

Wyoming Mountain Valley Cattle Ranching in 1973 and 1974 -An Economic Analysis



Agricultural Experiment Station University of Wyoming Laramie 82071

N. W. Hilston, Director Agricultural Experiment Station University of Wyoming, Laramie 82071 10-75--3M-70

Wyoming Mountain Valley Cattle Ranching In 1973 and 1974

An Economic Analysis

Delwin M. Stevens Division of Agricultural Economics



Contents

Summary and Conclusions	
Introduction	
Objectives	
Description of Area Studied	
Location of Sample Ranches	
Method of Analysis	
Source of Data	
Evaluation of Assets	
Size Classification of Ranches	
Analysis of Data	
Organizational Characteristics of the Ranches	
Kind of Land Resources	
Livestock Inventory Numbers and Value	
Components of Capital Investments	
Labor Requirements for Cattle Ranches	
Earnings and Production Costs-A Comparison by Size Groups	
Factors Influencing Earnings on Mountain Valley Cattle Ranches-1973	
Percentage Return to Total Capital	21
Size of Ranch Business	
Pounds of Beef per Cattle Unit	24
Production Cost per Cwt. Beef Produced	25
Price Received per Cwt. of Beef Sold	
Percentage of Sales from Calves	
Measurements Describing Mountain Valley Cattle Ranching by Areas	
Case Study Analysis of Four Cattle Ranches	
Economic Analysis of Two Large Ranches	
Economic Analysis of Two Small Ranches	
Livestock Management Practices	
Cow Herd Management	
Management of Replacement Heifers	38
Calf Care and Management	
Range and Meadow Improvement	40
Mountain Valley Cattle Ranch Earnings-Comparing 1973 with 1974	

Tables

Table 1.	Components of cash receipts from Wyoming agriculture.
Table 2.	Land resources for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 3.	Components of livestock investment for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 4.	Components of capital investment for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 5.	Labor distribution by jobs for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 6.	Labor requirements by months for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 7.	Operating expensse per ranch for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 8.	Average income per ranch from livestock sales and inventory change. (Small, Medium and Large M.V. Ranches, 1973)
Table 9.	Income and earnings per ranch for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 10.	Income and earnings per cattle unit for small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 11.	Cost of producing beef cattle on small, medium and large ranches. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 12.	Income, costs and earnings—based on percent return to capital. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 13.	Statistical measurements—based on percent return to capital. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 14.	Income, costs and earnings— based on size of ranch business. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 15.	Statistical measurements—based on size of ranch business. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 16.	Income, costs and earnings—based on pounds of beef per cattle unit. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 17.	Statistical Measurements—based on pounds of beef per cattle unit. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 18.	Income, costs and earnings—based on production cost per cwt. beef. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
Table 19.	Statistical Measurements—based on production cost per cwt. of beef. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

- Table 20. Income, costs and earnings—based on price received per cwt. beef sold. (60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 21.Statistical measurements—based on price receivde per cwt. beef sold.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 22.Income, costs and earnings—based on percent of sales from calves.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 23.Statistical measurements—based on percent of sales from calves.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 24.Statistical measurements for different areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 25.Components of capital investment for different ranching areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 26. Average income per ranch for five mountain valley ranch areas.
- Table 27.Income, cost of production and earnings per ranch for different areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 28.Income, costs and earnings per cattle unit for different areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)
- Table 29. Components of ranch receipts-two large ranches.
- Table 30.Operating expenses per ranch and per cattle unit for two large ranches.
(One successful financially—the other less successful)
- Table 31.Earnings per ranch and per cattle unit for two large ranches.
(One successful financially—the other less successful)
- Table 32. Components of ranch receipts-two small ranches.
- Table 33.Operating expenses per ranch and per cattle unit for two small ranches.
(One successful financially, the other less successful)
- Table 34.Earnings per ranch and per cattle unit for two small ranches.
(One successful financially, the other less successful)
- Table 35.Components of livestock inventory and investment.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1974)
- Table 36.Components of capital investment per ranch and per cattle unit.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1974)
- Table 37.Estimated annual operating expenses per ranch.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1974)
- Table 38.Estimated income per ranch from livestock sales and inventory change.
(Small, Medium and Large M.V. Ranches, 1974)

—iv—

Table 39.Estimated expenses, income and earnings per ranch.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1974)

Summary and Conclusions

This economic investigation of cattle ranching in Wyoming is designed to supply information to help ranchers with decision making. It brings out the influence of size of business, rate of production, efficiency of resource use, prices received and managerial practices on the earnings of mountain valley cattle ranches. Investment requirements, components of costs, returns and earnings are determined and reasons for their variation are examined. Also, the organizational methods and management practices of two successful ranches are analyzed and compared with the management practices followed by two less successful ranches.

The 60 schedules representing three ranch sizes were drawn from five different areas located throughout the mountain valley areas of the state. This study covered the year 1973, a peak year in cattle prices in the United States and Wyoming. The ranches studied were considerably larger and somewhat better managed than typical Wyoming ranches. They were strictly cattle outfits with no income from other sources. This greatly simplified the accounting procedure, as it was not necessary to allocate production costs between cattle and sheep. The 20 large ranches ranged in size from 1,100 to 3,788 cattle units (cu) with an average of 1,719; the 20 medium ranches ranged from 500 to 1,099 cu and averaged 725; and the 20 small ranches ranged in size from 202 to 499 cu with an average of 382.

Two definitions will help the reader understand the findings of this research: ranch operating costs include a depreciation allowance or charge for capital maintenanc eand all cash costs of running the business except interest paid. Cost of production (sometimes referred to herein as carrying cost) includes ranch operating costs, plus an imputed labor wage of \$7,200 for the operator, plus a management fee of 5% of the gross income, plus imputed interest at 6% on total ranch capital. Thus, in determining cost of production, or carrying costs per cu, all cash and noncash items are included—all costs both direct and indirect.

The tabulation on the following page shows costs, returns and earnings for three size groups as well as statistical measurements in terms of business size, rate of production, efficiency of production, prices received and production cost calculations. These costs and returns are discussed in detail throughout the body of the report. Each ranch size made a similar rate of return on total capital after allowing the operator a reasonable wage for the labor and management. For example, the percent return to capital was 4.48%for the small ranches, 4.03% for the medium and 4.37% for the large ranches.



Developing stock water reservoirs and spraying for sagebrush control on foothill grazing lands are two range improvement practices which usually pay good dividends.

	Avera			
Per ranch data	20 Small ranches	20 medium ranches	20 large ranches	60 ranches
Business size				
Total cu	382	725	1,719	942
Total capital	\$455,700	\$970,900	\$1,965,400	\$1,130,700
Total receipts	\$63,610	\$111,090	\$264,300	\$146,340
Acres of deeded land	2,232	6,165	11,820	6,743
Man equivalent	1.8	2.6	4.6	3.0
Rate of production				
Percent calf crop at market time	89	85	83	86
Efficiency of production				
Percent death loss-cattle	4.4	4.8	4.2	4.5
Percent death loss-calves	1.7	1.7	1.7	1.7
Hours per ton of hay	3.2	2.8	2.1	2.8
Cu per man equivalent	214	293	387	298
Prices received (per cwt.)				
All livestock (av.)	\$50.91	\$47.26	\$47.33	\$49.20
Percent of sales from calves	44.5	29.2	20.5	31.4
Production cost calculations				
Total cost (cash and non cash)	\$32,790	\$59,250	\$158.020	\$83,350
Imputed operator's wage	10,380	12,760	20,370	14,500
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	27,240	58,320	117,920	67,842
Total production cost	\$70,410	\$130,330	\$296,310	\$165,692
Net beef produced (lb.)	126,859	237,261	560,364	298,000
Production cost per cwt.	\$55.50	\$54.93	\$52.87	\$55.60
Per cu data				
Total ranch investment	\$1,193	\$1,339	\$1,143	\$1,200
Pounds of beef produced	333	324	329	329
Total hours of labor	14.6	10.6	7.7	9.4
Ranch receipts	\$166.52	\$153.22	\$153.75	\$155.35
Operating costs	85.84	81.72	91.92	88.48
Ranch income	80.68	71.50	61.83	66.87
Operator's imputed wage	27.17	17.60	11.85	15.39
Return to capital	\$53.51	53.90	\$49.98	\$51.48
Percent return to capital	4.48	4.03	4.37	4.29

To study the factors which influence profits on cattle ranches, the 60 ranches were arrayed from high to low based on the percent return to capital (see following tabulation). The 12 ranches, or the 20% showing the highest earnings had a return to capital of 6.84% and a ranch income per cu of \$93.28. In comparison, the low return group earned only 1.61% return to capital, with a ranch income per cu of \$34.33.

-2---

	12 ranche	es with the
Coefficient	Highest return	Lowest return
Earnings		
Percent return	6.84	1.61
Ranch income per cu	\$93.28	\$34.33
Ranch business size		
Total cu	765	936
Total capital per ranch	\$838,400	\$1,122,200
Total receipts	\$135,390	\$ 137,680
Rate of production		
Percent calf crop at market	90	84
Efficiency of production		
Hours per ton of hay	3.5	3.1
Investment per cu	\$1,096	\$1,199
Price received per cwt.	\$52.50	\$46.30
Production cost per cwt. beef	\$48.18	\$61.76

_3___

The highest return ranches, when compared with lowest return ranches, had the following characteristics: a higher rate of production, more cu handled per man and a lower investment cost per cu. They received higher prices: \$52.50 per cwt. for all beef sold compared to \$46.30 for the low return group.

The high return group had lower production costs: \$128,314 for the production of 266,300 lb. of beef, or \$48.18 per cwt. In comparison, the other group had a total production cost of \$186,942 for 302,700 lb. of beef or a cost per cwt. of \$61.76.

In obtaining field data for this economic investigation, ranchers gave excellent cooperation. They supplied information on quantities of beef sold by classes, labor inputs by months and jobs, feed requirements, as well as much detail on all monetary costs and income. By using federal cost indices and based on input and output data obtained in 1973, a synthesized budget was prepared for 1974 for each ranch size. The sale prices received for the various classes of livestock in 1974 were applied to the quantities of beef sold in 1973. In this manner, the 1973 study was updated to represent 1974 conditions.

With the 1974 costs increasing by about 17% over 1973 and with price of livestock sold in 1974 less than 50% of the 1973 level, the budgets indicate that the cattle ranchers faced a difficult cost-price squeeze in 1974. The ranch income was \$-5,933 for the small, \$-7,043 for the medium and \$-43,269 for the large size ranch. Essentially, this means that each rancher worked for nothing, receiving no return for his capital and lacked this amount of having enough income to cover expenses. A section in the latter part of this report is devoted to this analysis.

