

Risk and Resilience in Agriculfure

Producer Marketing Management: Primer on Agricultural Options¹

By Gerald Campbell Reviewers: Dave Holder and Randy Corley, Edited for this publication by Duane Griffith and Stephen Koontz²

When Congress passed the Futures Trading Act of 1982, it paved the way for a new marketing management tool for farmers and others who market agricultural products. This law authorized the development of a pilot program for organized trading of agricultural commodity options. This overturned a 4-year-old ban on commodity options trading in agricultural products.

The discussion that follows is intended to introduce newcomers to agricultural options trading. It defines some options terms, outlines differences between options and futures contracts and explores applications of options for agricultural producers.

What is an Agricultural Commodity Option? It is easy to be confused by the term "option," because the term means several different things in the jargon of the commodity industries. For example, marketers might refer to a particular futures contract as the "December corn option" or simply the "December option." But there is an important difference between a futures *contract* and a commodity *option*.

¹ This publication has been modified slightly from its original version. The glossary has also been removed and two sections were added on Hedging and Trading Strategies.

² By **Gerald Campbell**, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin-Madison and the University of Wisconsin Cooperative Extension Service; Reviewers: **David Holder**, ES, USDA and **Randy Corley**, ES USDA; NCR Extension Publication No. 217. Sponsored by the Extension services of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, South Dakota and Wisconsin in cooperation with ES-USDA. Edited for this publication by Duane Griffith, Montana State University, and Stephen Koontz, Colorado State University.

A futures contract traded on the nation's commodity exchanges carries a joint obligation for both buyer and seller. The contract buyer must take delivery or take an offsetting position. The seller must make delivery or take an offsetting position. With a commodity option, however, there is no joint obligation. The seller of a commodity option sells the buyer the right—or option—to take or make delivery, but the buyer has no obligation to do so. The buyer of the commodity option may exercise this option, but the seller cannot force him to do so. This distinction is important in understanding why someone would find options more useful than futures contracts for accomplishing a particular marketing purpose.

Options and futures do have common features: Both are concerned with actions that will occur in the future. Both options and futures have limited economic life. A futures contract has a delivery date and a commodity option has an expiration date. Both dates limit the time period in which these instruments have economic value.

Puts, Calls, Strike Prices and Premiums The buyer of an agricultural option must determine what "right" he wishes to buy. If the buyer buys the *right to sell* at a specific price, this is a *put* option. In this case, if the option is exercised, the option seller must provide the option buyer with a sell position in the underlying futures contract at the price specified in the option.

> **Put** = Right to sell a futures contract some time in the future.

(**PS** or Put equals Sell)

If the buyer buys the *right to buy* at a specific price, he has bought a *call* option. The buyer of the call option has purchased the right to buy a commodity futures contract from the seller of the call at a specified price. The seller of options is sometimes called an option *writer* or *grantor*. This comes from the fact that historically it was the seller who determined

Call = Right to buy a futures contract some time in the future. (CB or Call equals Buy)

what rights would be sold. There are both buyers and sellers of puts and buyers and sellers of calls. So there are separate but related markets for put options and call options.

Agricultural option markets are developed by organized commodity exchanges, and option contracts are based on existing futures contracts. So trading takes a form similar to futures trading: the exchange proposes to trade options on futures contracts for a particular agricultural commodity— soybeans, for example. The exchange defines the quantity associated with each option, the quality characteristics, the delivery times and the delivery locations. In other words, each exchange develops standardized options contracts so that all traders understand what is being traded.

In addition to these specifications, the exchange also proposes trading option contracts at several *strike prices*. **Options derive their value from the price specified in the option contract.** This is called the *strike price* and is specified when an option is first made available for trading. For example, suppose that an exchange has approval to trade options for soybean futures contracts, and that the option specifies a contract for 5,000 bu. of No. 1 yellow soybeans, deliverable in Chicago on January 1st at a strike price of \$7.00 per bushel. The exchange would allow simultaneous trading in both put and call options, with buyers and sellers for each. The price someone pays for an option is called the *premium*. The premium is established through competitive bids and offers. The value of the premium depends on how high or low buyers think the price of the commodity will go, how volatile the market for that commodity has been, and how soon the option will expire.

How Much is an Option Worth?

In order to understand how a buyer might decide how large a premium he is willing to pay, consider the soybean option outlined above. Suppose the trader thinks soybean prices will rise during the next six months. Our trader also knows that soybean prices have been quite volatile recently, and thinks they could go over \$8.00 per bushel. He knows that six months is plenty of time for the soybean market to reach his price objective. So he concludes that he should be a buyer. As a buyer, he thinks he could make a profit by buying a call option with a termination date six months from now and a strike price of \$7.00. If he expects beans to go to over \$8.00 per bu. he might be willing to pay nearly \$1.00 per bushel in premium. If he does so, it should be profitable to exercise the option when the price of soybeans goes over \$8.00. Generally, as the exercise date for the option approaches, the option premium will approach the difference between the cash price of the commodity and the strike price of the option.

We would expect that most traders who owned an option and could profit if they exercised that option would sell the option to someone else and profit from the sale. A trader who paid a \$1.00 premium for a soybean call option (the right to buy) with a strike price of \$8.00 per bushel might watch the premium go over \$1.00 as soybean prices rise. He could then sell the option to someone else and make his profit by "offset" rather than exercise. As an option, trader he would watch the movement in options premiums to determine his profit or loss. The table on page four and at the end of this article show a typical listing for options premiums on a given day.

