }^{48}$ | Goats | 149 | Dairy Cattle | ${ }^{150}$ | Hogs | ${ }^{151}$ |
| Other (specify) |  |  |  |  |  |  | 153 |

3. What percentage of calving occurs during each month? (Percentages must total 100\%)

| Percent |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| Jan. | ${ }^{160}$ |
| Feb. | ${ }^{164}$ |
| March | ${ }^{168}$ |


| Percent |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| April | ${ }^{161}$ |
| May | 165 |
| June | 169 |


| Percent |  |
| :---: | ---: |
| July | ${ }^{162}$ |
| Aug. | ${ }^{166}$ |
| Sept. | ${ }^{170}$ |

Percent

| Oct. | ${ }^{163}$ |
| :---: | ---: |
| Nov. | ${ }^{167}$ |
| Dec. | ${ }^{171}$ |

4. What percentage of weaning occurs during each month? (Percentages must total 100\%)

| Percent |  | Percent |  | Percent |  | Percent |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Jan. | ${ }^{172}$ | April |  | July |  | Oct. |  |
| Feb. | ${ }^{76}$ | May | 177 | Aug. | ${ }^{178}$ | Nov. | 179 |
| March | 180 | June | 181 | Sept. | 182 | Dec. | 183 |

5. Which herd management techniques do you practice for most of the herd each year (Please check all that apply)?

Practice

| Vaccinate | ${ }^{190}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Deworm | ${ }^{192}$ |
| Insect Control | ${ }^{194}$ |
| Implant | ${ }^{196}$ |
| Dehorn | ${ }^{198}$ |
| Castrate | ${ }^{200}$ |
| Other (specify) | ${ }^{203}$ |
|  |  |

Practice

| Animal ID System | ${ }^{191}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| Body Condition Scoring | ${ }^{193}$ |
| Pregnancy Check | ${ }^{195}$ |
| Breeding Soundness Exam | ${ }^{197}$ |
| Artificial Insemination | ${ }^{199}$ |
| Veterinarian Consultation |  |

6. In a typical year what percentage of the total ranch expenses are due to the following?
(Percentages must total 100\%)

| Expenses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Purchased Livestock | ${ }^{210}$ |
| Alfalfa Hay | ${ }^{212}$ |
| Grain (corn, barley, oats) | ${ }^{214}$ |
| Feed Concentrates | ${ }^{216}$ |
| Salt \& Mineral | ${ }^{218}$ |
| Fertilizer, Chemicals, Seeds | ${ }^{220}$ |
| Other (specify) | ${ }^{223}$ |


| Expenses | Percent |
| :--- | ---: |
| Veterinarian/Health Supplies | ${ }^{211}$ |
| Labor-hired/Contract labor | ${ }^{213}$ |
| Diesel, Gasoline, Natural Gas Fuels | ${ }^{215}$ |
| Interest Expense | ${ }^{217}$ |
| Professional Services |  |
| Machinery Repair Services | ${ }^{211}$ |

7. In a typical year how many of the following family and non-family workers does this operation employ and in which months? (Please include both paid and non-paid employees)

## Number

Family Employed

| Year - round |  | Jan. | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Feb. } \\ \\ \hline 232 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mar. | Apr. | May | $\begin{array}{\|r\|} \hline \text { Jun. } \\ 236 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Jul. | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. $240$ | Nov. | Dec. | $\begin{gathered} \text { All } \\ \underline{243} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Part-Time or seasonal |  | Jan. <br> 245 | Feb. | Mar. | Apr. | $\begin{array}{r} \text { May } \\ 249 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Jun. | Jul. | $\begin{array}{\|r\|r} \text { Aug. } \\ \hline 252 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Sept. | Oct. 254 | Nov. | Dec. <br> 256 | All |


| Non-Family | Number <br> Employed | Circle the Months the Part-time/Seasonal Labor are Employed |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year - round |  | Jan. | Feb. <br> 262 | Mar. | Apr. | $\sqrt{\text { May }}$ | Jun. | Jul. | $\overline{\text { Aug. }}$ | Sept. | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \hline \text { Oct. } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Nov. | Dec. <br> 272 | All |
| Part-Time or seasonal |  | Jan. | Feb. 276 | Mar. | Apr. | May <br> 279 | Jun. | Jul. | Aug. | Sept. | Oct. <br> 284 | Nov. | Dec. | ${ }_{\text {All }}$ |