Wyoming Mountain Valley Cattle Ranching In 1973 and 1974

An Economic Analysis

Delwin M. Stevens Division of Agricultural Economics

Introduction

During the past 10 years the number of cattle and calves in Wyoming has increased by onefourth—from 1.3 million to 1.6 million head, and the number of sheep and lambs has decreased nearly 25%—2.2 million to 1.7 million (Table 1). During this 10-year period, the realized gross farm income for Wyoming has increased from about \$183 million to about \$433 million. During this time the percent of gross farm income has increased for cattle from 49% to 63% and for sheep it has decreased from 15% to 8%. This shows the relative importance of cattle and sheep production in Wyoming.

Cattle ranching represents the largest segment of Wyoming's agricultural income. High level management of the cattle ranch is important to security, production efficiency and financial success in the increasingly difficult and highly competitive range livestock business. Though there has been a slow but continuous improvement in beef cattle management over the years, the cost-price squeeze of 1974 as well as science and technology are combining to hasten the trend in recent years. Every segment of the industry feeders, breeders, producers and packers are searching for and are examining different methods for producing and processing more and better beef at less cost.

A large herd of well-bred cattle, adequate deeded grazing and hay land, sufficient grazing rights from federal, state and private sources, and an adequate supply of dependable labor—these excellent resources do not insure profitable ranching operations per se. A cattle rancher must also

Ta	h	le	1.	Components	of	cash	receints	from	Wyoming	agriculture.
	-			Compositoritor		COLL	I CCCIPIO			the incurvator

	or neau	Realized	Percent gi	coss farm	income from
Cattle and calves	Sheep and lambs	gross farm income (in millions)	Cattle and calves	Sheep and wool	Crops, other livestock and government payments
1.3	2.2	\$182.7	49	15	36
1.4	2.0	227.7	55	11	34
1.5	1.9	269.0	59	9	32
1.6	1.7	432.7	63	8	29
	Cattle and calves 1.3 1.4 1.5 1.6	Cattle Sheep and and and calves lambs 1.3 2.2 1.4 2.0 1.5 1.9 1.6 1.7	Cattle Sheep gross and and farm calves lambs income	Cattle Sheep gross Cattle and and farm and calves lambs income calves (in millions)	Cattle Sheep gross Cattle Sheep and and farm and and and calves lambs income calves wool (in millions) 1.3 2.2 \$182.7 49 15 1.4 2.0 227.7 55 11 1.5 1.9 269.0 59 9 1.6 1.7 432.7 63 8

Source: Wyoming Agricultural Statistics, compiled by Wyoming Crop and Livestock Reporting Service, November, 1973.

pay the bills, meet the payroll, borrow money and execute a carefully prepared management plan. To do this successfully, like any other businessman, he must have experience and training; he must be informed on new developments and be alert to what is happening around him. The ranch will not run itself—vast amounts of capital are required, many important decisions must be made and the cost-price battle must be fought. It is hard work and it requires good organization. Careful attention to feeding, breeding, disease control and tight, keen management and planning are required to produce and market beef and to hold together vast ranch resources and make them earn even modest dividends.

Objectives

An important purpose of this economic investigation is to supply information which will help ranchers in decision making. Investment requirements and components of cost, returns and earnings are determined; reasons for their variation are examined. Specific objectives are to investigate the influence of size of business, rate of production, efficiency of resource use, prices received and management practices upon the earnings of mountain valley cattle ranches.

The organizational methods and management practices of successful ranches will be analyzed and compared with the management practices followed by less successful operators. Most ranch managers can benefit from studying and applying management techniques used by successful people. The annual carrying costs and rates of production as determined here are useful as norms or standards of preformance. Each rancher can compare his own operation with individual factors presented and thereby determine the strong and weak points of his own business.



These cross-bred Hereford-Angus calves have hybrid vigor which results in lower death loss at birth and perhaps heavier weights at weaning time with little or no discrimination by feeders who claim fast feedlot gains.

Description of Area Studied

Range cattle production in Wyoming can be divided into two types—mountain valley ranches and prairie ranches. These types differ in geographic location, climate, crops produced, topography and methods of livestock management. The prairie ranches are located in Wyoming's plains counties east and south of the Rocky Mountains where annual rainfall ranges from 12-18 inches, falling mostly in the spring and summer. The winters are open, snowfall is light and winds remove part of the snow permitting much winter grazing. About one-half ton of hay is normally fed per cu, the greater part of which is fed to cows and young stock.

On mountain valley ranches, cattle are run on the high mountain ranges during the summer season and on deeded and public foothill ranges in the fall and spring. During the winter the cattle are fed for several months on hay produced by irrigation. Cattle consume from 1.0 to 2.0 tons of hay per cu each winter. The summer range is cheaper but due to the additionol feed and labor required the wintering costs are higher than on prairie ranches. On mountain valley ranches, the useful life of cows may be one year longer and the percentage of calf crop is normally a little higher than on prairie ranches. When measured in terms of cost per pound of beef production, there is little difference in the two areas.

Cattle ranching utilizes lands that are largely unsuited to the production of cultivated crops. Native and alfalfa hay are produced in the irrigated valleys for winter feeding which enables livestock to make the most efficient use of forage on the rolling foothills and the mountainous grazing lands. The success of the rancher largely depends on the quantity of forage produced on the meadows and range areas. The rancher must plan the operation in a manner that makes the most efficient use of forage. Drought, short feed and erratic prices are difficult problems encount-

-6-

ered by most ranch operators. Mountain valley cattle ranches are subject to precipitation extremes varying from 10 inches on the lower ranges to as much as 40 inches on the high mountain valley ranges. The average precipitation in mountain valley areas is approximately 14 inches, but wide variations from the mean are common. Such wide ranges in moisture means also wide ranges in the available feed for grazing and water for hay production.

Location of the Sample Ranches

This study deals with cattle production in the mountain valley areas of Wyoming for 1973. The 60 ranches representing three ranch sizes were drawn from five separate areas located throughout Wyoming (Figure 1). A sample of four large, four medium and four small ranches was taken from each of the five mountain valley areas. The Platte-Snake River area, located mainly in Carbon County in south-central Wyoming, includes ranches on the Platte and Snake Rivers or on tributaries which flow into these rivers. The Bear River area, mainly in Uinta County in southwestern Wyoming, includes ranches on the Bear River and its tributaries. The Green River area, located mainly in Sublette County, in the west-central part of the state is in the upper reaches of the Green River and its tributaries. This valley is bounded by the Wind River Mountains on the east, the Gros Ventre range on the north, and the Salt River Range on the west. The snowfall in the mountains here is abundant and irrigation water is available for the large and numerous hay meadows.

In the Big Horn Basin area, ranches are located on the periphery of the Basin—in the foothills of the Big Horn Mountains to the east or in the foothills of the Absaroka and Owl Creek Mountains to the west and south. The Sheridan-Buffalo area includes the eastern slopes of the Big Horn Mountains and survey ranches are located in the western part of Sheridan and Johnson counties.



Figure 1. Location of the sample ranches.

Method of Analysis

This section discusses briefly the source of data for this economic study, how the resources were evaluated and how the ranches were classified as to size. Also, it will define several terms used throughout the report and will explain how the results are mainly presented in tabular form.

Source of Data

The basic data for this research were obtained from a sample of 60 ranches located throughout five mountain valley areas of Wyoming. The operators cooperated by requesting their accountants to furnish the field enumerator with financial data. Information on livestock inventory numbers, land values, the extent of other resource holdings and information on management practices was obtained from the operator.

Evaluation of Assets

Land value was based on productivity or carrying capacity and was treated consistently on each ranch and between ranch areas. Grazing land values ranged mostly from \$50 to \$100 per acre. Irrigated meadow land producing one ton of hay per acre was valued at \$250 per acre and land producing 1.5-2 tons per acre was valued at \$350 per acre. Irrigated pasture lands ranged mostly from \$200-\$300 per acre with \$250 being the most common value.

Values were placed on public grazing permits held by the rancher. For example, the value of one animal unit month (AUM) on the National Forests or on BLM land or on state-owned land was placed at \$25.

The value of ranch improvements was generally taken from the rancher's records and his income tax returns. For example, the income tax return shows the new cost of the improvements and machinery, annual depreciation and the depreciation taken to date. From these data, one can determine current inventory values of buildings and improvements and also for power, machinery and equipment. Livestock values per head, constant for both inventories, were uniform for all ranches and were as follows: cows, \$300; two-year-old heifers, \$250; coming yearling heifers, \$200; coming yearling steers, \$225; coming two-year-old steers, \$275. Bulls ranged in value from \$400 to \$600 depending on age, purchase price and the number of serviceable years remaining.

Size Classification of Ranches

The ranches studied ranged in size from 202 to 3.788 cu and were larger and better managed than the typical Wyoming ranch. They were strictly cattle outfits with no income from other sources. This greatly simplified the accounting procedures as it was not necessary to allocate production costs between cattle and sheep. The 60 cattle ranches were divided into three size groups based on the number of cu. The 20 large ranches ranged in size from 1,100 to 3,788 cu with an average of 1,719; the 20 medium ranches ranged from 500 to 1,099 cu and averaged 725; and the 20 small ranches ranged in size from 202 to 499 cu with an average of 382. A cu is the equivalent of one range cow weighing a thousand pounds. The proportion of one cu represented by all the classes of cattle is as follows: two-yearold heifer, 1.0 cu; coming yearlings, heifers and steers, .67; coming two-year-old steers, .85; old bull, 1.40; beef cow with calf by her side, 1.15 cu. For example, 100 cows with their calves would represent 115 cu, 100 two-year-old heifers with calves would represent 100 cu and 100 yearlings would represent 67 cu, and 100 bulls would be 140 cu.

Analysis of Data

-8-

The data are analyzed largely through a series of tabular presentations. Organizational characteristics, size of business, components of investment requirements, management practices and components of production costs and income are presented for the ranches in each of the three size groups. Many of these data are presented on a cu basis obtained by dividing the total figures for the ranch by the number of cu in the average inventory.

To study factors influencing earnings, data are presented for the high and low 20% of the

60 ranches based on several criteria: size of ranch business (number of cu); rate of production (pounds of beef produced per cu); efficiency of production (cost per cwt. of beef produced or carrying cost per cu); and prices received. A case study is presented comparing data for two large ranches—one successful financially and the other less successful. A parallel comparison is made for two small ranches.



Breakfast time on the range. Most of Wyoming's hay is baled which makes it possible to haul to the range to supplement winter grazing.

Organizational Characteristics of the Ranches

The organizational characteristics of small, medium and large ranches will be described in terms of land resources, livestock inventory numbers, components of ranch investment, labor requirements, business size, rate of production and efficiency of production.