One important point about options premiums: When the buyer purchases either a put or call option, his dollar commitment is fixed for the life of the option. He knows with certainty the cost of the right he has purchased. He does not have to worry about margin accounts. This is an important difference between trading options and trading futures contracts. In trading futures contracts, the size of the obligation for buyers and sellers changes as the price of the commodity changes. So margin accounts change in value and additional deposits may be required. In options trading the buyer is assured that the initial cost of the option is the limit of the buyers cost. The buyer can lose no more than the amount paid to purchase the option. This is not true for the option seller, as will become clear later.

In the Money, Out of the Money

Because the prices of the underlying commodities can move over a wide range, commodity exchanges offer options with several strike prices. This gives traders a range of options. If an option's strike price is such that exercise of the option would give positive returns, it is said to be *in the money*.

For example, a put option for corn futures with a strike price of \$3.00 per bushel is in the money whenever corn futures prices are below \$3.00 per bushel. The buyer of this put can sell corn futures for less than \$3.00 per bushel, exercise the option and force the seller to take the corn futures at \$3.00 per bushel. Similarly, a call option for corn futures at \$3.00 per bushel

Opening 98Dec 99Mar 99May 99Jul 98Dec 99Mar 99May 99Jul 98Dec 99Mar Opening 2176 2252 2312 2710 2862 2960 3070 1164 1242 9:00 9:00 pm9:02 pm pm pm mm pm mm pm pm <td< th=""><th></th><th></th><th></th><th>orn</th><th></th><th></th><th>Whe</th><th></th><th></th><th>0</th><th>ats</th></td<>				orn			Whe			0	ats
Opening 2176 2252 2862 2960 3080 1242 9:00 pm9:00 pm $9:00$ pm pm $9:04$ pm $9:04$ pm $9:31$ pm $9:32$ pm $9:31$ pm $9:32$ pm $9:31$ pm $9:32$ pm		98Dec	99Mar	99May	99Jul	98Dec	99Mar	99May	99Jul	98Dec	99Mar
Session 9:00 9:00 pm 9:02 pm 9:32 am pm 9:32 am pm 9:32 am pm pm 9:32 am pm 9:32 am pm pm 9:32 am pm pm 9:34 am pm 9:43 am pm 9:43 am pm 9:43 am pm 9:43 am	Ononing	2050	2176	2252	2312	2710	2862	2960			1242
Session High/Low $2072 \ 2196 \ 2272 \ 2332 \ 2170 \ 2246 \ 2310 \ 2044 \ 2170 \ 2246 \ 2310 \ 2712 \ 2896 \ 3064 \ 1150 \ 30.32 \ 9:32 \ 9:31 \ 30.32 \ 9:31 \ 30.32 \ 30.32 \ 30.32 \ 30.32 \ 30.32 \ 3$	Opening	9:00 pm	9:00 pm	9:02 pm			9:04 pm	3:15 am	am	pm	9:32 am
High/Low2046 2170 2246 2310 2712 2864 2964 3064 1150 $a 1240$ 9:30 am11:4811:4711:45 $10:33$ $10:32$ $9:32$ $9:31$ $10:35$ 9:30 amamamamamamamamamamamamamamamamamamam2072219622722332 2746 2990 1164 1252 9:41 am 9:41 am 9:43 am 2746 2990 1164 1252 9:50 $11:48$ $11:47$ $11:22$ $9:04$ $9:04$ pm 3064 1150 $a 1240$ 9:05 $11:48$ $11:47$ $11:22$ $9:04$ $9:04$ pm 3064 1150 $a 1240$ 9:05 $11:48$ $11:47$ $11:22$ $9:04$ $9:04$ pm 3064 1150 $a 1240$ 9:05 $11:48$ $11:47$ $11:22$ $9:04$ $9:04$ pm $9:32$ $9:31$ $a m$ mamamamamamamamamampmamampm pm $3:15$ $9:32$ $9:31$ $10:35$ 10:55 2166 2190 2264 2324 2740 2894 2990 1154 1242 11:18 pm Priv Setl 2064 2186 2264 <th></th> <th>2072</th> <th>2196 9·41 am</th> <th>2272 9·41 am</th> <th>2332 9:43 am</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th>10.03</th> <th></th> <th></th> <th></th>		2072	2196 9·41 am	2272 9·41 am	2332 9:43 am			10.03			
Composite 2072 2196 2272 2332 am	High/Low	2046	2170 11·48	2246	2310	2712	2864	am 2964	3064	1150	a 1240
$\begin{array}{c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c c $			am			am 2746	am	am	am	am	
m am	Composite	9:41 am 2044	9:41 am 2170	9:41 am 2246	9:43 am 2304	1:14 pm 2710	1:14 pm 2862	10:03 am	1:14 pm 3064	9:11 pm	9:43 am a 1240
Close ²⁰⁶⁴ ²¹⁹⁰ ²²⁶⁴ ²³²⁴ ²³²⁴ ²³²⁴ ²⁷⁴⁶ ²⁸⁹⁴ ²⁹⁹⁰ ³⁰⁹⁰ ¹¹⁵⁴ ¹¹⁵² ¹¹⁵² ¹¹⁵⁴ ¹¹⁵² ¹¹⁵⁹ ¹¹⁵⁹ ¹¹⁵⁹ ¹¹⁵⁹ ¹¹¹⁹ ¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹¹⁰ ¹¹¹¹¹ ¹¹¹¹ ¹¹¹¹¹¹ ¹¹¹¹¹ ¹¹¹¹¹¹ ¹¹¹¹¹	Not Cha	pm	am	am	pm	pm		3:15 am	am	am	am
Settle 2064 2186 2264 2326 2742 2892 2990 3090 1154 1242 Prv Setl 2052 2180 2254 2316 2706 2862 2950 3054 1160 1246 High/Low 2184 2306 2384 2446 2942 3092 3190 3290 1254 1342 Limits 1944 2066 2144 2206 2542 2692 2790 2890 1054 1142 Resume 2052 2180 2252 2734 2890 2974 9:31 1150 9:31 9:31 32 34 34 30 30 31 31 31 31 31 32 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31 31	C	2064	2190	2264	2324	2740	2894	2990		1154	
Prv Setl High/Low Limits 2052 2180 2254 2316 2706 2862 2950 3054 1160 1246 High/Low Limits 2184 2306 2384 2446 2942 3092 3190 3290 1254 1342 Limits 1944 2066 2144 2206 2542 2692 2790 2890 1054 1142 Resume 2046 2176 2254 2314 2720 2874 2970 1152 1152 9:30 am 9:30 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am		pm	1:18 pm	1:18 pm	pm	pm	1:17 pm	1:17 pm	pm	pm	
High/Low 2184 2306 2384 2446 2942 3092 3190 3290 1254 1342 Limits 1944 2066 2144 2206 2542 2692 2790 2890 1054 1142 Resume 2046 2176 2254 2314 2720 2874 2970 1152 1150 1150 9:31 9:31 9:31 am 9:31											
Limits 1944 2066 2144 2206 2542 2692 2790 2890 1054 1142 Resume 2046 2176 2254 2314 2720 2874 2970 1152 1152 9:30 am 9:30 am 9:31 am											
Resume 2046 2176 2254 2314 2720 2874 2970 1152 2052 2180 2252 2734 2890 2974 9:31 9:30 am 9:30 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am 9:31 am Wheat Oats	0										
9:30 am 9:30 am 9:31 a		2046	2176	2254		2720	2874	2970	2090	1152	1142
Corn Wheat Oats					9:31 am						
					_	I				-	ota
98Dec 99Mar 99May 99Jul 98Dec 99Mar 99May 99Jul 98Dec 99Mar		98Dec			99Jul	98Dec			99Jul	_	_