8. How much of the following feed sources come from on-farm, how much from off-farm, and how long do you feed them in a typical year? (Please include the month you start and finish feeding)

| Feed Sources | On-Farm Sources | Off-Farm Sources | Month Usually Start Feeding (1-12) | Month Usually Finish Feeding (1-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grass Hay, Other Hay (tons) |  |  | ${ }^{292}$ | ${ }^{293}$ |
| Alfalfa (tons) | ${ }^{294}$ | 295 | ${ }^{296}$ | ${ }^{297}$ |
| Protein Supplement (tons) | ${ }^{298}$ | 29 | 300 | 301 |
| Concentrates (tons) | 302 | ${ }^{303}$ | ${ }^{304}$ | ${ }^{305}$ |
| Corn Stalks/Stubble (acres) | 306 | ${ }^{307}$ | 308 | 309 |
| Other Crop Aftermath (acres) | ${ }^{310}$ | ${ }^{311}$ | 312 | ${ }^{313}$ |
| All Grain (bushels) | ${ }^{314}$ | ${ }^{315}$ | 316 | ${ }^{317}$ |
| Other (specify) ${ }^{318}$ | 319 | 32 | 321 | ${ }^{32}$ |

9. Please indicate the peak number of livestock typically owned, and the months they are fed on raised or purchased feed other than pasture grass during a typical year.
(If owned all year, please indicate with a 12)

| Classes | \# Owned |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bred Cows | ${ }^{330}$ |
| Steer Calves | ${ }^{333}$ |
| Heifer Calves | ${ }^{336}$ |
| Replacement Heifers | ${ }^{342}$ |
| Retained Yearlings | ${ }^{345}$ |
| Fattened Cows | ${ }^{348}$ |
| Herd Bulls | ${ }^{351}$ |
| Horses |  |
| Other (specify) |  |
|  |  |



10. What percentage of your calves are sold using the following methods?
(Percentages must total 100\%)

| Methods | Percent | Methods | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Barn |  | Retained slaughter steers/heifers - Sold as live animals |  |
| Video Auction | 362 | Retained slaughter steers/heifers - Sold on grid/in the meat | ${ }^{63}$ |
| Private Sale/Treaty to Buyer | 364 | Retained steers/heifers - Sold directly to consumers as grass fed beef or natural beef | 365 |
| Forward Cash Contracts | ${ }^{366}$ | Retained steers/heifers - Sold directly to consumers as certified organic beef | ${ }^{367}$ |
| Futures \& Options |  | Other (specify) |  |
| Website listing |  |  |  |

11. What are the typical sale weights/maintenance weights of each class of cattle on your operation, and in what month(s) are they most typically sold? (Please indicate the weight and month)

| Classes | Weights (lbs) | Month Typically Sold (1-12) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weaned Steer Calves | ${ }^{380}$ |  |
| Weaned Heifer Calves | ${ }^{362}$ |  |
| Backgrounded Calves | ${ }^{384}$ |  |
| Long Yearlings | ${ }^{386}$ | ${ }^{387}$ |
| Finished Cattle | 388 | 389 |
| Replacement Heifers |  |  |
| Bred Cows | 392 | ${ }^{393}$ |
| Fattened Cows | 394 | 395 |
| Herd Bulls | 396 | ${ }^{397}$ |
| Other (specify) ${ }^{398}$ | 399 | 400 |

12. What percentage of purchased cattle are acquired using the following methods?
(Percentages must total 100\%)

| Methods | Percent | Methods |  | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sale Barn | 410 | Website listing |  | 411 |
| Video Auction | 412 | Other (Specify) | 413 | 414 |
| Private Sale/Treaty | 415 |  |  |  |
| Forward Cash Contracts | 16 |  |  |  |

## Part B. Other Production and Marketing Practices

The following questions are designed to understand your use of and opinions about a number of different practices. We would like to know if you have considered the following:
13. Do you currently retain ownership of your calves into an off-farm feedlot?
(Check appropriate response)
$\square$ Yes $\quad \square \quad{ }^{422}$ No (Skip to 15.)
14. If you checked "yes" to question 13 , where are the retained calves fed, and how far away from home are they? (Check appropriate response and fill in number of miles)