Kind of Land Resources

The average small ranch with 2,232 deeded acres included 1,544 acres of dry grazing land, 348 acres of irrigated pasture and 340 acres of irrigated crop land which produced 598 tons of hay (Table 2).

To augment home-grown hay, some additional feed was purchased. The small ranches had an average of 1,281 AUM's of grazing on public land and also leased 58 acres from private sources and/or from the State of Wyoming.

The average medium-size ranch included 6,165 deeded acres, controlled 3,001 animal unit

months of grazing on public land and leased 448 acres for grazing.

The large ranches included an average of 11,820 deeded acres of which 9,670 were dry grazing, 675 irrigated pasture and 1,475 irrigated crop land which produced 2,193 tons of hay.

Livestock Inventory Numbers and Values

The small ranches had an average of 213 cows, 40 two-year-old heifers, 69 replacement yearling heifers, 42 steers, 14 bulls and 6 horses per ranch. In addition, two other beef cows were used for milking purposes (Table 3). Using the values per head shown in this table, the average livestock inventory was \$105,950 for the small ranches, \$202,000 for the medium ranches and \$481,975 for the large ranches. The large ranches were mainly selling yearlings and the smaller outfits were operating mostly on a cow-calf basis.

	Averages for						
Kind of land resource	20 small ranches	20 medium ranches	20 large ranches	60 ranches			
Per ranch							
Deeded land (acres)							
Irrigated crop land	340	603	1,475	807			
Irrigated pasture	348	352	675	458			
Dry grazing	1,544	5,210	9,670	5,478			
Total deeded acres	2,232	6,165	11,820	6,743			
Leased land							
AUM's on public land	1,281	3,001	3,353	2,545			
Acres of leased dry land	58	448	1,046	518			
Per cu							
Deeded irrigated land	1.80	1.32	1.25	1.47			
Deeded dry land grazing	4.04	7.19	5.63	5.76			
Total deeded land (acres)	5.84	8.51	6.88	7.23			
AUM's on public land	3.35	4.14	1.95	3.17			
Acres of leased grazing land	.16	.62	.61	.48			

Table 2. Land resources for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)*

*M.V. is an abbreviation for Mountain Valley.

Class Averages per ranch for:									
of	Inventory	20 sr	n. ranches	20 m	ed. ranches	20 lg	g. ranches	60	ranches
live- stock	value per head	Av. no.	Invest- ment	Av. no.	Invest- ment	Av. no.	Invest- ment	Av. no.	Invest- ment
Cows	\$300	213	\$ 63,900	383	\$114,900	868	\$260,400	488	\$146,534
H.2's	250	40	10,000	83	20,750	187	46,750	104	25,853
H.1's	200	69	13,800	137	27,400	348	69,600	184	36,954
St.1's	225	42	9,450	106	23,900	309	69,525	152	34,274
Bulls	550	14	7,700	25	13,750	61	33,550	35	18,178
Horses	100	6	600	8	800	14	1,400	10	962
Dairy cows	250	2	500	2	500	3	750	2	598
Total	xxx	XXX	\$105,950	XXX	\$202,000	xxx	\$481,975	XXX	\$263,353

Table 3. Components of livestock investment for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

Components of Capital Investments

The average small ranch had a total capital investment of \$455,700 with debts representing \$46,100 or 10.1% (Table 4).

The medium-sized ranches had an average investment of \$970,900–72% of which was in real estate and grazing rights, 21% in livestock, 4% in power and machinery and 3% in feed. The investment per cu was \$1,339 with a debt of \$143

or 10.7% of the total. The owner's equity was \$1,196 per cu.

The average large ranch had a total capital investment of \$1,965,400-70% of which was invested in real estate including deeded land, grazing rights and buildings and improvements. Livestock at 25% was the next largest part of the investment and the remaining 5% was in power, machinery and feeds. This is an average investment per cu of \$1,143 with a debt of 12.4% of the total.

Table 4. Components of capital investment for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per ranch							
		20 small (382 cu)	20) medium (725 cu)	20 (1,) large 719 cu)) ranches (942 cu)
Deeded land	\$	264,764	\$	603,532	\$1,2	247,780	\$	703,681
Grazing rights*		32,036		74,968		83,820		63,608
Buildings and improvements		18,100		26,500		41,600		28,787
Power and machinery		18,650		35,100		49,825		36,247
Livestock		105,950		202,000		481,975		263,353
Feeds		16,200		28,800		60,400		35,142
Total	\$	455,700	\$	970,900	\$1,	965,400	\$1	1,130,818
Total real estate debt		46,100		103,500	2	243,500		131,008
Owner's equity	\$	409,600	\$	867,400	\$1,'	721,900	\$	999,810
Percent of debt		10.1		10.7		12.4		11.6
Average per cu								
Total investment	\$	1,193	\$	1,339	\$	1,143	\$	1,200
Total real estate debts		121		143		142		139
Owner's equity	\$	1,072	\$	1,196	\$	1,001	\$	1,061

*Includes forest permits, BLM rights and state land rights.

Labor Requirements for Cattle Ranches

Work caring for and managing livestock is the largest user of labor on mountain valley ranches and represents about 48% of the total (Table 5). Main jobs associated with cattle were: winter feeding and chores, calving, branding, dehorning, vaccinating, castrating, moving and care of cattle while on summer pasture, roundup, culling and shipping, and general inspection and management. Since each of these ranches had little or no livestock besides cattle, all labor costs must be borne by the cattle.

Crop production requires about 39% of the total and is the second largest labor input. The biggest job is putting up the hay. This means swathing, baling or loose-stacking one crop of native or two cuttings of alfalfa hay. Most of the hay was cut with swathers and baled and stacked in the field. The average yield was about 1.6 tons per acre. Miscellaneous or overhead labor required about 13% of the total.

The small ranches with an average of 1.8 men and 382 cu required 5,563 hours annually. This is about 3,090 hours per man or 14.6 hours per cu. The crop work includes putting up 598 tons of hay from 330 acres of meadow land and producing and harvesting about 10 acres of small grains (Table 5).

The medium cattle ranches with 2.6 men and 725 cu required an average of 7,694 hours. This is 2,959 hours per man or 10.6 hours per cu. About 995 tons of hay were harvested from 583 acres of meadow and about 20 acres of small grains were grown.

The large ranches were more efficient in the use of labor, requiring only about 73% as much per cu as the medium ranches.

The distribution of labor throughout the year on small, medium and large ranches is shown in Table 6. During the period of November, December, January, February and March, about 25% of the total yearly requirement of labor was used. During this period, the main work was feeding and caring for livestock and a little overhead labor on building and fence maintenance and



This inexpensive spraying outfit or dipping vat for the control of lice and grubs should be part of the management program for all cattle ranches.

machinery repair. During April, May and June, 30% of the labor was used. These were the heavy months for spring calving and spring irrigation of hay meadows. About 29% of the work was used during the heavy having months of July and August and during September and October about 16% of the annual labor was used (Figure 2). This work consisted of finish up haying, cattle roundup and shipping. During the winter months, the period of daylight is relatively short --rancher's workday probably was about 6 or 7 hr. During the period of April, May and June, the work sometimes amounted to 12 or 14 hr per day. During the summer when having was the chief labor requirement and when seasonal hired labor was used, the workday returned to about 8 or 10 hr. During the fall about 7 or 8 hr were put in per man per day.

j

	Average hours per ranch					
Ranch job	Small	Medium	Large			
Crop production labor inputs						
Maintain irrigation dams and ditches	184	329	405			
Irrigate meadows and pastures	722	833	1,142			
Fertilize and spray meadows	36	55	129			
Cut, rake, bale and stack hay	797	1,296	2,112			
Labor on small grain production	113	209	708			
Drag meadows and pastures	66	91	142			
Fence haystacks	125	197	744			
Sub total labor on crops	2,043	3,010	5,382			
Livestock labor inputs						
Feeding and chores	792	947	1,732			
Calving labor	338	382	712			
Moving cattle to summer pastures	88	128	189			
Branding, dehorning, etc.	48	98	164			
Care while on summer pasture	202	235	527			
Roundup and return to ranch	86	109	258			
Culling and shipping	. 39	59	142			
Weaning calves	28	43	56			
Veterinary work and spraying	254	304	383			
General management and inspection	775	1,168	1,697			
Other	100	229	427			
Sub total on livestock	2,750	3,702	6,287			
Miscellaneous and overhead						
Repair haying machinery	202	271	421			
Fence building and repairing	432	450	786			
Land and resource development	51	102	156			
Building repair and construction	. 39	115	170			
General miscellaneous	46	44	48			
Sub total miscellaneous	770	982	1,581			
Total labor (crops, livestock, misc.)	5,563	7,694	13,250			
Cu per ranch	382	725	1,719			
Hours per cu	14.6	10.6	7.7			
Months of labor per ranch	22.2	30.8	53.0			
Man equivalent per ranch	1.8	2.6	4.6			
Cu per man equivalent	212	278	374			
Acres of hay per ranch	330	583	1,425			
Tons of hay harrvested per ranch	598	995	2,193			
Hours per ton of hav	3.2	2.8	2.1			

Table 5. Labor distribution by jobs for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Average	number of hours wo	rked on:
Month	Small ranches	Medium ranches	Large ranches
January	202	344	612
February	202	344	612
March	374	479	757
April	488	723	1,182
May	576	783	1,277
June	651	940	1,377
July	792	1,084	1,732
August	900	1,093	1,984
September	491	649	1,469
October	371	477	882
November	254	412	749
December	262	366	617
Total per ranch	5,563	7,694	13,250
Use of labor on:			
Livestock	2,750	3,702	6,287
Crops	2,043	3,010	5,382
Overhead	770	982	1,581
Total per ranch	5,563	7,694	13,250

Table 6. Labor requirements by months for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)



The most common method of haying in Wyoming is to swath the hay and bale it with string or wire ties and pick up the bales with front end loaders or with bale wagons.



Figure 2. Distribution of ranch labor throughout the year 1972.



Most Wyoming hay is harvested with a swather which cuts and windrows. An attached conditioner flattens alfalfa and clover stems which permit faster drying.



This excellent outfit takes most of the labor out of feeding loose hay on a cold winter day.

Earnings and Production Costs -- A Comparison by Size Groups

The preceding section discussed organizational characteristics of small, medium and large ranches in terms of land resources, livestock inventory numbers, components of investment and labor requirements. This section will discuss the components of expenses and income on a per ranch basis and on a per cu basis for small, medium and large ranches.