Sep 22, 1998 3:30 pm CST - Daytime

is in the money when corn futures prices are over \$3.00 per bushel. The buyer of the call could force the option seller to deliver corn futures (establish a position in the futures market) priced at \$3.00 per bushel, which the buyer could sell at the higher prevailing price.

It is easy to see that an option in the money could have value. In fact, an in-the-money

Put = Right to sell a futures contract some time in the future.

(**PS** or Put equals Sell)

option has both *intrinsic value* from the return if it were exercised immediately and *time value* from the chance that it will gain in value between now and the exercise date.

> Call = Right to buy a futures contract some time in the future. (CB or Call equals

Buy)

It is less easy to see why anyone would pay money for an option that is *out of the money* that is, an option that, if exercised, would give negative return. For example, take a put option for corn with a strike price of \$2.75 per bushel. Suppose the option has six months until the exercise date and the current price of corn futures is \$3.00 per bushel. This option is out of the money. If it were exercised, the buyer would stand to lose \$0.25 per bushel. Why would anyone pay a premium of any amount for this option? The answer lies in price expectations. If the price of corn futures falls drastically within the next six months, the option could be in the money before the exercise date. A trader might buy the option with the expectation that such a price move would occur. The premium on an out-of-themoney option measures its time value.

Why Buy Agricultural Options?

Traders both inside and outside of agriculture will find new opportunities in the market for options on agricultural futures contracts. The discussion here, however, focuses on how *farmers* might use options on futures as part of their strategy for pricing agricultural commodities.

One of the primary pricing strategies used by farmers today is *forward pricing*. This involves

using forward contracts with local buyers or hedging with futures contracts to lock in the price they get for a product in advance of delivery. In either a forward contract or a hedge, the price of the commodity is "fixed." The price in the contract remains the same, whether prices in the marketplace go up or down. A forward sale protects the farmer from price declines in the marketplace. However, if the market goes up after the forward sale, the farmer can't take advantage of the higher price. He is still obligated to sell his commodity for the contract price. Having a fixed price forward contract is an advantage when prices fall, but a disadvantage when they rise.

Therein lies the advantage of agricultural options: fixed prices in one direction but variable prices in the other. Since the option buyer is the one who decides whether the option will be exercised, he will only exercise the option when it pays to do so. A farmer who buys a \$65.00 live cattle put option for a premium of \$1.00 would only exercise the option if the live cattle price were below \$65.00. If the price were higher than \$65.00 the farmer would let the option expire and sell the cattle for the higher price. In a sense the premium is the cost of having a contract that can be exercised only when price moves against you. This may be a very attractive opportunity for many farmers who have avoided forward pricing for fear of missing out on price increases.

Pricing Outputs

An organized agricultural options market allows farmers to "hedge" their forward sales by buying a put option. Having a put option at a certain strike price means they have the opportunity to make a short futures sale at that price. (They would not likely actually need to exercise the option and take a short position. They should be able to sell the option at a profit equivalent to that gained from exercising the option.) If the futures price rose, they would not have to exercise their option. They would have spent the cost of the premium for the right to hedge later. Whether or not this is a good deal clearly depends on the size of the premium.

Consider the following example: John Jones buys a \$3.00 December corn put option for a premium of \$.10 per bushel. If prices rise between now and the time he is ready to sell corn such that the future price goes above \$3.00, Jones has no incentive to exercise the option. He could sell the corn and deduct the \$.10 from the price as a cost of doing business. If the price fell however, then Jones could exercise the option (or offset) and use the proceeds to support his price. He would still have the premium cost as a part of the cost of doing business.

Compare this to an ordinary hedge with futures contracts. If Jones hedges by selling a futures contract at \$3.00, then as cash prices go up he gains on the cash commodity and loses on the futures position. If prices fall he gains on the futures position and loses on the cash commodity. With either rising or falling prices in a conventional hedge, he realizes his price objective to the extent cash and futures prices move in parallel, i.e. the basis is estimated accurately. So while he avoids price declines, he misses the benefits of price increases. With the option he retains the opportunity to benefit from price improvements while being shielded from the impact of negative price changes. For this opportunity he pays the cost of the premium.