15. If you checked "no" to question 13, would you consider retaining calves into an off-farm feedlot? (Check appropriate response)

16. If you checked "yes" to question 15 , where would you prefer to feed these calves, and how far away from home would you prefer they be? (Check appropriate response and fill in number of miles)

$\square$ Out of State
17. How many head would you direct market to consumers across state lines if a USDA inspected processor was available? (Enter the number of head)

18. Have you considered or are you currently doing any of the following practices?
(Check the most appropriate response for each practice)

| Practice | Currently Doing | Have Considered | Have Not Considered | Will <br> Not Do |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Organic Beef (USDA Certified) | 460 | 461 | 462 | 463 |
| Grass Fed/Natural Beef | 464 | 465 | 466 | 67 |
| Direct Customer Marketing | 468 | 469 | 470 | 471 |
| Joining a Beef Cooperative/Alliance | 472 | 473 | 474 | 475 |
| National Individual Animal Identification System | 476 | 477 | 478 | 479 |
| Changing to Different Calving Season | 480 | ${ }^{481}$ | 482 | 483 |
| Starting an Additional Enterprise | 484 | 485 | 486 | 87 |
| Selling Recreation (fishing, hunting, camping, etc.) | 488 | 489 | 490 | 491 |
| Working off-farm/ranch | 492 | 493 | 494 | 495 |
| Sagebrush cover reduces forage yields on the privately owned lands that you ranch. | 496 | 497 | 498 | 499 |
| Sagebrush cover reduces forage yields on the public lands that you ranch. | 500 | 501 | 502 | 503 |
| Endangered wildlife and possible habitat destruction are a concern for you in controlling sagebrush on your ranch. | 504 | 505 | 506 | 507 |
| The presence of cheat grass affects your sagebrush management decisions. | 508 | 509 | 510 | 511 |
| Other (describe) 512 | $2{ }^{513}$ | 514 | 515 | 516 |

19. Please circle the answer that best indicates your level of agreement/disagreement with the following statements about future changes that may occur in the beef industry or your operation.

|  | Strongly Disgree (1) |  |  | Strongly Agree (5) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A mandated cattle identification system is needed. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Government restrictions on the use of antibiotics, growth implants, and vaccinations are necessary. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Beef consumers are willing to pay a price premium for organic, grass fed, and origin identified beef. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| A drought contingency plan is important for beef producers in Wyoming. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| BSE (mad cow disease) will have a big impact on the beef industry in the future. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Brucellosis will have a big impact on the beef industry in the future. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I need to consider alternative enterprises to stay in business. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I need to learn more about marketing alternatives to stay in business. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| I need assistance in approaching/assessing alternative markets for my cattle. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |


| I need to learn more about retained ownership, alliances, and forward pricing to become more competitive. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I need to learn more about alternative production practices and alternative risk management strategies for my current enterprises to stay in business. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Government subsidies to ranchers/farmers will be reduced or eliminated in the future. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | ${ }_{5}^{528}$ |
| Livestock grazing on federal land will be reduced or eliminated in the future. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

## Part C. Drought and Sagebrush Management

20. How many consecutive years was/has your operation been negatively impacted by the most recent drought? $\square$
21. Please indicate changes experienced each year as a result of the most recent drought compared to normal year. (Please indicate percent impact for the appropriate year for each area affected in your operation; indicate no impact by entering 0 )

YEAR

| Changes Experienced | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grazing capacity \% reduction compared to a normal year. |  |  |  |  |  |
| Irrigation water supplies \% reduction compared to a normal year. | 545 | 546 | 547 | 548 | 54 |
| Winter feed production \% reduction compared to a normal year. | 550 | 551 | 52 | 553 | 54 |
| Average sale weights \% reduction compared to a normal year. | ${ }^{55}$ | 556 | 557 | ${ }^{588}$ | 59 |
| Percent weaned \% reduction compared to a normal year. | 0 |  | ${ }^{62}$ | ${ }^{563}$ |  |
| Owner equity \% reduction in compared to a normal year. |  |  | 567 | ${ }^{68}$ |  |
| Other (specify and list by year) 570 | ${ }^{71}$ | 52 | 573 | 574 | 575 |

22. What strategies did you use to deal with drought during each year it affected your operation (Check all that apply in every year)?