Cash operating costs consist of such items as hired labor, feed purchased, repairs, fuel, etc. Non-cash costs include an annual charge for depreciation on improvements, machinery and bulls. Cash and non-cash costs together constitute the total operating costs as defined herein. However, a more accurate measurement of total operating costs must include a wage for the operator, interest paid on debt and imputed interest on the owner's equity. The operating expenses of the average small, medium and large ranches are shown in Table 7. The small ranches with an average of 382 cu had a total operating cost of \$32,790 compared to \$59,250 for the medium-size ranches and \$158,020 for the large ranches.

The average income per ranch from sales of livestock and from livestock inventory adjustment is shown in Table 8. The small ranches sold 119,887 lb. of beef at an average price of \$50.91 per cwt. or a total value of \$61,040. The increase in livestock inventory amounting to 6,972 lb. was valued at \$2,570, giving a total livestock sales and inventory increase of \$63,610. For mediumsize ranches the average selling price per cwt. was \$47.26 and the average income from sales and inventory increase was \$111,090. For the average large ranch the income from sales and inventory increase was \$264,300 (Table 8).

	Averages for					
Cost Component	20 small ranches (382 cu)	20 medium ranches (725 cu)	20 large ranches (1,719 cu)			
Cash costs						
Hired labor	\$ 4,859	\$ 9,998	\$ 23,212			
Feed purchased	3,820	5,896	44,303			
Grazing fees	2,487	4,103	10,675			
Repairs and transportation	2,055	3,525	6,962			
Utilities	867	1,762	3,043			
Veterinary service and supplies	1,171	2,784	5,071			
Insurance	798	1,341	2,114			
Taxes	2,594	4,843	10,383			
Crop expense	2,445	3,466	7,701			
Fuel, oil and grease	1,799	3,574	5,690			
Supplies	1,448	2,632	6,498			
Interest at 4% on cash costs	986	1,791	5,105			
All other cash costs	611	1,486	2,939			
Total cash costs	\$ 25,940	\$ 47,201	\$133,696			
Non-cash costs						
Depreciation on improvements	\$ 1,444	\$ 2,378	\$ 3,988			
Depreciation on machinery	3,847	6,735	13,202			
Depreciation on bulls	1,559	2,936	7,134			
Total non-cash costs	\$ 6,850	\$ 12,049	\$ 24,324			
TOTAL OPERATING COSTS*	\$ 32,790	\$ 59,250	\$158,020			

Table 7. Operating expenses per ranch for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

*Except interest paid on debt, wage for operator and imputed interest on owner's capital.

		Live	estock sales	Inventor	y change		
		Weight	Wt. per	Price		av. per	r ranch
	No.	(lb.)	head	per cwt.	Value	Number	Value
20 small ranche	s						
Cows	31 -	31,526	1,017	\$29.96	\$ 9,445	4	\$1,074
H.1's	28 -	18,414	658	52.20	9,613	3	540
H.calves	37 -	14,090	381	62.85	8,855	0	0
St.calves	67 >	27,488	410	66.61	18,310	0	0
St.1's	39 ×	28,369	727	52.23	14,817	4	956
Total	XXX	119,887	XXX	\$50.91	\$ 61,040	xxx	\$2,570
Inventory c	hange	6,972	XXX	XXX	\$ 2,570		
Total	xxx	126,859	XXX	XXX	\$ 63,610		
20 medium-size	ranches				31,000		
Cows	63	63,555	1,009	\$31.87	\$ 20,258	2	\$ 690
H.2's	6	4,837	806	41.08	1,987	9	1,313
H.1's	47	30,117	641	49.69	14,964	6	1,130
H.calves	54	20,981	389	58.77	12,330	0	0
St.calves	72	29,613	411	63.55	18,818	0	0
St.1's	90	64,739	719	50.59	32,750	31	6,621
St.2's	2	1,760	880	45.06	793	-2	-564
Total	xxx	215,602	XXX	\$47.26	\$101,900	XXX	\$9,190
Inventory c	hange	21,659	XXX	XXX	9,190		
Total	XXX	237,261	XXX	XXX	\$111,090		
20 large ranches	S						
Cows	146	148,126	1,015	\$30.79	\$ 45,607	17	\$5,148
H.2's	15	10,791	719	41.98	4,530	-28	-7,025
H.1's	180	114,382	635	49.62	56,752	-17	-3,380
H.calves	80	31,085	389	61.70	19,178	0	0
St.calves	103	43,755	425	66.63	29,152	0	0
St.1's	284	200,074	704	52.10	104,231	45	10,107
Total	XXX	548,213	XXX	\$47.33	\$259,450	XXX	\$4,850
Inventory c	hange	12,151	XXX	XXX	\$ 4,850		
Total	XXX	560,364	XXX	XXX	\$264,300		

Table 8. Average income per ranch from livestock sales and inventory change.(Small, Medium and Large M.V. Ranches, 1973)

The income and earnings per ranch for the three size groups is presented in Table 9. Ranch income is computed by subtracting total operating costs from total income and represents the return for the operator's labor and management and for all ranch capital. For the average small ranch this was \$30,820. Subtracting an arbitrary imputed wage of \$10,380 from ranch income gives \$20,440 as the return to the average ranch capital of \$455,700 or 4.48% (\$20,440/\$455,700=4.48%). The range in earnings for the 20 small ranches was .85% to 8.45%. The medium-size ranches earned 4.03% and the large ranches 4.37%.

The per ranch data from the three preceding tables is next summarized and presented on a cu basis (Table 10). Earnings are influenced by costs as well as income. As computed in Table 10 costs include all expenses the rancher must meet in the production process except interest he has paid on real estate debt, an imputed wage for the operator's labor and management and an imputed interest charge for the ranch capital. For the three size groups and omitting these three items, the cost per cu was \$85.84 for the small ranches, \$81.72 for the medium-size group and \$91.92 for the large ranches.

	Averages for			
	20 small ranches	20 medium ranches	20 large ranches	
Livestock sales	\$ 61,040	\$101,900	\$ 259,450	
Livestock inventory adjustment	2,570	9,190	4,850	
Total income	63,610	111,090	264,300	
Total operating costs*	32,790	59,250	158,020	
Ranch income**	30,820	51,840	106,280	
Imputed operator's wage***	10,380	12,760	20,370	
Return to capital	20,440	39,080	85,910	
Total capital invested	\$455,700	\$970,900	\$1,965,400	
Percent return to capital	4.48	4.03	4.37	
Range in percent return to capital	.85-8.45	.67-7.36	.81-7.88	

Table 9. Income and earnings per ranch for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all rach capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Table 10. Income and earnings per cattle unit for small, medium and large ranches.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages for				
	20 small ranches	20 medium ranches	20 large ranches		
Livestock sales	\$ 159.79	\$ 140.54	\$ 150.93		
Livestock inventory adjustment	6.73	12.68	2.82		
Total income	166.52	\$ 153.22	\$ 153.75		
Total operating costs*	85.84	81.72	91.92		
Ranch income**	80.68	71.50	61.83		
Imputed operator's wage***	27.17	17.60	11.85		
Return to capital	53.51	53.90	49.98		
Total capital invested	\$1,193.00	\$1,339.00	\$1,143.00		
Percent return to capital	4.48	4.03	4.37		
Range in percent return to capital	.85-8.45	.67-7.36	.81-7.88		

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all rach capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

The ranch income per cu was \$80.68 for the small ranches, \$71.50 for the medium-size group and \$61.83 for the large ranches (Table 10).

The cost of producing beef can be computed by adding to the operating costs per ranch the imputed wage for the operator and an imputed interest charge on the ranch capital at the rate of 3 or 6%. Using 6% imputed interest, the total cost of producing beef was \$70,410 for the small; \$130,330 for the medium; and \$296,310 for the large ranch (Table 11). On a cwt. basis, this is \$55.50 for the small, \$54.93 for the medium and \$52.87 for the large ranches. If 3% imputed interest is used instead of 6% on ranch capital, the production cost per cwt. is \$44.79 for the small, \$42.64 for the medium and \$42.36 for the large ranches.

Table 11.	Cost of	prod	ucing	beef	cattle e	on small,	medium	and	large	ranches.
		(60	Wyo.	M.V	. Cattl	e Ranche	es, 1973)			

Kind of production expenses	Small	Medium	Large
Operating cost per ranch	\$ 32,790	\$ 59,250	\$158,020
Wage imputed to operator for labor	50 × 57).		
and management	10,380	12,760	20,370
Interest on total ranch capital @ 6%	27,240	58,320	117,920
Total production cost per ranch	\$ 70,410	\$130,330	\$296,310
Per cwt. of beef	\$ 55.50	\$ 54.93	\$ 52.87
Pounds of beef produced	126,859	237,261	560,364



Where AI programs are in use cattle are frequently branded with water soluble black paint which permits the operator to observe the cow 21 days later to see if she has "settled".

Factors Infuencing Earnings on Mountain Valley Cattle Ranches -1973

This section examines several factors partly under the control of the operator which are believed to influence ranch earnings—size of ranch business, rate of production, efficiency of production, prices received for beef sold and management practices followed. Each of these measurements is examined in terms of income, costs,

T. 11 10 T

earnings and other related criteria. Some ranchers were doing very well financially and others doing poorly while operating under similar conditions. To analyze this situation, the data for the 60 cattle ranches were arrayed from high to low based on the percentage return to total capital.

(60	Wyo.	M.V.	Cattle	Ranc	hes,	1973)	recurn	to capit	

and construct hand on

	Averages per cattle unit for				
Income, costs and earnings	12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches		
Livestock sales	\$174.08	\$136.98	\$149.47		
Livestock inventory adjustment	2.90	10.12	5.88		
Total income	176.98	147.10	155.35		
Total operating costs*	83.70	112.77	88.48		
Ranch income**	93.28	34.33	66.87		
Imputed operator's wage***	18.28	15.02	15.39		
Return to capital	75.00	19.31	51.48		
Total capital invested	1,096	1,199	1,200		
Percent return to capital	6.84	1.61	4.29		

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Percentage Return to Total Capital

The 12 ranches or the 20% with the highest percentage return are compared to the 20% of the ranches with the lowest percentage return (Table 12). The highest earning group had an average return to capital of 6.84% compared to 1.61% for the group showing the poorest earnings and 4.29% for the average of all 60 ranches.

What are the reasons that one group was doing so well financially and the other doing so poorly? The high return group had a 90% calf crop which resulted in 350 pounds of beef produced per cu and sales of \$176.98 per cu. The low return group had only an 84% calf crop with 314 pounds of beef per cu and sales of \$147.10 per cu. For the high return group, the cost of producing 266,300 lb. of beef was \$128,314 or \$48.18/ cwt., compared to \$61.76 for the low return group and \$55.60 for the average of 60 ranches (Table 13). This low cost of production for the group showing the very good return was a result of several factors: the ability to hold cash and noncash costs to a very low level, the high percentage calf crop and a low investment cost per cu.