Pricing Inputs

Farmers also use forward contracts and hedging with futures to price inputs, such as feed grain or feeder cattle. This way, they know in advance the price they'll have to pay for the input. Buying a call option would give the farmer the right to buy the input at a known price but leave open the opportunity to buy the input at a lower price if the market moved in that direction. The farmer would know the maximum price to be paid for the input but still be open for lower prices. Suppose, for example, that a cattle feeder needs to buy corn. By buying a \$3.00 corn call option, he could be sure he wouldn't have to pay more than \$3.00 for corn. If corn prices fell to \$2.50, he could let the option expire and buy the corn at the cheaper price. Either way he would add the cost of the option premium to his corn price as a cost of doing business. The advisability of using options, as opposed to other methods, depends on the objective to be achieved and the size of the option premium.

Exposure to Loss in Options and Futures Proponents of options trading often focus on the buyer's exposure to loss. They point out that for option buyers the economic losses are limited to the cost of the premium plus trading costs. This is because buyers alone have the authority to decide whether to exercise the option rights. If, by exercising the option, the buyer cannot at least recover some of the premium and trading costs, the buyer would not exercise the option. If the buyer did not exercise the option the, maximum loss would be the premium paid plus trading costs.

The potential *gain to* the buyer from exercise of the option is reduced only by the cost of the premium and trading costs. If the value of the option rises, the buyer could gain either by exercising the option or by selling the option at the higher premium. Normally the buyer pays the premium and the commission costs when the option is purchased. This eliminates any uncertainty over what it will cost the buyer to trade.

Opponents of options trading sometimes point to the potential loss the option writer could incur. After receiving the premium from the buyer at the initial transaction, the writer is entirely exposed to the buyer's decision to exercise. The writer's potential loss is unlimited. He gets the option premium, but it is generally quite small compared to the potential cost of fulfilling the option obligation. This exposure to economic loss is of special concern when the writer does not "own" the underlying commodity position. This is referred to as writing a "naked option," reflecting the fact that the writer is exposed. Opponents of options trading argue that the writing of naked options threatens the integrity of the options market. The solution is to require that option writers put down a margin deposit to prove their financial ability. Margin deposits are required for writers of exchange-traded options on agricultural futures.

Two Options Trading Examples

In order to clearly portray how a farmer could use the agricultural options market, the following two examples are given. One involves forward pricing soybeans. The second involves forward pricing for hogs.

John Bean, cash grain producer. John is thinking about pricing his soybean crop. He expects to harvest 20,000 bushels in October. John sees that the current price for the November futures contract is \$7.00, which he thinks offers an acceptable return (he assumes a basis \$.50 under Nov. futures at harvest for a \$6.50 expected price). But he really believes that the price will go up over the next few months. His bank wants him to start pricing some beans to assure his ability to pay his loan.

John calls his commodity broker to discuss the current market situation and learns that he can buy a \$7.00 November soybean put for a premium of \$.30 per bushel. John calculates that this would mean a minimum local price of \$6.20 (\$7.00-\$.50-\$.30). Given the circumstances, John is willing to pay the \$.30 premium for the put option. He buys put options for 20,000 bu. of soybeans at \$.30 per bushel. He sends his broker a check for \$6,000 plus a small commission cost. In mid-October John harvests his soybeans and markets them locally for \$7.50 per bushel. On the same date the futures price is \$8.00 for Nov. beans (his \$.50 basis forecast is correct). The \$7.00 Nov. soybean put option is now trading at \$.01 per bushel (less than the commission to sell an option) so John allows the option to expire. John's return from soybean pricing is \$7.50 minus the \$.30 premium and minus the commission cost. John accomplished his objective: He guaranteed himself a minimum price without limiting gains from upward price improvements.

Harvey Hogg, hog feeder. Harvey has a very high debt load from a recent expansion of his feeding facilities. He is under pressure to make sure he prices his hogs above production costs. His next lot of 125 head is just going on feed. Current live hog futures for his next marketing period are selling for \$53.00 per hundred, and Harvey expects a basis of \$2.00 per hundred. So with hedging he expects a local cash price of \$51.00. This will provide a \$5.00 return above his total production costs. Harvey expects pricing opportunities to improve and he'd like to take advantage of them. His wife and his son encourage him to price now to lock in the \$5.00 return. His commodity broker says Harvey can buy a \$53.00 live hog put option for \$1.00 per hundred. Harvey realizes that if he bought the put option it would reduce his expected price to \$50.00 (\$53.00—\$2.00—\$1.00 = \$50.00) and his expected return above costs to \$4.00. But he is convinced that prices will improve, so he decides to buy the put option for \$1.00 and sends a check to his broker.

When Harvey's hogs are ready for market he sells them locally for \$44.00 per hundred. On the same day the live hog futures are trading for \$47.00 and the \$53.00 put option is trading for a \$6.00 premium. John calls his broker and sells (offsets) the \$53.00 put for \$6.00. Thus, his price for the hogs is \$44.00 + \$6.00 (from the put)—\$1.00 (cost of the put) = a total of \$49.00.

With a hedge in futures Harvey would have gotten 50.00 (44.00 + 6.00 = 50.00) for his hogs. Harvey protected his price, but he paid the 1.00 premium for the opportunity to profit from higher prices—had there been any.