YEAR

| Strategy | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Partial herd liquidation | 580 | 581 | 582 |  |  |
| Total herd liquidation | ${ }^{585}$ | ${ }^{566}$ | ${ }^{587}$ | ${ }^{588}$ |  |
| Selling retained yearlings | 590 | 591 | 592 | 593 | [94 |
| Lease/purchase additional grazing | 595 | 596 | 597 | 598 | 599 |
| Purchase additional winter feed | ${ }^{600}$ | ${ }^{601}$ | ${ }^{602}$ | ${ }^{603}$ |  |
| Early weaning of calves to reduce feed needs | 605 | 606 | 607 | 608 | 609 |
| Participated in government feed assistance program | 610 | 611 | 612 | 613 | ${ }^{614}$ |
| Participated in government income assistance program | 615 | 616 | 617 | 618 | 619 |
| Earn off-farm income | 620 | 621 | 622 | ${ }^{623}$ | ${ }^{624}$ |
| Added alternative livestock enterprise | 625 | ${ }^{626}$ | ${ }^{627}$ | ${ }^{628}$ | ${ }^{629}$ |
| Added alternative crop enterprise | ${ }^{630}$ | ${ }^{631}$ | ${ }^{632}$ | ${ }^{633}$ | ${ }^{634}$ |
| Other | ${ }^{635}$ | ${ }^{636}$ | ${ }^{637}$ | ${ }^{638}$ | ${ }^{639}$ |
| If you checked other, please describe: |  |  |  |  | ${ }^{640}$ |

23. If you liquidated part, or all, of your herd did you use income averaging to reduce income tax liability associated with increased income from liquidation sale with the hope of replacements being purchased within 24 months? (Check appropriate response)

24. If you liquidated part, or all of your herd, have you replaced them with purchased breeding livestock to pre-drought levels? (Check appropriate response)

25. If you answered yes to question 24, what was the breakdown of the type of breeding livestock purchased? (Percentages must total 100\%)

Type of Breeding Livestock Percent of Total Purchased

| Heifers |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Bred Heifers | ${ }^{661}$ |
| Mature Cows | ${ }^{662}$ |
| Bred Cows | ${ }^{663}$ |

26. Please indicate the total number of acres on your ranch that have the following abundance of sagebrush. (Please write number of acres within each box)

|  | None | Light | Moderate | Abundant | Heavy |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Privately owned lands | 670 | ${ }^{671}$ | ${ }^{672}$ | ${ }^{673}$ | ${ }^{674}$ |
| Public lands | 675 | 676 | 677 | ${ }^{678}$ | 679 |

27. Please check which methods you use to control sagebrush on your ranch.

Burning $\quad \square \quad$| ${ }^{680}$ | Other (please indicate method) | ${ }^{668}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

28. If you control sagebrush using burning, please answer the following.

Private Lands
Public Lands
How long after burning do you wait to put cattle back on the burned area? (years)
What is the typical size of your burn? (acres)


## Part D. Demographic Information

For the final part of the survey we would like to ask some questions about you. These questions help to ensure that our sample survey is representative of the population. All the information you provide is completely confidential.
29. What is your mailing zip code?

30. At what elevation is your ranch located (approximately)? (Feet above sea level)
31. Please indicate the gender of the primary operator. (Check the most appropriate response)


Female

32. Please indicate primary operator's current age (Check appropriate category):

| 25-34 |  | 45-49 | 11 | 55-59 | ग12 | 65-69 | 913 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 35-44 | 914 | 50-54 | 915 | 60-64 | ${ }_{916}$ | 70 or older | 917 |

33. Please indicate primary operator's highest level of education (Check most appropriate category):

| High School |  | ${ }^{920}$ |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Some College |  |  |  |

34. How many years of experience do you have raising beef cattle?
(Please indicate \# of years)
35. Are you or your spouse employed off farm?
(Check if employed off farm, even if only part time)

36. What percentage of your household income comes from ranching or farming? $\square$
37. Please indicate last year's annual gross ranch sales. (Please check most appropriate category)

| Less than \$1,000 |  | \$1,000-4,999 |  | \$5,000-9,999 | 942 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$10,000-24,999 | 943 | \$25,000-49,999 | 944 | \$50,000-99,999 |  |
| \$100,000-249,999 | 946 | \$250,000-500,000 | 94 | over \$500,000 | ${ }^{948}$ |

38. Producer input is crucial to interpreting the data from this survey.

Would you be willing to be contacted by the University of Wyoming Department of Agriculture and Applied Economics to verify the findings of the survey?