This sort based on percent return to total capitol seems to indicate that success in the cattle business is a result of a rancher's ability in holding down cash costs and at the same time, receiving a high rate of production and high prices for beef sold.

	Averages per ranch for				
Measure of	12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches		
Business size					
Total cu	765	936	942		
Total capital	\$838,400	\$1,122,200	\$1,130,700		
Total receipts	\$135,390	\$ 137,680	\$ 146,340		
Rate of production					
Pounds of beef produced per cu	350	314	329		
Efficiency of production					
Percent death loss—cattle	4.4	4.3	4.5		
Percent death losscalves	1.7	1.9	1.7		
Prices received (per cwt.)					
All livestock (av.)	\$52.50	\$46.30	\$49.20		
Percent of sales from calves	39.6	32.7	31.4		
Production cost calculations					
Total cost (cash and non-cash)	\$64,030	\$105,550	\$83,350		
Imputed operator's wage	13,980	14,060	14,500		
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	50,304	67,332	67,842		
Total production cost	128,314	186,942	165,692		
Net beef produced (lb.)	266,300	302,700	298,000		
Production cost per cwt.	\$48.18	\$61.76	\$55.60		

Table 13. Statistical measurements—based on percent return to capital.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

Size of Ranch Business

In this sort we will examine the influence of size of ranch business on the earnings of cattle ranches (Table 14). The 12 largest ranches with an average of 2,046 cu per ranch earned 4.08% return on ranch capital. The 12 smallest ranches with an average of 329 cu per ranch, earned a 4.70% return on ranch capital.

The large group had 6.2 times more cu than the smallest group; however, it had only 5.5 times more capital invested and therefore the investment per cu for the large group was more efficient—\$1,085 per cu., compared to \$1,224 for the small size group (Table 14).

It is difficult to study the influence of size of business on ranch earnings in this particular grouping because factors other than size are not equal. However, we can point out a few facts. The small ranches had a higher rate of production—

the 91% calf crop at market time resulted in 344 lb. of beef per cu, compared to only 314 lb. for the large size outfits (Table 15). This high percent calf crop may be part of the reason for a higher rate of earnings for the small ranches. The large ranches required only 2.1 hr to produce a ton of hay and handled 452 cu per man. In contrast, the small outfits neded 3.9 hr per ton of hay and handled only 196 cu per man. The average price received by the small ranches was \$52.20/cwt. and about 46% of the income was from the sale of calves. In contrast, the average price received by the large outfits was 47.40/cwt. and only about 20% of the sales was from calves. The cost of production per cwt. of beef was similar for both groups.

This sort (Tables 14-15) indicates that a well-managed small ranch with 329 cu, and an investment of \$402,800 was able to make a satisfactory return on investment during 1973, a year of very high cattle prices.

-2**2**---

Table 14. Income, costs and earnings—based on size of ranch business.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averagse per cattle unit for				
Income, costs and earnings	12 largest	12 smallest	60 ranches		
Livestock sales	\$150.85	\$168.57	\$149.47		
Livestock inventory adjustment	-2.61	6.44	5.88		
. Total income	148.24	175.01	155.35		
Total operating costs*	93.03	86.84	88.48		
Ranch income**	55.21	88.17	66.87		
Imputed operator's wage***	10.90	30.64	15.39		
Return to capital	44.31	57.53	51.48		
Total capiatl invested	1,085	1,224	1,200		
Percent return to capital	4.08	4.70	4.29		

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Table 15. Statistical measurements—based on size of ranch business.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per ranch for				
Measure of	12 largest	12 smallest	60 ranches		
Business size					
Total cu	2,046	329	942		
Total capital	\$2,219,700	\$402,800	\$1,130,700		
Total receipts	303,280	57,580	146,340		
Rate of production					
Pounds of beef produced per cu	314	344	329		
Efficiency of production					
Percent death loss-cattle	4.2	4.5	4.5		
Percent death loss-calves	1.7	1.6	1.7		
Prices received (per cwt.)					
All livestock (av.)	\$47.40	\$52.20	\$49.20		
Percent of sales from calves	19.7	45.5	31.4		
Production cost calculations					
Total cost (cash and non-cash)	\$190,330	\$28,570	\$83,350		
Imputed operator's wages	22,300	10,080	14,500		
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	133,182	24,168	67,842		
Total production cost	345,812	62,818	165,692		
Net beef produced (lb.)	645,100	113,600	298,000		
Production cost per cwt.	\$53.61	\$55.29	\$55.60		

-23-

Pounds of Beef per Cattle Unit

Twelve ranches produced an average of 386 lb. of beef per cu compared to 276 lb. for the group with the lowest rate of production. This was 40% more beef per cu (386/276 = 140). However, they earned only 3.95% return on capital, compared to 3.00% for the low producing group, and 4.29% for the average of 60 ranchers.

The high producers had attained this high rate of production at a high operating cost per cu-\$112.80 compared to \$87.49 for the low producers and \$88.48 for the average (Table 16).

High rates of production in cattle ranching, while desirable and usually associated with higher rates of earnings, if attained at a high cost, do not pay as well as lower rates of production attained at more modest costs (Table 16 and 17).

Table 16. Income, costs and earnings—based on pounds of beef per cattle unit.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

Averages per cattle unit for					
12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches			
\$175.47	\$145.72	\$149.47			
59	-10.73	5.88			
174.88	134.99	155.35			
112.80	87.49	88.48			
62.08	47.50	66.87			
16.81	13.23	15.39			
45.27	34.27	51.48			
1,147	1,143	1,200			
3.95	3.00	4.29			
	Avera, 12 highest \$175.47 59 174.88 112.80 62.08 16.81 45.27 1,147 3.95	Averages per cattle un12 highest12 lowest\$175.47\$145.7259-10.73174.88134.99112.8087.4962.0847.5016.8113.2345.2734.271,1471,1433.953.00			

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Table 17. Statistical measurements—based on pounds of beef per cattle unit.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per ranch for			
Measure of	12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches	
Business size				
Total cu	894	1,109	942	
Total capital	\$1,025,800	\$1,267,200	\$1,130,700	
Total receipts	\$ 156,340	\$ 149,700	\$ 146,340	
Rate of production				
Pounds of beef produced per cu	386	276	329	
Efficiency of production				
Percent death loss-cattle	4.4	5.7	4.5	
Percent death loss-calves	1.2	2.3	1.7	
Prices received (per cwt.)				
All livestock (av.)	\$47.40	\$50.60	\$49.20	
Percent of sales from calves	17.3	43.1	31.4	
Production cost calculations				
Total cost (cash and non-cash)	\$100,840	\$97,020	\$83,350	
Imputed operator's wage	15,030	14,670	14,500	
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	61,548	76,032	67,842	
Total production cost	177,418	187,722	165,692	
Net beef produced (lb.)	342,700	305,200	298,000	
Production cost per cwt.	\$51.77	\$61.51	\$55.60	

Production Costs per Cwt. of Beef Produced

The 12 ranches with the lowest production cost per cwt. earned 6.41% return to total capital compared with 2.53% return for the group having the highest cost of production (Table 18).

The low-cost group had two advantages more income per cu and lower operating costs per cu resulting in a ranch income per cu of \$88.98 compared to \$49.77 for the high cost group.

The low cost group was about 25% larger than the high cost group as measured in terms of cu, yet the total capital investment per ranch was similar for the two groups (Table 19).

The high cost group received 56.3% of its income from the sale of calves and as a result, the average price per cwt. for livestock was \$52.50 compared to \$48.50 per cwt. for the low cost group which received only 15.3% of its income from the sale of calves.

In summary, the strong points of the low cost group include: excellent management as indicated in their cost control with a total cost per ranch of \$70,600 compared to \$75,250 for the ranch which had considerably fewer cu; and, the total beef produced was considerably greater for the low cost group—336,300 lb. as compared to 221,200 lb. for the high cost group. The result of holding costs to a minimum and yet obtaining a high rate of production resulted in a production cost per cwt. of \$44.95 for the low cost group compared to \$67.66 for the high cost group.

Price Received per Cwt. of Beef Sold

Twelve ranches received an average selling price of \$58.50 per cwt. of beef, obtained 66%of its income from the sale of calves and earned 4.23% return on capital. In comparison, 12 other ranches received \$41.00 per cwt. for beef sold, got only 12% of its income from the sale of calves, and earned 3.04% return to capital (Tables 20 and 21).

The return earned on capital was 39% higher for the group receiving the highest prices (4.23/3.04 = 139).

The operating costs per cu were similar for both groups, but the group receiving the highest prices received about \$20 more income per cu. One point of strength in the group receiving low prices was its higher rate of production—343 lb. of beef per cu. compared to 304 lb. for the group receiving high prices. This high rate of production partially offset the influence of low prices.

E E E	Averages per cu for					
Income, costs and earnings	12 lowest	12 highest	60 ranches			
Livestock sales	\$153.11	\$150.96	\$149.47			
Livestock inventory adjustment	9.72	-4.72	5.88			
Total income	162.83	146.24	155.35			
Total operating costs*	73.85	96.47	88.48			
Ranch income**	88.98	49.77	66.87			
Imputed operator's wage***	15.63	16.51	15.39			
Return to capital	73.35	33.26	51.48			
Total capital invested	1,144	1,315	1,200			
Percent return to capital	6.41	2.53	4.29			

Table 18. Income, costs and earnings—based on production cost per cwt. beef.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

	Averages per ranch for				
Measure of	12 lowest	12 highest	60 ranches		
Business size					
Total cu	956	780	942		
Total capital	\$1,093,800	\$1,025,400	\$1,130,700		
Total receipts	\$ 155,660	\$ 114,070	\$ 146,340		
Rate of production					
Pounds of beef produced per cu	361	289	329		
Efficiency of production					
Percent death loss-cattle	4.3	5.2	4.5		
Percent death loss—calves	1.3	2.2	1.7		
Prices received (per cwt.)					
All livestock (av.)	\$48.50	\$52.20	\$49.20		
Percent of sales from calves	15.3	56.3	31.4		
Production cost calculations					
Total cost (cash and non-cash)	\$70,600	\$75,250	\$83,350		
Imputed operator's wage	14,940	12,880	14,500		
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	65,628	61,524	67,842		
Total production cost	151,168	149,654	165,692		
Net beef produced (lb.)	336,300	221,200	298,000		
Production cost per cwt.	\$44.95	\$67.66	\$55.60		