In both examples the farmers used the options market to assure a minimum price for their product while retaining the opportunity to benefit if prices improved. For this opportunity they paid the option premium plus the commission costs for the transaction. These costs reduced their expected return as compared to conventional hedging or cash forward contracts. This may, however, be a small price to pay for the opportunity to gain from improving prices.

Summary

An organized market for options on agricultural futures contracts adds another tool to the farmers marketing kit. Using options will not always result in the highest returns. However, being able to trade options means farmers can buy protection from negative price changes and still be able to take advantage of positive price changes.

This primer gives a quick look at the basics of agricultural options. It does not cover selling options, which may be useful to some producers. It also doesn't discuss the importance of relationships between cash and futures prices, or models that can be used to examine option premiums. Information on these and other aspects of agricultural options is available in publications listed at the end of this bulletin. Farmers will also find publications and workshops on options available from the Cooperative Extension Service, commodity futures brokers, and the commodity exchanges.

The use of options to enhance agricultural marketing alternatives may be the marketing opportunity farmers have long been waiting for. In any case farmers should take a careful look at the agricultural options market to discover how it fits into their marketing management plans.

For More Information

Campbell, Gerald R. "New Options in Agricultural Marketing," *Economic Issues*, Department of Agricultural Economics, College of Agricultural and Life Sciences, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin, No. 83, Jan. 1984, 4 pp.

Chicago Board of Trade, "Options on Soybean Futures: Fundamentals, Pricing and Applications," Chicago, Illinois, 1984, 34 pp.

Commodity Futures Trading Commission, "CFTC AgReport," CFTC, Division of Economics and Education, Washington, D.C., December 1983, 21 pp.

Chicago Mercantile Exchange, "An Introduction to Livestock Options," Chicago, Illinois, 1984, 12 pp.

Chicago Mercantile Exchange, "A Traders Guide To Livestock Options," Chicago, Illinois, 1984, 28 pp.

Kenyon, David E., "Using Commodity Options to Price Soybeans," *Oil Crops Outlook and Situation Report, U.S.* Dept. of Agriculture, Economic Research Service, Washington, D.C., OCS-3, October 1983, pp. 12-16.

Kenyon, David E., "Farmers Guide to Trading Agricultural Commodity Options," USDA, ERS, Ag. Information Bulletin 463, April 1984, 26 pp.

MidAmerica Commodities Exchange, "Options on Grain and Soybean Futures," Chicago, Illinois, 1984, 16 pp. Minneapolis Grain Exchange, "Trading Agricultural Options," Minneapolis, Minnesota, 1984, 36 pp.

North Central Regional Extension Publications are prepared as a part of the Cooperative Extension activities of the thirteen land-grant universities from the twelve North Central States in cooperation with the Extension Service—U.S. Department of Agriculture. The following states cooperated in making this publication available:

University of Illinois-1301 W Gregory Drive, Urbana, IL 61801 Purdue University-301 South Second Street, Lafayette, IN 47905 Iowa State University-112 Printing & Public. Bldg., Ames, IA 50011 Michigan State University-PO Box 231, East Lansing, MI 48824 University of Minnesota-3 Coffey Hall, 1420 Eckles Ave., St. Paul. MN 55108 University of Missouri-222 S. Fifth Street, Columbia MO 56211 North Dakota State University-Dept. of Ag. Communications, Fargo, ND 58105 South Dakota State University-Extension Bldg., Box 2231, Brookings, SD 57007 University of Wisconsin-1535 Observatory Drive, Madison, WI 53706

Reproduction and Publication

These fact sheets are intended for a variety of education programs. Reproduction is permitted, but the authors should be properly cited. We request that "Producer Marketing Management," NCR Extension Publication No. 217, be identified as the source.

North Central Marketing Committee

These fact sheets are a product of the North Central Ad Hoc Producer Marketing Committee. This committee expresses appreciation to the Farm Foundation for providing funding support. Committee members include:

Dean Baldwin, Extension Economist—Livestock Marketing, Ohio State University Gerald Campbell, Marketing Specialist, University of Wisconsin Ken Egertson, Extension Specialist-Marketing, University of Minnesota John Ferris, Extension Specialist-Marketing, Michigan State University Darrel Good, Extension Specialist, University of Illinois Glenn Grimes, Extension Livestock Marketing Specialist, University of Missouri Hugh McDonald, Extension Specialist-Marketing, North Dakota State University Gene Murra, Professor of Economics, South Dakota State University Mike Sands, Extension Marketing Specialist, Kansas State University (co-chairman) Marvin Skadberg, Extension Economist-Marketing, Iowa State University Bill Uhrig, Extension Specialist, Purdue University (co-chairman) Al Wellman, Extension Marketing Specialist, University of Missouri Ken Bolen, University of Nebraska (administrative liaison)

Advisory Members:

Walter Armbruster, Farm Foundation Larry Bitney, University of Nebraska Don Pretzer, Kansas State University Randy Corley, USDA Dave Holder, USDA

For single copies of this and other North Central Regional Extension Publications, write to: Publications Office, Cooperative Extension Service, in care of the University listed above for your state. If you want information about ordering quantities of this or other Regional Publications, write or call the coordinating office for the NCR Educational Materials Project, B-10 Curtis Hall, Iowa State University, Ames, IA 50011. (515) 294-8802.

Programs and activities of the Cooperative Extension Service are available to all potential clientele without regard to race, color, sex national origin, or handicap.

In cooperation with NCR Educational Materials Project

Issued in furtherance of Cooperative Extension work, Acts of Congress of May 8 and June 30, 1914, in cooperation with the U.S. Department of Agriculture and Cooperative Extension Services of Illinois, Indiana, Iowa, Kansas, Michigan, Minnesota, Missouri, Nebraska, North Dakota, Ohio, and South Dakota. Charles F. Koval, Director, Cooperative Extension

Service, University of Wisconsin, Madison, Wisconsin 53706.