If yes, please sign and date below. Thank you.
Signature $\qquad$ Date $\qquad$
39. Are there any other comments or suggestions you would like to share with us for this survey?
(Please write your comments below)


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting valid responses for percentage of acres owned verses leased to answers summing to $100 \%$ resulted in lower response rates as indicated.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean acres and mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{4}$ e.g. $\mathrm{X} \% / \mathrm{Y} \%$ with $\mathrm{X}=$ percent owned and $\mathrm{Y}=$ percent leased.

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting valid responses to answers summing to $100 \%$ dropped 11 respondents ( 7 from small and 3 medium operation size).
    ${ }^{2}$ Frequency count of percent gross annual sales coded as a binary variable.

[^2]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting valid responses to answers summing to 100 percent dropped 29 respondents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^3]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Limiting dataset to answers summing to $100 \%$ dropped 141 respondents ( 102 from small, 37 from medium, and 2 from large operations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents for all of question 8 who did not enter any amount for on- or off-farm feed sources dropped 81 respondents. Excluding respondents for months fed who did not enter a valid number (1-12) dropped respondents as indicated.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean amounts (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number). For months fed, calculated from variable coded 1-12.

[^5]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not enter any amount for on- or off-farm feed sources dropped 81 respondents for this question. Of these none were for large operations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean amounts (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^6]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean number (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^7]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. ${ }^{2}$ Mean number (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^8]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Forcing some positive answer to either weight or month sold for any cattle class dropped 59 respondents. Minimum weight was set at 100 lbs for all calves and yearlings and 500 lbs for all adult cattle classes. Answers were eliminated for months sold that were not 1-12. This further reduced $n$ for individual cattle classes as indicated in Table.
    ${ }^{2}$ Means (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation (rounded to the nearest whole number). Standard deviation for months was calculated from variable for months coded from $1-12$ (e.g., a standard deviation of 3 indicates a variance of 3 months).

[^9]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Calculated from 70 respondents who answered "yes" to question 13-Do you currently retain ownership?
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean (of binary variable reported as a percentage) of respondents reporting "yes" (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.
    ${ }^{4}$ Mean distance (rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating those who did not respond to any part of question 18 or gave incomplete or nonsensical answers dropped 112 respondents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^11]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating those who did not respond to any part of question 18 or gave incomplete or nonsensical answers dropped 112 respondents ( 88 of these were from small operations).
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^12]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating those who did not respond to any part of question 18 or gave incomplete or nonsensical answers dropped 112 respondents ( 21 of these were from medium operations).
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^13]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Eliminating those who did not respond to any part of question 18 or gave incomplete or nonsensical answers dropped 112 respondents ( 3 of these were from large operations).
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percentile).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^14]:    ${ }^{1}$ Mean (rounded to the nearest tenth) along with its standard deviation in parentheses.
    ${ }^{2}$ Median.
    ${ }^{3}$ Mode or modes. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Multiple modes-lowest is reported.
    ${ }^{4}$ Sample size. Responses of " 0 " were recoded as missing.

[^15]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents, 13 from medium operations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean percentages (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^16]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered "0" to question 20 dropped 55 respondents.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of a binary variable is reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^17]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who answered " 0 " to question 20 dropped 55 respondents. 41 of these were from small operations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of a binary variable is reported as a percentage (rounded to the nearest whole percent).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

[^18]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size. Excluding respondents who did not answer any part of questions 26-28 dropped 117 respondents, 87 of these were from small and 27 from medium operations.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean acres (rounded to the nearest whole number).
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation(rounded to the nearest whole number).

[^19]:    ${ }^{1}$ Sample size.
    ${ }^{2}$ Mean of binary variable reported as a percentage.
    ${ }^{3}$ Standard deviation.