Table 19. Statistical measurements—based on production cost per cwt. beef.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

Table 20. Income, costs and earnings—based on price received per cwt. beef sold.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per cu for					
Income, costs and earnings	12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches			
Livestock sales	\$160.54	\$140.08	\$149.47			
Livestock inventory adjustment	5.03	5.09	5.88			
Total income	165.57	145.17	155.35			
Total operating costs*	91.90	90.43	88.48			
Ranch income**	73.67	54.74	66.87			
Imputed operator's wages***	18.70	14.52	15.39			
Return to capital	54.97	40.22	51.48			
Total capital invested	1,299	1,322	1,200			
Percent return to capital	4.23	3.04	4.29			

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

	Averages per ranch for				
Measure of	12 highest	12 lowest	60 ranches		
Businses size					
Total cu Total capital Total receipts	691 \$897,900 \$114,410	987 \$1,304,600 \$ 143,280	942 \$1,130,700 \$ 146,340		
Rate of production					
Pounds of beef produced per cu	304	343	329		
Efficiency of production					
Percent death loss—cattle Percent death loss—calves	4.1 1.9	$\begin{array}{c} 4.3\\ 1.4\end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 4.5 \\ 1.7 \end{array}$		
Prices received (per cwt.)					
All livestock (av.) Percent of sales from calves	$558.50 \\ 66.1$	$\begin{array}{c}\$41.00\\11.7\end{array}$	$$49.20\ 31.4$		
Production cost calculations					
Total cost (cash and non-cash) Imputed operator's wage Imputed interest on capital (6%) Total production cost Net beef produced (lb.) Productions cost per cwt.	63,500 12,920 53,874 130,294 205,200 \$63.50	\$89,250 14,330 78,276 181,856 334,900 \$54.30	\$83,350 14,500 67,842 165,692 298,000 \$55.60		

Table 21. Statistical measurements—based on price received per cwt. beef sold.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

Percentage of Sales from Calves

In this sort 12 ranches which sold no calves are compared with 12 ranch which received 81.8%of their income from the sale of calves (Tables 22 and 23). The percent earned on capital was similar for both groups. The ranch income was similar for both groups as was the imputed operator's wage and the return to capital (Table 22). The group selling mainly calves was slightly larger— 682 cu compared to 611 for the group selling cowyearlings. The rate of production was similar for both groups and the death loss was also similar. The production cost per cwt. of beef was \$61.96 for the cow-calf group and \$52.14 for the cowyearling group. The cow-calf group received a higher price per cwt. for beef sold than did the cow-yearling groups.

This sort seems to indicate that there is no definite advantage in running cow-calves over cow-yearlings or in running cow-yearlings rather than cow-calves. Rather, the level of management is more important than the class of livestock. With good management one can succeed in the cattle business in a year such as 1973 whether running cow-yearlings or cow-calves.

_27__

Table 22. Income, costs and earnings—based on percent of sales from calves.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per cattle unit for					
Income, costs and earnings	12 cow-calf	12 cow-yearling	60 ranches			
Livestock sales	\$175.81	\$125.48	\$149.47			
Livestock inventory adjustment	-9.15	19.43	5.88			
Total income	166.66	144.91	155.35			
Total operating costs*	94.62	72.26	88.48			
Ranch income**	72.04	72.65	66.87			
Imputed operator's wage***	18.89	19.05	15.39			
Return to capital	53.15	53.60	51.48			
Total capital invested	1,203	1,261	1,200			
Percent return to capital	4.42	4.25	4.20			

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Table 23. Statistical measurements—based on percent of sales from calves.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per ranch for					
Measure of	12 cow-calf	12 cow-yearling	60 ranches			
Business size						
Total cu	682	611	942			
Total capital	\$820,600	\$770,700	\$1,130,700			
Total receipts	\$113,660	\$ 88,540	\$ 146,340			
Rate of production						
Pounds of beef produced per cu	308	325	329			
Efficiency of production						
Percent death loss—cattle	4.8	5.1	4.5			
Percent death loss-calves	2.0	1.6	1.7			
Prices received (per cwt.)						
All livestock (av.)	\$55.80	\$45.30	\$49.20			
Percent of sales from calves	81.8	0	31.4			
Production cost calculations						
Total cost (cash and non-cash)	\$64,530	\$44,150	\$83,350			
Imputed operator's wage	12,880	11,640	14,500			
Imputed interest on capital (6%)	49,236	46,242	67,842			
Total production cost	126,646	102,032	165,692			
Net beef produced (lb.)	204,400	195,700	298,000			
Production cost per cwt.	\$61.96	\$52.14	\$55.60			

Measurements Describing Mountain Valley Cattle Ranching by Areas

For each of the five areas, schedules were taken for four large ranches, four medium sized ranches and four small ranches. In this section we will present measurements which describe cattle ranch organization and operation for each of the five mountain valley areas. Measurements will be in terms of land investment, total capital requirements, income, expenses and earnings on a per ranch and on a per cu basis. Also cost of producing beef will be computed.

The average ranch size varied by areas. For example, the average rancher in the Green River area owned 4,640 acres of deeded land and had access to 2,122 AUM's on public land (Table 24). In the Platte-Snake area, the average rancher owned 8,790 acres and had access to 2,883 AUM's on public land.

The ranch size, as measured by cu, varied from 853 in the Big Horn Basin to 1,053 in the Platte-Snake. Only $10\frac{1}{10}$ of the livestock sales were from calves in the Green River area and the average price per cwt. was \$45.64. In comparison, 53% of the sales were from calves in the Big Horn Basin and the average price per cwt. was \$51.59 (Table 24).

The ranches in the Bear River, Big Horn Basin and Green River areas were all about the same size as measured by total ranch investment, all averaging just slightly over \$1 million (Table 25).

	Averages per ranch for					
	Bear River	Big Horn Basin	Green River	Platte- Snake	Sheridan- Buffalo	
Business size						
Total cu	871	853	926	1,053	1,007	
Acres of deeded land	6,190	6,480	4,640	8,790	7,610	
Man equivalent	2.8	2.6	2.9	3.1	3.5	
AUM's on public land	2,432	2,843	2,122	2,883	2,445	
Rate of production Percent calf crop at market time	86	90	88	76	88	
Efficiency of production						
Percent death loss-cattle	4.4	4.7	4.4	4.5	4.4	
Percent death loss-calves	1.8	1.7	1.5	2.0	1.4	
Prices received (per cwt.)	4876	¢51 50	ФЛБ СЛ	<i>Ф</i> <i>AG</i> О <i>A</i>	<i>Q</i> <i>A G 7 7</i>	
An investock (av.)	φ40.70	φ 01.0 9	φ 4 0.04	φ40.94	φ40.77	
Percent sales from calves	25	53	10	24	16	

Table	24.	Sta	itistica	al mea	sureme	ents	for	different	areas.
		(60	Wyo.	M.V.	Cattle	Rar	ches	s, 1973)	

The investment per cu was about \$1,200 for each of the five areas (Table 25). The ranches in the Platte-Snake area and in the Sheridan-Buffalo area were larger, having over 1,000 cu and having an investment of about \$1,300,000 and \$1,200,000, respectively. The Bear River ranches had an average real estate debt of about \$79,000 per ranch, representing about 7.5% of the total ranch value. In comparison, the Green River ranchers had an average debt of about \$159,700, representing 15.1% of the total ranch value.

The average income from the cattle sales and livestock inventory adjustment is shown in Table 26. This table gives detail by areas on the number, average weight and average price per cwt. of the different classes of livestock sold. The lightest calves were in the Platte-Snake and Bear River areas and averaged about 378 lb. in each

	Averages for					
-	Bear River	Big Horn Basin	Green River	Platte- Snake	Sheridan- Buffalo	
Deeded land and grazing						
rights*	\$724,500	\$731,500	\$685,900	\$892,200	\$810,700	
Buildings and improvements	26,800	28,600	30,000	30,500	27,800	
Power & machinery	31,800	28,100	40,100	35,100	37,800	
Livestock	242,200	236,000	261,700	294,700	281,700	
Feeds	27,400	30,300	39,200	45,600	33,200	
Total	\$1,052,700	\$1,054,500	\$1,056,900	\$1,298,100	\$1,191,200	
Real estate debts	79,300	88,900	159,700	176,900	150,500	
Owner's equity	\$973,400	\$965,600	\$897,200	\$1,121,200	\$1,040,700	
Percent of debt	7.5	8.4	15.1	13.6	12.6	
Average per cu						
Total investment	\$1,209	\$1,236	\$1,141	\$1,233	\$1,183	
Total debts	91	104	172	168	149	
Owner's equity	\$1,118	\$1,132	\$969	\$1,065	\$1,034	

Table 25. Components of capital investment for different ranching areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

*Includes AUM's on forest permits, BLM rights and state land rights at \$25.00 per AUM.

area. The calvse averaged 424 lb. in the Big Horn Basin and 421 lb. in the Sheridan-Buffalo area.

There was considerable variation in the average earnings in the five different areas. For example, the Platte-Snake area, with a total investment of \$1,298,100, had a return to capital of \$40,630 or a return on investment of 3.13%(Table 27). In the Big Horn Basin, the total capital investment was \$1,054,500 with a return to capital of \$57,942 or an average of 5.49%.

The income, costs and earnings on a per cu basis is shown in Table 28. The cost per cwt. of beef produced was lowest in the Bear River area and highest in the Platte-Snake area (Table 27). To compute the cost of producing beef, one must add to the operating costs per ranch an imputed wage for the labor and management of the operator and imputed interest on the ranch capital. For example, for the Bear River area the operating cost per ranch was \$64,780. Adding the imputed wage and imputed interest on capital, gives a total production cost per ranch of \$141,738. The average Bear River ranch produced 277,800 lb. of beef. This is a weighted average cost per cwt. of \$51.02. In a similar manner, cost of producing beef in the Platte-Snake area was \$58.28 per cwt. (Table 28).