Feeder Cattle Opts Prices as of 09/22/98 03:36 PM

MTH/ STRIKE	OPEN	SES: HIGH	SION LOW	LAST	SETT	PT CHGE	EST VOL	PRI SETT	OR DAY VOL	 INT
ZF SEPS	98 FEEDER	CATTLE	OPTS CAL	Ъ						
6500				3.500	3.500	UNCH		3.500		2
6600				2.500	2.500	UNCH		2.500		23
6700				1.500	1.500	UNCH		1.500		160
6750				1.000	1.000	UNCH		1.000		5
							-			
6800	.500	.500	.500	.500	.500	-50	5	.550	6.0	604
6850	.050	.050	.050	.050	.050	-75	5	.125	60	115
6900						UNCH		.025	78	320
6950						UNCH		.025		120
7000						UNCH		.025	102	604
7050						UNCH		CAB		40
7100						UNCH		CAB		280
7200						UNCH		CAB		304
7300						UNCH		CAB		87
7400						UNCH		CAB		680
7500						UNCH		CAB		199
7600						UNCH		CAB		219
7800						UNCH		CAB		354
8000						UNCH		CAB		94
8200						UNCH		CAB		146
8400						UNCH		CAB		32
8600						UNCH		CAB		73
	98 FEEDER	CATTLE	OPTS CAL							
6600			4.625	4.625	4.625	-125		4.750		140
6700			3.750	3.750	3.750	-100		3.850		10
6800			2.925	2.925	2.925	-125		3.050		84
6900			2.200	2.200	2.200	-125		2.325		2
7000	1.600	1.650	1.500	1.600	1.600	-100	15	1.700	30	267
7200	.600	.700	.500	.700	.700	-100	10	.800	139	416
7400	.275	.275	.250A	.275	.275	-75	5	.350	8	408
7600	.075	.100	.075	.100	.100	-25	5	.125		171
7800				.025	.025	UNCH		.025		285
8000						UNCH		CAB		299
8200						UNCH		CAB		26
8400						UNCH		CAB		32
8600						UNCH		CAB		8
	98 FEEDER		OPTS CAL							
6800			4.350	4.350	4.350	-75		4.425		27
7000	2.850	2.850	2.750A	2.850	2.850	UNCH	5	2.850		140
7200	1.700	1.800	1.650	1.800	1.800	UNCH	10	1.800	23	235
7400	.900	.900	.850	.900	.900	-100	15	1.000	10	181
7600				.500	.500	UNCH	10	.500	1	99
7800				.225	.225	UNCH		.225	±	54
8000				.050	.050	UNCH		.050		16
8200				.025	.025	UNCH		.025		58
8400						UNCH		CAB		77
ZF JAN9	99 FEEDER	CATTLE	OPTS CAL	Ъ						
6800				5.575	5.575	+175		5.400		4
7000				4.200	4.200	+100		4.100	2	48
7200	2.850	3.100	2.850	3.100	3.100	+100	5	3.000	15	110
	2.000	2.100	2.850				C			
7400				2.000	2.000	UNCH		2.000	16	90
7600				1.400	1.400	UNCH	_	1.400	2	122
7800	.850	1.000	.850	1.000	1.000	UNCH	5	1.000		76
8400				.250	.250	UNCH		.250		43

8600				.150	.150	UNCH	.15	0		43
ZF MAR99 7000 7200 7400 7600 7800	FEEDER 	CATTLE	OPTS CAI 2.500 1.800 1.250	L 4.625 3.400 2.500 1.800 1.250	4.625 3.400 2.500 1.800 1.250	+75 UNCH -50 -50 -150	4.55 3.40 2.55 1.85 1.40	0 0 0	2 10 51	9 6 2 115 84
ZF APR99 7800 TOTAL TOTAL	FEEDER 	CATTLE	OPTS CAL	L 1.450	1.450	+75	1.37 EST.VOL 85	5 VOL 549	OPEN	4 INT. 8252

Feeder Cattle Opts Prices as of 09/22/98 03:36 PM (Continued)

ZF SEI	98 FEEDER	CATTLE	OPTS PU	Т						
6200						UNCH		CAB		45
6300						UNCH		CAB		40
6400						UNCH		CAB		199
6450						UNCH		CAB		10
6500						UNCH		CAB		101
6600						UNCH		CAB		352
6650						UNCH		CAB		15
6700						UNCH		CAB	2	191
6750						UNCH		CAB		45
6800	.025	.025				UNCH	5	.050	26	526
6850			.050	.050	.050	-75		.125	96	106
6900	.500	.500	.500	.500	.500	-25	5	.525	1	76
6950			1.000	1.000	1.000	-25		1.025		15
7000	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500	1.500	-25	5	1.525	19	495
7100				2.500	2.500	UNCH		2.500		6
7200	3.500	3.500	3.500	3.500	3.500	UNCH	5	3.500		623
7300				4.500	4.500	UNCH		4.500		5
7400	5.400	5.500	5.400	5.500	5.500	UNCH	5	5.500	2	71
7500				6.500	6.500	UNCH		6.500		9
7600	7.500	7.500	7.500	7.500	7.500	UNCH	5	7.500		252
7800				9.500	9.500	UNCH		9.500		2
8000				11.500	11.500	UNCH		11.500		2
		a a a a a a a a a a		-						
	198 FEEDER				1.0.0	0.5		1.05	0.5	
6400			.100	.100	.100	-25	1.0	.125	27	329
6500	.200	.200	.175	.175	.175	-25	10	.200	2	31
6600	.300	.300	.300	.300	.300	-50	5	.350	102	422
6700			.425	.425	.425	-25	1.0	.450	1 1 1	1
6800	.500	.600	.500	.600	.600	-50	10	.650 .900	111	425
6900 7000	1.400	1.400	.850 1.250	.850 1.250	.850 1.250	-50 -50	10	.900 1.300	1 3	13 359
7200	2.600	2.600	2.350	2.350	2.350	-25	10	2.375	25	142
7400	4.100	4.100	2.350	2.350	2.350	UNCH	5	2.375	25	142
7600	4.100	4.100 5.900B	5.900	5.725	5.725	+50	5	5.675		190
7800		5.900B		5.725	5.725	+50 +75		5.675		190 6
/800				1.050	7.050	+/5		1.575		0
7F NO	798 FEEDER	CATTLE	חסייס סייס	т						
6000		CATIDE	.075	.075	.075	-25		.100		40
6200			.125	.125	.125	-25		.150		75
6400	.200	.200	.150	.120	.123	-50	5	.200		106
6600	.400	.400	.350	.350	.350	-50	10	.400	60	244
6800	.725	.725	.600	.600	.600	-150	15	.750	2	436
7000	1.200	1.300	1.100	1.100	1.100	-50	15	1.150	17	120
7200	2.100	2.100	2.025	2.025	2.025	-75	5	2.100	1	95
7400	2.100	2.100	3.100	3.100	3.100	-175	5	3.275	-	51
7600			4.700	4.700	4.700	-50		4.750		9
7800			6.400	6.400	6.400	-75		6.475		2
,			5.100	0.100	0.100	, 5		0.1,0		-
ZF JA1	N99 FEEDER	CATTLE	OPTS PU	Т						
6000			.200	.200	.200	-25		.225		51
6200	.375	.375	.375	.375	.375	-50	5	.425	1	45

6400 6600 7000 7200 7400 7600	.600 .950 1.400 	.600 .950 1.400 	.600 .800 1.250 1.850 2.700 3.575 4.925	.600 .875 1.250 1.850 2.700 3.575 4.925	.600 .875 1.250 1.850 2.700 3.575 4.925	-50 -125 -150 -225 -250 -325 -325	5 20 30	.650 1.000 1.400 2.075 2.950 3.900 5.250	1 31 2 1	144 369 216 100 41 26 5
ZF MARS 6000 6200 6400 6600 6800 7000	99 FEEDER 1.000 1.350 1.950 	CATTLE 1.000 1.350 1.950 	OPTS PUT .400 .600 .850 1.275 1.750 2.500	.400 .600 .850 1.275 1.750 2.500	.400 .600 .850 1.275 1.750 2.500	-25 -50 -175 -75 -200 -200	10 10 5	.425 .650 1.025 1.350 1.950 2.700	10 3 25 13 14	86 37 201 164 68 69
ZF APR9 6600 TOTAL TOTAL	99 FEEDER 	CATTLE	OPTS PUT 1.500	1.500	1.500	-100	EST.VOL 210	1.600 VOL 598	OPEN	5 INT. 8028

Live Cattle Options Prices as of 09/23/98 09:17 AM

MTH/ STRIKE OPEN	SESSION HIGH LOW	LAST SETT	PT CHGE	EST VOL	PR SETT	IOR DAY VOL	2 INT
	CATTLE OPTIONS CA	ALL					
56			01,011		5.825		115
57			011011		4.850		54
58			011011	-	3.875		64
59	2.450 2.450	2.450		5	2.950	2	1749
60	1.750A	1.750A	525	1.0	2.075	3	1914
61	1.100 1.000	1.000	500	10	1.300	5	847
62	.450 .450	.450	2,5	20	.725	337	2253
63	.300 .225	.225	100	20	.375	115	1610
64	.125 .125	.125	25	5	.150	283	1840
65			011011		.050	200	876
00					.025	1	610
67			011011		CAB	1	522
68			011011		CAB		775
69 70			011011		CAB		381
70 ==== 71 ====					CAB		1332
· =			011011		CAB		40
72 74			onen		CAB CAB		592 301
74							301 156
78			onen		CAB CAB		156
/0			- UNCH		CAB		100
OK DEC98 LIVE	CATTLE OPTIONS CA	ALL					
60	4.300A	4.300A	275		4.575		47
61	3.300 3.300	3.300		5	3.825		57
62					3.100	2	191
63			- UNCH		2.450	27	522
64	1.700 1.550A	1.550A	325	10	1.875	42	1681
65	1.150 1.150	1.150	250	5	1.400	12	616
66	.900 .800	.800	150	10	.950	172	1620
67	550A	.550A	50		.600	83	302
68	.250 .250	.250	125	5	.375	39	1388
69			- UNCH		.250		18
70			- UNCH		.150		476
72			- UNCH		.050		897
74			- UNCH		CAB		168
76			- UNCH		CAB		59
OK FEB99 LIVE	CATTLE OPTIONS CA	NT.T.					
60			- UNCH		5.350		15
62					3.950		309
64	2.500 2.400A	2.400A		10	2.575	1	1513
66	1.450 1.450	1.450		5	1.400	1 6	1193
	T.420 T.420	±.400 -==	+50	J	T. 400	0	2223

68 70 72 74 76	 	.650 	.600 	.650 	 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH	10	.650 .400 .250 .175 .100	24 1	958 404 255 149 59
OK APR99	LIVE C	ATTLE OP	TIONS CA	LL					
64 66 68 70 72 74 76	 	.750	2.225A .650A 	2.225A .650A 	 UNCH -100 UNCH -100 UNCH UNCH UNCH	10	3.875 2.325 1.400 .750 .450 .325 .200	68 73 68 1	230 451 877 704 230 94 14
OK JUN99	LIVE C		TIONS CA	т.т.					
64 66 68 70 76		 			 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH		2.275 1.350 .900 .450 .250	7 3	12 133 22 16 15
OK AUG99 66 TOTAL TOTAL	LIVE C	ATTLE OP 	TIONS CA	LL 	 UNCH	EST.VOL 130	1.575 VOL 1574		1 INT. 31883