		Livestock sales — av. per ranch		Inventory change			
			Weight	Wt. per	per cwt.	av. pe	r ranch
	No.	No.	(lb.)	head	Value	Number	Value
Bear River							
Cows	55	53,769	975	\$30.21	\$ 16,243	12	\$ 3,625
H.2's	5	3,999	857	35.01	1,400	1	354
H.1's	78	53,482	684	50.39	26,947	10	2,067
H.calves	48	17,290	360	62.48	10.803	0	0
St.calves	74	28.827	392	66.47	19.162	0	0
St.1's	116	90,670	780	51.16	46.388	26	5.362
Total	XXX	248.037	xxx	\$48.76	\$120,943	xxx	\$11,408
Inventory	change	29.745	xxx	XXX	\$ 11,408		+)
Total	XXX	277.782	XXX	xxx	\$132,351		
Big Horn Bas	in				<i>410</i> ,001		
Cows	72	71 817	994	\$31.01	\$ 22.270	6	\$ 1.925
H 2's	6	4 694	751	46 25	$, \phi 22, 210$ 9 171	-16	-4.062
H 1's	39	24 255	617	53 92	13 078	-10	1,650
H.calves	119	47,200	401	60.39	28 811	0	1,000
St calves	161	71 042	441	64.38	45 736	0	0
St. 1's	73	49 030	677	54 41	26 676	15	4 256
St.2's	3	2 933	980	45.03	1 321	-3	-940
Total	xxx	271 481	xxx	\$51.59	\$140.063	-5	\$ 2.829
Inventory	change	5 562	XXX	φ 01.00 XXX	\$ 2,829	АЛА	φ 2,025
Total	xxx	277.043	xxx	XXX	\$142,892		
Croon River		211,010	АЛА	АЛА	ψ1 12,002		
Cows	86	87 090	1 019	@ 90.09	¢ 96 090	1	¢ 195
H 9's	10	7 666	1,012	400.95 29.95	a 20,920	-1	φ -120 1.059
H 1'g	118	21 609	601	30.20	2,932	-0 C	-1,200
H anlwas	110	01,090	091	49.07	40,000	-0	-1,255
St calves	12	4,214	400	01.41 67 49	2,400	0	0
St. 1'g	166	10,502	400	50 46	11,400 61 406	20	0 0 1 0
Total	100	210 504	100	\$45 GA	\$145 910	33	© 5 406
Inventory	change	10.847	~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~~	φ40.04 VVV	φ140,019 \$ 5406	~~~	φ 0,450
Total	vvv	220 251	AAA VVV	777 777	φ 0,490 \$151 915		
Diatta Chalca	ллл	000,001	~~~	~~~	φ101,010		
Coma	05	07.054	1 000	PDO F 4	¢ 00.010	10	@ 4.0°0
Lows	95	97,954	1,028	\$30.54	\$ 29,913	16	\$ 4,650
п.28 Ц 1'а	0	U 54 709	0	0	05 919	-11	-2,812
H anlwor	92 50	04,790 01 079	090 959	47.11	20,812	-19	-3,900
St colves	09	21,273	000 201	60.02	13,998	0	0
St. L'a	04 175	04,070 115 999	591	69.0Z	22,000 50 199	0	160
Total	115	222 580	004	\$16.07	09,100 \$151 491	-1 VVV	-109 ¢ 9 991
Inventory	ahanga	1 790	XXX	φ 40. 94	φ191,421 ¢ 9.991	XXX	φ -2,201
Total	change	-1,720	XXX	XXX	φ -2,201 ¢140.100		
Charidan D. CC.		320,800	XXX	XXX	φ149,190		
Sheridan-Buila		04.040	1 0 4 0	MO1 FO	# 00 00 #	~	
Lows	91	94,248	1,040	\$31.73	\$ 29,907	5	\$ 1,600
H.2 S	14	9,687	692	45.00	4,358	-5	-1,188
П.IS U colver	97	57,294	590	50.85	29,132	-7	-1,433
n.calves	48	19,715	415	57.03	11,243	0	0
St. Carves	43	18,884	· 427	62.30	11,578	0	0
SLIS SLOV	198	111,180	697	ə3.30	59,254	51	11,381
01.48	1	900	900	00.00 040 00	262 0145 795	-1	-160 @10.900
Total	XXX	011,008	XXX	φ40.77	φ140,730 Φ 10 000	XXX	φ10,200
Total	change	20,001 295 145	XXX	AAX	φ 10,200 \$155.095		
Total	XXX	333,143	XXX	XXX	\$100,900		

Table 26. Average income per ranch for five mountain valley ranch areas.

Item	Bear River	Big Horn Basin	Green River	Platte- Snake	Sheridan- Buffalo
Livestock sales	\$ 120,943	\$ 140,063	\$ 145,819	\$ 151,421	\$ 145,735
Livestock inventory adjust.	11,408	2,829	5,496	-2,231	10,200
Total income	132,351	142,892	151,315	149,190	155,935
Total operating costs*	64,780	70,610	93,830	93,930	93,610
Ranch income**	67,571	72,282	57,485	55,260	62,325
Imputed operator's wage***	13,780	14,340	14,760	14,630	15,000
Return to capital	53,791	57,942	42,725	40,630	47,325
Total capital invested	\$1,052,700	\$1,054,500	\$1,056,900	\$1,298,100	\$1,191,200
Percent return to capital	5.11	5.49	4.04	3.13	3.97
Imputed interest on capital at 6%	\$ 63,162	\$ 63,270	\$ 63,414	\$ 77,886	\$ 71,472
Cost of production Per ranch	\$ 141,738	\$ 148,220	\$ 172,004	\$ 186,446	\$ 180,087
Per cwt. of beef	\$51.02	\$53.51	\$52.08	\$58.28	\$53.79
Pounds of beef produced	277,800	277,000	330,300	319,900	334,800

Table 27. Income, cost of production and earnings per ranch for different areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranchse, 1973)

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Table 28. Income, costs and earnings per cattle unit for different areas.(60 Wyo. M.V. Cattle Ranches, 1973)

	Averages per cu for							
Income, costs and earnings	Bear River	Big Horn Basin	Green River	Platte- Snake	Sheridan- Buffalo			
Livestock sales	\$ 138.85	\$ 164.19	\$ 157.47	\$ 143.80	\$ 144.75			
Livestock inventory adjust.	13.10	3.31	5.93	-2.12	10.13			
Total income	151.95	167.50	163.40	141.68	154.88			
Total operating costs*	74.37	82.78	101.33	89.20	92.96			
Ranch income**	77.58	84.72	62.07	52.48	61.92			
Imputed operator's wage***	15.82	16.81	15.94	13.89	14.90			
Return to capital	61.76	67.91	46.13	38.59	47.02			
Total capital invested	1,209	1,236	1,141	1,233	1,183			
Percent return to capital	5.11	5.49	4.04	3.13	3.97			

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.

Case Study Analysis of Four Cattle Ranches

The purpose of this section is to present statistical maesurements which describe the organization and operation of two large cattle ranches and two small cattle ranches in Wyoming. One large ranch is well managed and is highly successful financially while the other, about 10% larger, is not so well managed and is less successful financially. A parallel but more pronounced situation exists in the analysis of two small ranches. One ranch is highly successful, due mainly to its high level of management. The other is unsuccessful financially due mainly to management.

Economic Analysis of Two Large Ranches

The number, weight and average price per cwt. of livestock sold and the inventory change is shown for the two large ranches (Table 29). The most successful ranch had ranch sales and inventory increase of \$196,870. This was for the production and sale of 408,350 lb. of beef. The average price per cwt. of the beef sold was \$50.75 and much of the beef sold were steer calves. The less successful ranch had about the same income but its main sales were from yearling steers and cows with few sales from calves. It had a lower price per cwt.

Perhaps the greatest point of strength in the management of the successful ranch was the rancher's ability to hold operating costs to a low level—\$77,297 per ranch or \$67.04 per cu. In comparison the less successful ranch had operating costs of \$115,785 or \$90.67 per cu (Table 30).

The earnings per ranch and per cu and the cost of producing beef for the two large ranches is shown in Table 31. The successful ranch had a ranch income of \$119,573 or \$103.71 per cu. The return to capital was \$102,529 or 7.88% on an investment of \$1,301,275. In comparison, the less successful ranch had a return to capital of \$62,104 for a capital investment of \$1,805,804 or a return of 3.44%.

The cost of producing beef is determined by adding to the total ranch operating costs an imputed wage for the operator and imputed interest at 6% on the ranch capital. The cost of producing beef was \$42.22 per cwt. for the successful

Table 29. Components of ranch receipts-two large ranches.

Class of cattle	Number sold	Average weight	Total weight	Average price	Total value of sale
	(No.)	(lb.)	(lb.)	(\$/cwt.)	(dollars)
One successful ranch					
Cows	90	1,009	90,840	\$34.68	\$ 31,500
Heifers 1's	115	800	92,000	38.25	35,190
Heifer calves	87	348	30,310	56.68	17,180
Steer calves	363	400	145,200	67.49	98,000
Total sales	655	XX	358,350	\$50.75	\$181,870
Livestcok inventory adjustment					
Increase		XX	50,000	XX	15,000
Total production and income		xx	408,350	XX	\$196,870
One less successful ranch					
Cows	174	1,125	195,820	\$32.00	\$ 62,664
Heifers 1's	110	668	73,470	50.08	36,798
Heifer calves	26	276	7,181	55.01	3,950
Steers 1's	240	752	180,600	49.00	88,494
Total sales	550	XX	457,071	\$41.99	\$191,906
Livestock inventory adjustment					
Increase		xx	700	XX	2,925
Total production and income		XX	457,771	XX	\$194,831

ranch and \$52.67 per cwt. for the less successful ranch (Table 31).

To summarize: the strong point in the management of the successful ranch was its ability to hold operating costs to a bare minimum, receive an excellent price from the sale of highpriced calves and at the same time receive a good calf crop of 91%.

Economic Anaylsis of

Two Small Ranches

The source of the ranch receipts is shown for both small ranches (Table 32). The amount of sales was very similar—about \$63,000 for each ranch. The successful ranch sold 40 yearling heifers at \$53.62 per cwt. and 80 yearling steers at \$57.90 per cwt. The less succesful ranch sold 120 steer calves weighing 440 lb. each at 66.56 per cwt. This was an excellent sale price and if the cost for production were held in line, this rancher should be making a good return on his investment. The greatest point of strength for the successful small ranch was the ability of the manager to hold operating costs to a bare minimum. For example, the costs per cu were \$67.55 for the successful ranch, compared to \$121.31 for the less successful ranch (Table 33). The successful ranch had lower costs per cu in every cost category. It is not uncommon for the field enumerator to see some ranches who are somewhat wasteful—who spend too much money for hired labor, transportation costs and such items. The trite expression "whenever you get a dollar, hang on to it," seemed to work well for certain efficient ranchers encountered in this economic survey.

The income per cu did not differ greatly for the two ranches, but the successful ranch was able to control costs and held them down to the lowest possible level (Table 34). This resulted in a ranch income per cu of \$121.03 for the successful ranch and \$52.59 for the less successful ranch. The return to capital was 7.15% for the successful ranch and 1.60% for the unsuccessful ranch.