Live Cattle Options Prices as of 09/23/98 09:17 AM (Continued)

OK OCT98 LIVE 54 56 57 58 59 60 61 62 63 64	 .050 .125 .175 .325B .800 1.250	TIONS P0 .050 .100 .150 .300 .650 1.150 1.900	UT .050 .125 .175 .325B .750 1.250 1.900	 UNCH UNCH +50 +25 +50 +250 +250 +325 +325 UNCH	10 10 10 5 20 15 5	CAB .025 .050 .150 .275 .500 .925 1.575 2.350	65 62 128 32 252 129 436 35 4	42 531 691 1386 1871 1708 953 2004 752 1989
65 66 67 68 70 72 74 76	4.700	4.700	4.700	 UNCH +475 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH	10	3.250 4.225 5.200 6.200 8.200 10.200 12.200 14.200	11 2	110 927 11 485 223 6 5
OK DEC98 LIVE 52 54 55 56 57 58 60 61 62 63 64 66 67 70 74	 .550 .850 1.100 1.400 1.750B 2.200 	 2.200 	 .550 .850 1.100 1.400 1.750B 2.200 	UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH +125 +150 +200 +225 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH	5 15 5 10 5	.100 .200 .275 .350 .425 .550 .725 .950 1.225 1.550 1.975 2.475 3.025 4.425 6.200 10.100	2 14 144 34 41 75 25 3	40 45 92 812 173 1402 779 2846 253 2685 230 1221 1 783 421 14 1
OK FEB99 LIVE 52	CATTLE OP	TIONS PU	JT 	 UNCH		.200		44

54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68 70	 	.850B 1.300 1.700 	.750 1.150 1.700 	 .850A 1.225A 1.700 	 UNCH UNCH +75 +125 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH	5 15 5	.325 .500 .775 1.100 1.700 2.250 3.100 4.250 5.975	15 39 46 25 13 6	52 657 1026 2144 1015 593 386 79 29
OK APR99 54 56 58 60 62 64 66 68	LIVE	CATTLE OP 1.100B 1.700 2.750B 	TIONS PU	JT 1.100B 1.700 2.750B 	 UNCH UNCH +25 +275 UNCH +225 UNCH	15	.300 .450 .750 1.075 1.425 2.150 2.525 3.575	5 55 25 82 35 3	77 486 490 1233 880 516 242 29
OK JUN99 56 58 60 62 64 TOTAL TOTAL	LIVE 	CATTLE OP 	TIONS PU 	лт 	 UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH UNCH	EST.VOL 165	.800 1.200 1.800 2.550 3.425 VOL 1850	2 5 2 OPEI	25 10 66 109 16 N INT. 35696

Daily Grain Price/Volume/Open Interest SummaryFor Kansas City

KC Wheat	Summa	ary							
		Futu	res Price	es			Open	Interes	t
Q	uotes fo	r Septen	nber 21,	1998		Quotes	s for Septe	ember 18	8, 1998
Contract	Open	High	Low	Close	Chg	Contract	Volume	Open	Change
September	285.6	285.6	285.4	285.4	+4.4	September	6	8	-1
December	290.4- 90.6	299.4	290.2	299.2	+7.0	December	3,956	34,152	-153
March	305.0	313.0	304.4	313.0	+6.2	March	566	12,165	+43
May	312.0	319.0	312.0	318.4	+5.4	May	75	3,511	+2
July	318.0	326.4	318.0	326.4	+5.2	July	212	6,771	+91
						Total	4,815	57,296	-18

				KC	Wheat	0	ptions					
		Cal	ls						Pu	ts		
Strk	Cls	Prev	Vol	Open	Chg	Π	Strk	Cls	Prev	Vol	Open	Chg
Dec Calls						\Box	Dec Puts					
310	6.7	4.7	4	873	0		300	0.0	0.0	0	1,269	-2
320	4.3	3.5	28	1,739	+25	\Box	310	17.4	22.3	0	633	0
330	2.6	2.2	50	1,411	+50	\Box	320	25.0	30.6	0	1,219	0
340	1.6	1.1	0	429	0	\Box	330	33.2	39.4	0	2,784	-10
350	1.0	0.5	0	469	0		340	42.1	48.4	0	370	-20
360	0.5	0.3	0	130	0		350	0.0	0.0	0	0	0

370	0.3	0.2	0	233	0	\Box	360	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
							370	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
Mar Calls						\Box	Mar Puts					
310	19.1	15.7	4	994	+2	\Box	290	8.0	9.5	0	637	-3
320	15.2	12.2	1	998	+1	\Box	300	11.5	13.7	0	520	0
330	11.6	9.4	0	814	0		310	16.2	19.0	0	466	0
340	9.1	7.3	0	292	0		320	21.7	25.2	0	551	0
350	7.1	5.5	0	82	0		330	28.3	32.2	0	1,139	0
360	5.4	4.3	0	205	0		340	35.5	39.7	0	317	0
370	4.3	3.3	0	82	0		350	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
May Calls							May Puts					
310	24.3	21.6	2	138	+1		280	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
320	19.6	17.0	2	298	+1		290	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
330	16.0	13.4	1	36	+1		300	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
340	12.7	10.6	2	21	+2		310	17.0	18.0	1	6	+1
360	8.2	6.6	0	10	0		320	0.0	0.0	0	0	0
Total Calls			141	12,575	+101		Total Puts			14	12,125	-50