Table 30.	Operating	expenses	per	ranch	and	per	cattle	unit	for	two	large	ranches.
	(One s	uccessful	fina	ncially	·	the	other l	ess s	succe	ssful)	

	Averages for						
	Successfu	ul ranch	Less succes	sful ranch			
Cost component	Per ranch	Per cu	Per ranch	Per cu			
Cash costs							
Hired labor	\$16,412	\$14.23	\$21,879	\$17.13			
Feed purchased	10,288	8.92	18,402	14.41			
Grazing fees	1,712	1.48	950	.74			
Repairs & transportation	6,394	5.55	6,874	5.38			
Utilities	1,512	1.31	2,437	1.91			
Veterinary service & supplies	4,413	3.83	2,096	1.64			
Insurance	332	.29	2,689	2.11			
Taxes	7,595	6.59	9,934	7.78			
Crop expense	2,302	2.00	10,102	7.91			
Fuel, oil and grease	3,264	2.83	6,751	5.29			
Supplies	1,343	1.16	10,659	8.35			
Interest at 4% on cash costs	2,262	1.96	3,755	2.94			
All other cash costs	988	.86	1,112	.87			
Total cash costs	(\$58,817)	(\$51.01)	(\$97,640)	(\$76.46)			
Non-cash costs							
Depreciation on improvements	\$ 4,000	\$ 3.47	\$ 2,859	\$ 2.24			
Depreciation on machinery	10,000	8.67	9,786	7.66			
Depreciation on bulls	4,480	3.89	5,500	4.31			
Total non-cash costs	(\$18,480)	(\$16.03)	(\$18,145)	(\$14.21)			
Total operating costs*	\$77,297	\$67.04	\$115,785	\$90.67			

*Except interest paid on debt, wage for operator and imputed interest on owner's capital.

Table 31. Earnings per ranch and per cattle unit for two large ranches.(One successful financially — the other less successful)

	Successf	ul ranch	Less successful ranch		
	Per ranch	Per cu	Per ranch	Per cu	
Livestock sales	\$ 181,870	\$157.74	\$ 191,906	\$150.28	
Livestock inventory adjustment	15,000	13.01	2,925	2.29	
Total income	196,870	170.75	194,831	152.57	
Total operating costs*	77,297	67.04	115,785	90.67	
Ranch income**	119,573	103.71	79,046	61.90	
Imputed operators wage***	17,044	14.78	16,942	13.28	
Return to capital	102,529	88.92	62,104	48.63	
Total capital invested	\$1,301,275	\$1,129	\$1,805,804	\$1,414	
Percent returned to capital	7.88		3.44		
Imputed interest @ 6% on ranch capital	\$ 78,077	\$ 67.72	\$ 108,348	\$ 84.85	
Costs of producing beef Per ranch	\$ 172,418	\$149.54	\$ 241,075	\$188.78	
Per cwt.	\$42.22		\$52.67		
Carrying cost per cu	\$150		\$189		

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management.

Table 32. Components of ranch receipts-two small ranches.

Class of cattle	Number sold	Average weight	Total weight	Average price	Total value of sale
	(No.)	(lb.)	(lb.)	(\$/cwt.)	(dollars)
One successful ranch					
Cows	24	1,020	24,480	\$30.62	\$ 7,496
Heifers—yearlings	40	718	28,720	53.62	15,400
Steers—yearlings	80	779	62,310	57.90	36,080
Total sales	144		115,510	51.06	58,976
Livestock inventory adjustment					
Increase			14,000	XXX	4,200
Total production and income	xxx	xxx	129,510	xxx	63,176
One less successful ranch					
Cows	57	1,063	60,600	32.02	19,402
Steers-calves	120	440	52,810	66.56	35,149
Total sales	177		113,410	48.10	54,551
Livestock inventory adjustment					
Increase			13,320	XXX	8,750
Total production and income	XXX	xxx	126,730	xxx	63,301

	Averages for						
-	Successfu	l ranch	Less succes	ssful ranch			
Cost component	Per ranch	Per cu	Per ranch	Per cu			
Cash costs							
Hired labor	\$ 4,340	\$12.96	\$ 6,950	\$ 19.09			
Feed purchased	600	1.79	4,934	13.55			
Grazing fees	1,450	4.33	1,736	4.77			
Repairs & transportation	1,280	3.82	4,543	12.48			
Utilities	675	2.01	914	2.51			
Veterinary service & supplies	600	1.79	1,185	3.26			
Insurance	469	1.40	867	2.38			
Taxes	2,750	8.21	3,940	10.82			
Crop expense	1,680	5.01	5,536	15.21			
Fuel, oil and grease	920	2.75	1,966	5.41			
Supplies	272	.81	1,497	4.11			
Interest at 4% on							
cash costs	625	1.87	1,363	3.74			
All other cash costs	580	1.73	1,816	4.99			
Total cash costs	(\$16,241)	(\$48.48)	(\$37,247)	(\$102.32)			
Non-cash costs							
Depreciation on improvements	2.674	\$ 7.98	\$ 1.287	3.54			
Depreciation on machinery	2,817	8.42	3,720	10.22			
Depreciation on bulls	896	2.67	1,904	5.23			
Total non-cash costs	(\$ 6,387)	(\$19.07)	(\$ 6,911)	(\$ 18.99)			
Total operating costs*	\$22,628	\$67.55	\$44,158	\$121.31			

_____36____

Table 33. Operating expenses per ranch and per cattle unit for two small ranches.(One successful financially, the other less successful)

*Except interest paid on debt, wage for operator and imputed interest on owner's capital.



A mobile chute for branding, earmarking. or giving medications is a necessary tool for even the smallest ranch.

	Successfu	l ranch	Less successful ranch		
Income, costs and earnings	Per ranch	Per cu	Per ranch	Per cu	
Livestock sales	\$ 58,976	\$176.04	\$ 54,551	\$149.86	
Livestock inventory adjustment	4,200	12.54	8,750	24.04	
Total income	63,176	188.58	63,301	173.90	
Total operating costs*	22,628	67.55	44,158	121.31	
Ranch income**	40,548	121.03	19,143	52.59	
Imputed operator's wage***	10,359	30.92	10,365	28.48	
Return to capital	30,189	90.11	8,778	24.11	
Total capital invested	\$422,064	\$ 1,260	\$548,824	\$ 1,508	
Percent return to capital	7.15		1.60		
Imputed interest @ 6% on					
ranch capital	\$ 25,324	\$ 75.59	\$ 32,929	\$ 90.46	
Cost of producing beef					
Per ranch	\$ 58,311	\$174.06	\$ 87,452	\$240.25	
Per cwt.	\$ 45.02		\$ 69.01		
Carrying cost per cu	\$174		\$240		

 Table 34. Earnings per ranch and per cattle unit for two small ranches.

 (One successful financially, the other less successful)

*Except interest paid on debt, operator's wage and interest on owner's equity.

**Return for operator's labor and management and all ranch capital.

***Wage for labor \$7,200 plus 5% of total income for management fee.



One hundred half-brothers and half-sisters. The best of these AI heifers will go into the replacement herd. The rest, along with the steers, will go into someone's feedlot. Established producers of AI calves frequently get a small premium per pound for feeder calves. Producers who do not get the premium should consider feeding out or warming up their own calves.

Livestock Management Practices

Both good and poor management practices are reflected in ranch earnings. Good range management, adequate water facilities which are strategically located, careful attention to the health of the herd, progressive breeding practices, upgrading of the beef herd, efficient and timely hay production methods, wise and intelligent supervision of labor and a watchful eye to control annual operating expenses are some of the management practices under the control of the operator. These and perhaps other practices determine success or failure of a cattle ranch business.

The managerial strategies and skills observed among the 60 ranchers, in the opinion of the writer, ranged from excellent to average. Likewise there was a wide range in the types and kinds of management practices followed. These facts will be borne out in the following discussion.

Cow Herd Management

About 14-18% of the cow herd is replaced each year. With an 86% calf crop, 18 of the 43 heifer calves available from each 100 cows must be kept as replacements for the cows which are culled, or which have died. Ranchers frequently keep from 18-22 of the top heifers, carry them until the next spring or fall and then cull down to 14-18 head, depending on whether they are trying to maintain, increase or decrease the size of the breeding herd. Culling replacement heifers in the spring and again in the fall helps upgrade the herd.

In the fall, the more progressive ranchers run the breeding herd through the chute to pregnancy test first calf heifers and the cows which seem questionable. A check is made of udder, teeth, eyes and feet and general physical conditions. Culling open cows and those which are otherwise defective can do much to increase the amount of beef produced and the amount of ranch earnings.

Feeding the breeding herd usually begins sometime in December or in early January and continces until May. It requires from 1-2 tons of hay per head, depending on the duration of winter, amount of aftermath pasture, protein supplement used and whether or not winter grazing is available.

The most common breeding season is from

June 1 to September 1, although some ranchers who winter their cattle especially well and whose cows are in a high state of nutrition, begin breeding a month earlier. Ranchers who breed late in the season have little problems with cows being in poor physical condition. Excessively thin cows, or cows and bulls which are excessively fat are usually poor breeders.

The usual custom is to supply 4 or 5 bulls per 100 cows although some outfits who have no opportunity to pasture breed even a part of the cows, use as many as 6 bulls per 100 cows, particularly where first calf heifers are run separately. In this study, nearly one-half of the operators raise all or part of their bulls from small registered herds. These men buy new bulls periodically and pay from \$500-\$1,200 for such sires. Those who purchase range bulls pay from \$400-\$600 each and use them for four years although the range in use is from 3-7 years. About onethird of the ranchers feed from 1-2 lb. of protein supplement daily to their bulls for a period of 6 weeks before breeding season. This is in addition to hay fed free choice. One-half of the ranchers have their bulls fertility tested. Five outfits use artificial insemination on part of their cows. One ranch engages in production testing on part of the herd.

Management of Replacement Heifers

Nearly all the ranchers breed replacement heifers at about 15 months of age so they will calve at about 24 months. Some complain, however, if they calve as two's, part of them, due to poor physical condition, will not calve as three's. The more successful ranchers select replacement heifers from their top quality cows which are bred to top performing bulls. They breed only the yearling heifers which weigh around 600 lb. or more. The ranchers feed such heifers heavily during pregnancy and watch them carefully during calving. They provide sufficient feed so the heifer can supply adequate milk to raise a healthy, vigorous calf, and be able to breed again and calve as a three-year-old. Some ranchers, to give a heifer a break, wean these calves one month ahead of the calves from the regular herd and give them special care, feeding protein, minerals and vitamins in addition to hay. Some operators find it pays to run two-year-old heifers as well as three-year-old heifers separately from the reg-

-38-



Photographs taken by Jack Richard Studio, Cody,Wyoming, appear on pages 1, 5, 9, 37, 39, and 44. Above photograph by USDA.